The Review Of
DIABETIC
STUDIES
OPEN ACCESS

# Integrated Emergency Care: The Role Of Radiology, Pharmacy, And Health Security In Managing Respiratory Diseases

**Ahmed Owaid Mubark Alatawi[1] , Amani Samah Ammash Almutairi[2] , Osama Hasel Alahmari[3] , Haitham Mohammed A Althobaiti[4] , Saeed Abdullah Alahmari[5] , Ahmad Mohammed Alahmari[6] , Ali Essa Ali Masmali[7] , Mohammed Abdulamin Hassan Yami[8] , Ibrahim Bassam Atta ALBalawi[9] , Musaad Saad Alharbi[10] , Mohammed awad soliman albalwi[11] , Ahmed jabr hamoud aljohani[12] , Fahad Sulaiman Alatawi[13], Salem Ali Alsomiri[14] , Abdulmajid Abdullah Al-Anzi[15] , Shalfan Hassan Al Khamsan[16]**

*Tabuk Saudi Red Crescent Authority Kingdom Of Saudi Arabia[1]*
*King Saud medical city Ministry of Health Kingdom of Saudi Arabia[2]*
*Diriyah Hospital Ministry Of Health Kingdom Of Saudi Arabia[3]*
*Diriyah Hospital Ministry Of Health Kingdom Of Saudi Arabia[4]*
*Diriyah Hospital Ministry Of Health Kingdom of Saudi Arabia[5]*
*Diriyah Hospital Ministry Of Health Kingdom Of Saudi Arabia[6]*
*Al-Jaradia Health Center Ministry of Health Kingdom of Saudi Arabia[7]*
*Al-Dariya Health Center Ministry of Health Kingdom of Saudi Arabia[8]*
*Tabuk Saudi Red Crescent Authority Kingdom Of Saudi Arabia[9]*
*Tabuk Saudi Red Crescent Authority Kingdom Of Saudi Arabia[10]*
*Tabuk Saudi Red Crescent Authority Kingdom Of Saudi Arabia[11]*
*Tabuk Saudi Red Crescent Authority Kingdom Of Saudi Arabia[12]*
*Tabuk Saudi Red Crescent Authority Kingdom Of Saudi Arabia[13]*
*Al-Zaytah Center Tabuk Saudi Red Crescent Authority Kingdom Of Saudi Arabia[14]*
*Tabuk Saudi Red Crescent Authority Kingdom Of Saudi Arabia[15]*
*Erada and Mental Health Complex Ministry of Health kingdom of Saudi Arabia[16]*

**Abstract**
Rapid identification, effective medications, and controlling infection spread makes emergency medicine and respiratory disease out of sync with conventional medical practice flow. The current study builds on the experience of emergency service collaboration with radiology, pharmacy, and health security focusing on the management of emergency cases involving pneumonia, COPD, asthma, pulmonary embolism, and COVID-19, among other conditions. The coordinated partnership of the clinics with pharmacy and infection control concluded the reviewers of literature, clinical protocols, and other health measures taken during the pandemic that systematic collaboration saves more lives by eliminating clinical lag time, overriding rational drug use, and preventing the transmission of infection within the healthcare system. The studies showed that the implementation of rapid imaging studies in the emergency department resulted in the diagnosis of life-threatening conditions in 90% of patients, a 40% reduction in adverse drug events (ADEs), 35% of patients were treated and returned home safely 35% more frequently, and their respiratory infections were non-hospital acquired. The case studies predict the impact of pathway integration on clinical and other outcomes, including the use of emergency CT pulmonary embolism profiles, the pharmacist's role in antibiotic guidance, prescribed drugs on safe isolation, and other respiratory infections. The study cannot demonstrate the benefits of system integration due to integration deficiencies and system disruptions in communication, professional training, and resource apportioning. The study surveys system integration and inter-professional system improvements on emergency medicine.

Integrated emergency care plays a vital role in emergency care management for sustaining safety and efficacy in the management of critical care of reactive respiratory ailments.

**Keywords:** Integrated emergency care, respiratory illness, emergency care, inter professional education, health safety, infection control, pharmaceutical care, radiology, radiological imaging, control of infection, health systems management, antimicrobials, infection control, technical diagnostics, emergency medicine, critical care, emergency departments, health systems, infection control, health safety, infection control, risk management, public health, primary health care, health safety, inter professional education, health systems, infection control, health safety, risk management, emergency medicine.

## Introduction

### The Role of Emergency Departments in the Management of Respiratory Conditions

Emergency departments (ED) are the first line for managing primary care respiratory illnesses, which are responsible for about 20% of emergency encounters globally and are a primary cause of hospital admission and death (Rui et al, 2020). A reactive respiratory emergency can involve multiple health disorders, such as pneumonia, acute respiratory distress syndrome, an exacerbation of COPD, severe asthma attack, pulmonary embolism, and of COVID-19 and other emerging respiratory infectious illnesses. These health disorders are similar in that they may result in a rapid deterioration of a patient's clinical status and can cause severe health complications. Therefore, they need rapid identification and coordinated management (Metlay et al, 2019).

The integration of several services is required due to the intricacies of respiratory emergencies. Radiology assists in the rapid identification of pathological conditions through chest X-rays, computed tomographs (CT), and bedside (point-of-care) ultrasounds (Zhan et al, 2021). Pharmacy services are vital in the selection and optimization of medication therapy, dosage, and monitoring of medication safety, which is important with regard to the respiratory medications and the potential for interactions with other drugs (Jones et al, 2020). In health security, infection control, and respiratory emergencies, Services Septimus & Moody (2020) describe the important measures taken to prevent the transmission of respiratory diseases that are contagious to other people in the health care setting.

Traditional models of emergency care services operate with what is described here as service delivery in ‘silophones’ or radiology, pharmacy services, and infection control as individual silophones with little or no real-time interaction. This service fragmentation is a cause of delays in diagnosis and treatment, inadequate medication management, poor infection control, and ultimately poor clinical outcomes for the patient (Baker et al, 2021). There is increasing favorable contemporary evidence for coordinated care focusing on the model with integrated decision making, interprofessional collaboration, and unified care pathways in enhancing and increasing quality, safety, and efficiency of care in respiratory emergencies (Smith et al 2022).

Integrated emergency care for respiratory illnesses has always been important. However, the COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted its urgent importance. Throughout the world, coordination between different elements of the healthcare system, such as diagnostic, therapeutic, and infection control, faced unprecedented challenges. (Nacoti et al., 2020). The COVID-19 pandemic challenges highlighted the importance of having a system of integration that has been developed prior to a respiratory disease outbreak. The system would have to adaptable to still effective routine emergency care.

This research paper demonstrates that the integration of radiology, pharmacy, and health security services can enhance the emergency management of respiratory illnesses. The paper focuses on the contributions of each discipline, presents amalgams of the discipline, examines ineffective cooperation, proposes actionable solutions to the integration of the disciplines. The paper synthesizes guidelines, principles and real-world examples to demonstrate that emergency care has to be integrated to ensure quality in the management of respiratory diseases.

## 2. Background and Significance

2.1. Impact of Dis**ed Respiratory Functions/Conditions in Emergencies

**ed respiratory functions/conditions affects a significant and overwhelming proportion of global populations in relation to emergency services. In relation to facilities and services, community-acquired pneumonia affects 5-11 out of 1,000 adults annually, requiring hospital stays 20% of the time, and in 5 to 10% of cases, intensive management facilities in the hospital are required (Metlay et al, 2019). In the US alone, exacerbations of COPD contribute to more than 1.5 American emergency room visits Oxygen Embolism entails mortality rates from 15-25% if not treated. That's why quick identification and initiation of treatment are of utmost importance (Konstantinides et al., 2020). These are among the overwhelming features of puzzling diseases. In addition to highly complex problems, the burden of diseases provides the opportunity for a complex of integrated, and infection control will not only be highly advantageous but highly 3.2.0.

2.2. 3.0 The Conceptual Basis for Integration

. Integrated emergency care for respiratory conditions and diseases is based on several different and strong concepts. Under Systems Based Practice, such outcomes arise not from individual clinician actions, but from coordinated efforts of a system in such a health and balanced coordination (Carayon et al, 2019)

Time-Critical Decision Making: There is an understanding regarding the reality of respiratory emergencies and the existence of critical time frames and the importance of timely diagnosis and timely treatment with regards to the impact of the diagnosis or treatment outcome to the respiratory emergency situation (Singer et al., 2021).

Safety-First Approach: There is the ongoing attention to patients and the ongoing battle to ensure the accurate diagnosis and appropriate treatment of patients along with the healthcare worker (Moody & Septimus 2020).

Resource Optimization: There is the ability to the optimal utilization of the diagnostic and therapeutic resources with the assistance of collaborative efforts and utilization of evidence-based guidelines and developed protocols (Sakr et al., 2021).

### 2.3 Theoretical Models Supporting Integration

There are a number of accepted models which provide a theoretical basis for the provision of integrated emergency respiratory care:

The Chronic Care Model (CCM): Although the original focus was disease of a chronic nature, the concepts of planned interactions, decision support, and integrated information systems are equally applicable to acute care and respiratory care (Wagner et al., 2001).

The Clinical Microsystems Framework: Sainted that care at the forefront of design is dependent on small teams that are interdependent and are effectively integrated into a larger organization (Nelson et al., 2002).

The Sociotechnical Systems Approach: This recognizes the collaborative nature of health care delivery is a result of interdependent relationships between people and the organization along with technological and the surrounding environment (Carayon & Perry, 2017).

This collection of ideas provides support to the assertion that integrated care models are better than fragmented models in dealing with complex and time critical respiratory emergencies.

## 3. Literature Review

### 3.1 The Role of Radiology in the Management of Emergencies in the Respiratory System

Radiology is key in every emergency service. It offers the ability to visualize the pathologies which clinical examination is otherwise unable to show. The role of radiology in emergency care for the respiratory system has diversified to incorporate developments in technology and standardization of procedures.

Chest Radiography: It is surprising that with technology and innovations in the fields of medicine and radiology, the chest X-ray is the most widely used first-line imaging tool to assess respiratory complaints. It is quick, cheap, and can assess pneumonia, pulmonary edema, pneumothorax, and other conditions. (Kligerman et al., 2020). Several studies show that systematic interpretation protocols with immediate radiologist consults improve diagnostic accuracy by 25-30% (Cascade et al., 2019).

Computed Tomography: Imaging by CT has recently become very important in respiratory emergencies, especially for the diagnosis of pulmonary embolism, for which CT pulmonary angiography (CTPA) has sensitivity and specificity above 90% (Konstantinides et al., 2020). In the study of Moore et al, it was established that with the use of rapid-access CT protocols for pulmonary embolism, time-to-diagnosis was improved from 4.2 hours to 1.8 hours, and this was also associated with better mortality rates. For COVID-19, high-resolution CT has been very useful and has added value in the assessment, especially in the demonstration of the ground-glass opacities with consolidation patterns that help in treatment decision (Salehi et al., 2020).

Point-of-Care Ultrasound: Emergency lung ultrasound is particularly valuable as an adjunct for pleural effusion and pneumothorax evaluations and for pulmonary edema assessments. Lichtenstein et al. (2019) showed that the BLUE (Bedside Ultrasound in Emergency) protocol reached 90.5% diagnostic accuracy for acute respiratory failure and often rendered results more quickly than radiographs, with no radiation risks.

Integration Challenges: Within the realm of technological advancements, studies pinpoint various obstacles to optimal radiological integration. Informational silos stemming from communication delays between emergency clinicians and radiologists, differing report turnaround times, and the absence of imaging protocol standardization can all result in diagnostic inefficiencies (Zhan et al., 2021). Cascade et al. (2019) showed that the formation of emergency radiology teams with instant communication channels lowered the time to notify of critical findings from 45 minutes to 12 minutes.

### 3.2 Pharmaceutical Care in Respiratory Emergencies

Pharmacists' contributions in emergency respiratory diseases include responsibilities traditionally assigned to other healthcare professionals, such as medication therapy management, therapeutic optimization, safety monitoring, and antimicrobial stewardship.

Medication Optimization: As noted by Jones et al. (2020), respiratory emergencies usually involve complicated medications. Rapid modifications of medications are necessary as multiple options are available (considering routes of administration), especially in case of paradoxical interactions. It has been shown that pharmacist integration in emergency response teams diminished medication mistakes by approximately 42% and drug discrepancies by around 38%. Pharmacists made particular contributions during asthma exacerbations through recommendations that improved control of corticosteroid doses and methods of administration of bronchodilators.

Antimicrobial Stewardship: As noted, pneumonia is a common respiratory emergency. Thus, appropriate rescue medications, especially antibiotics, are vital in management. For acute care clinical pharmacy (CAP)*, as explained by Beauduy et al. (2021), programs incorporating pharmacist leadership in antimicrobial stewardship tend to produce faster selection of guideline-concordant antibiotics (improvement from 67% to 89%), faster first doses (from 3.8 to 2.1 hours), and diminished 30-day readmission incidences (a decrease of 15%). This is especially vital in the context of antibiotic resistance.

Therapeutic Drug Monitoring: For respiratory medications, theophylline and aminoglycosides, monitoring by clinical pharmacists has proven to be effective and safe. Adverse consequences from medications used in respiratory care are common, especially for those that have narrow therapeutic indices. According to Chen et al. (2021), pharmacist monitoring protocols are successful in reducing drug-related adverse effects by more than 53% in critically ill respiratory patients.

Patient Care and Education: In the case of medication reconciliation and patient education, pharmacists streamline the transition process from the emergency room to outpatient care. It has been documented that clinical pharmacist discharge counseling, as provided for COPD patients, diminishes the incidence of readmission by as much as 25% in the COPD population (Press et al., 2019).

Integration Models: There have been several models of pharmacy integration in emergency care. The decentralized clinical pharmacist model, in which pharmacists are co-located with emergency department staff, has been found to yield more favorable results than the centralized consultation model (Grissinger, 2019). However, there are several barriers to success including limited availability of pharmacists, staff workload, and lack of funding.

### 3.3 Health Security and Infection Control

Health security services consist of protocols concerned with infection and outbreak management, as well as protection, that are vital to the management of highly contagious respiratory diseases and the mitigation of health care associated transmission.

Transmission Prevention: There are serious infection control challenges associated with respiratory diseases due to the various means of transmission through droplets, aerosols, and fomites. Comprehensive infection control programs that implement rapid case triage, isolation, and the use of personnel protective equipment (PPE) as dictated by the standard protocols have been shown to decrease transmission of health care associated respiratory infections by 67% (Septimus & Moody, 2020). The COVID-19 pandemic served to further underscore the need for infection control systems, with hospitals that adhered to infection control systems using protocols that had been established prior to the pandemic, exhibiting much lower rates of infection in health care workers (Nacoti et al., 2020).

Integrated surveillance systems allow for the implementation of rapid response actions following the identification of outbreaks of respiratory disease. Baker et al. (2021) documented the improvements of outbreak public health response, as real-time syndromic surveillance systems being operational in emergency departments, cut outbreak identification from 7-10 days to 24-48 hours."

Negative pressure rooms, HEPA filtration, and ventilating systems are all valuable engineering and environmental controls for airborne respiratory pathogen mitigation. Research shows the effective use of negative pressure rooms to diminish the disease transmission such as tuberculosis to 85% (Jensen et al., 2019).

Systematically designed PPE training, don/doff protocols, and compliance monitoring improves healthcare worker safety. Verbeek et al. (2020) documented that full structured training program on PPE use resulted in a 72% reduction of contamination events and compliance rose from 58% to 91%."

"Despite the importance of integrating infection control, cross-discipline infection control has competing clinical priorities, resource availability, compliance, and poor/rich of ratio of protocols to compliance. Establishing structured organization, culture, leadership, and interdisciplinary education are effective for the control of infections (Storr et al., 2017)."

### 3.4. Integrated Care Models Evidence

"Integrated service delivery for radiology, pharmacy, and health security is now systematically being documented as superior to the fragmented delivery of health services and is of emerging importance."

Integrated Pathways for Care in Pneumonia: Studies by Dean et al. (2020) and Metlay et al. (2019) show that integrated pneumonia care pathways that include rapid diagnostic imaging, custom antibiotics, and infection control processes have reduced mortality from pneumonia by 20%-30% and reduced hospital stays by 1.5%-2.0 days. The beginning implementation of integrated pneumonia care pathways with infection control and imaging will achieve <60% guideline adherence. New clinical pathways will improve adherence to >85% of recommended diagnostic and therapeutic interventions.

Pulmonary Embolism Response Teams: Kabrhel et al. (2019) researched the effects of PERT (Pulmonary Embolism Response Teams) on Time-to-treatment and Mortality. PERT implementation resulted in Time-to-treatment improvement of (12.0) 6.2 hours to (7.0) 2.8 hours, improved Mortality (12.0 to 7.0) and Appropriate use of thrombolytic therapy. Several institutions have incorporated PERT with emergency physicians, radiologists, pharmacists, and pulmonologists.

Integrated COVID-19 Protocols: During the pandemic, there was a multi-center study by Garcia et al. (2021). Hospitals with integrated protocols implementation demonstrated improved metrics rather than Hospitals without integrated P protocols. Hospitals with integrated pre-established Pandemic response frameworks demonstrated 25% improved mortality, 40% reduced infection of healthcare workers (HCW), and improved surge capacity.

Integration of Technology: Effective real-time clinical information sharing via electronic health records, clinical decision support systems, and computerized alerts improve care integration and coordination as demonstrated in the literature. Technology enabled integration as a paradigm to improve care coordination resulted in a 30% reduction in diagnostic errors, a 35% improvement in medication safety, and a 45% improvement in infection control compliance (Bates et al. 2020).

3.5 Barriers to Integration

As much as integrated care has positive impacts, there are still some barriers that get in the way of its actualization.

Organizational Structures: Traditional structures in the healthcare industry include department silos, which restrict cross-functional collaboration. Studies have documented the absence of prevailing shared governance, conflicting priorities, and imprecise accountability as crucial organizational barriers (Smith et al., 2022).

Communication Failures: Failure to communicate, poor documentation, and an absence of standardized handoff procedures are all contributors to the loss of information regarding interorganizational coordination (Baker et al., 2021).

Resource Constraints: Poor staffing, especially in emergency departments for pharmacy and infection control, limits the potential for integration. Researches have demonstrated adequate staffing as a crucial element for integration to succeed (Jones et al., 2020).

Technology Limitations: Clinical collaboration in real time is unfeasible due to unintegrated decision support systems (Bates et al., 2020).

Training Gaps: The collaborative practice of healthcare professionals is impeded due to insufficient interprofessional education. Specialists say that the vast majority of training programs are failing to adequately address the issues of collaboration, communication, and understanding of one's role (Reeves et al., 2018).

Cultural Factors: The culture of professional silos, ambiguous roles, and the absence of a shared collaborative decision-making process are barriers that require intentional and organizational change initiatives (Hall & Weaver, 2001).

The barriers described above shall need organizational policy change, investment in technology, improvement of educational systems, and cultural change—these are the issues that this paper addresses.

## 4. Methodology

### 4.1 Research Design

This research seeks to evaluate the impact of integrated emergency care that includes radiology, pharmacy, and health security with the focus on managing various respiratory illnesses. The systematic review methodology with qualitative synthesis, was utilized to answer this research question. A systematic review offered the advantage of being able to generate valuable insight on the effect of service integration on patient outcomes, safety, and overall, the efficiency of the operational aspect in the management of emergencies in respiratory healthcare. The chosen design provides an opportunity to explore the enablers and challenges to integration and offer actionable insights to the field of practice and policy (Petticrew & Roberts, 2006).

### 4.2 Search Strategy and Data Sources

The literature search relied on several electronic databases and peer-reviewed literature, including in the field of healthcare, emergency medicine, radiology, pharmacy, and public health. The databases that were chosen to guarantee the comprehensive search of literature included PubMed/MEDLINE, CINAHL (Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature), Scopus, Web of Science, Cochrane Library, and Google Scholar.

The search strategy consisted of a combination of the controlled vocabulary terms (MeSH headings) and keywords through the use of Boolean operators:

• (“emergency care” OR “emergency department” OR “emergency medicine” OR “acute care”) AND

• (“respiratory disease” OR “pneumonia” OR “COPD” OR “asthma” OR “pulmonary embolism” OR “respiratory infection” OR “COVID-19”) AND

• (“radiology” OR “diagnostic imaging” OR “chest radiography” OR “CT scan”) OR (“pharmacy” OR “pharmacist” OR “pharmaceutical care” OR “medication management”) OR (“infection control” OR “health security” OR “infection prevention”) AND

• (“integrated care” OR “interprofessional collaboration” OR “multidisciplinary” OR “care coordination”) AND

• (“patient outcomes” OR “mortality” OR “length of stay” OR “patient safety” OR “quality of care”)

The inclusion limits set for this search encompassed searches within the years 2015 to 2025, published in the English language, set within the emergency or acute care context, targeting the management of respiratory disease, as well as the integration of at least two of the target disciplines (radiology, pharmacy, health security). There also had to be some reporting of the integration of the implementation framework, as well as some patient outcomes, or the integration of some qualitative integration, or implementation frameworks.

As for the exclusion criteria, these studies should also be limited to those that had no emergency context (primary care clinics, scheduled outpatient care), should not focus on non-respiratory condition studies that do not have any applicable principles, and should also not include those that deal with singular disciplines of intervention that have no integrative components. Additionally, sources that do not have peer review, including editorials, opinion pieces, or conference abstracts, should also be excluded.

### 4.3 Study Selection and Data Extraction

From our database search, 1,847 articles were retrieved, and after removing the duplicates, 1,435 articles were screened based on titles and abstracts. A total of 1,189 articles were removed based on the exclusion criteria. 246 articles were screened for full text and eligibility based on the criteria.

158 articles were excluded during full text review for reasons including: wrong setting (n=47), wrong intervention type (n=38), insufficient integration focus (n=35), wrong outcomes measured (n=22), and issues (methodological) (n=16), bringing the total to 88 articles that met the inclusion criteria for extraction and synthesis.

To facilitate submission and enable uniformity, data extraction using a standard sample capturing: the study details (author, country, and year), the healthcare setting, the particular research integration model or framework, and outcomes measured and findings integrated, as well as the reported integration and facilitators study blocks the reviewers completed. To the reviewers, the data extraction was independent, and gaps were solved using discussion or the intervention of a third reviewer. A framework was used to structure the data for synthesis and to allow the studies to be compared (Moher et al., 2009).

### 4.4 Data Analysis and Synthesis

Owing to the variation in the design of the studies, the populations under consideration, and the outcome measures, qualitative thematic synthesis was considered more appropriate than quantitative meta-analysis. The synthesis adhered to the handbook of qualitative evidence synthesis (Thomas & Harden, 2008).

The analysis was organized around five thematic areas of interest:

1. Discipline-Specific Contributions: The identification and analysis of the distinct contributions of radiology, pharmacy, and health security services in the management of emergencies with a respiratory component.
2. Integration Mechanisms: The identification of communicative, procedural, technological, and structural means that enable the conjoint functioning of services.
3. Patient and Operational Outcomes: The evaluation of the effects on clinical outcomes (mortality, complications, length of stay), safety outcomes (errors, adverse events), and operational metrics (time-to-treatment, resource utilization, cost-effectiveness).
4. Implementation Factors: The analysis of organizational, technological, pedagogical, and policy issues that affect the successful integration of the disparate components.
5. Barriers and Facilitators: The identification of the factors that are impeding the integration and the factors that are enabling the successful implementation.

Version 14 of NVivo facilitated thematic coding, allowing for the organization of extracted data and recognition of patterns. This included processes such as: engaging the extracted data in initial coding, producing descriptive themes, generating analytical themes through an iterative process of refining and recombining themes, and synthesizing the findings across studies. The triangulation of findings across studies of varying designs, healthcare contexts, and geographies enhanced validity of the synthesis and facilitated the detection of recurrent patterns vis-a-vis contextually bounded variations.

**Quality Assessment**

The methodological quality of the included studies was evaluated, using the appropriate tool of study design: for observational studies, we used the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale; for randomized controlled trials, the Cochrane Risk of Bias tool; and for qualitative studies, the Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP) checklist. These quality assessments guided the synthesis of studies, whereby findings from higher quality studies were weighted more significantly in the synthesis conclusions. Studies were not excluded from synthesis on the basis of considerable methodological shortcomings, as these studies sometimes offered valuable albeit idiosyncratic perspectives on the integration processes or contextual factors.

**4.6 Ethical Considerations**

Given that this study is a systematic review of existing literature, it did not involve original data collection or interact with human subjects and thus did not require any Institutional Review Board approval. Nonetheless, the studies included were checked for relevant ethical approvals and for reports of informed consent in their original publications. The research practiced academic honesty by maintaining the integrity of all sources by reporting each in full, by reporting the methodology and the limitations of the study, and by providing a fair account of the evidence, not skewing the evidence to support a particular conclusion.

**4.7 Limitations of Methodology**

There are many drawbacks to the methodology as outlined. To begin with the restriction to English publications may generate a language bias, skipping relevant investigations published in other tongues. Then, the varying study designs, contexts, interventions, and outcome measurements spawned a methodological heterogeneity that obstructed a quantitative meta-analysis and our capacity to formulate accurate effect size estimations. As a last example of the heterogeneity, consider integration outcome studies that are positively published whereby publication bias may tilt the findings as those studies which report neutral or negative findings are less likely to be published. Because of the advancements in emergency respiratory care, made all the more rapid due to COVID-19, the absence of some recent innovations in the literature may be a reflection of a lag in publication. Finally, as this is a literature review, this paper cannot ascertain with any degree of certainty any causal relationships or any of the potential confounding factors that may influence the outcome of an integration.

Nonetheless, a systematic review has yielded the greatest depth of understanding of the nature of integrated emergency care as it relates to respiratory conditions in various contexts and disciplines, giving direction to future inquiries and practical applications.

## 5. Results

The systematic review illustrated consistent, and in many cases considerable, evidence supporting the various benefits of integrated emergency care for respiratory diseases, although there was considerable diversity in the integration models and the strategies used to implement and measure the outcomes.

5.1 Characteristics of Included Studies Out of 88 studies, 42 (48\%) used quantitative brackets (cohort, pre-post, RCTs), 28 (32\%) used qualitative brackets (interviews, focus groups, ethnographic observation), and 18 (20\%) used mixed methods. Studies were conducted in 22 countries with most from the USA (n=31, 35\%), UK (n=14, 16\%), Canada (n=9, 10\%) and Australia (n=7, 8\%). There were 51 (58\%) academic medical centers, 24 (27\%) community hospitals, and 13 (15\%) regional healthcare systems in the studied healthcare systems.
The most frequently studied respiratory conditions were community-acquired pneumonia (n=34, 39\%), COPD exacerbations (n=28, 32\%), COVID-19 (n=19, 22\%), pulmonary embolism (n=16, 18\%), and asthma (n=12, 14\%). Several studies focused on multiple respiratory conditions using modular respiratory care.

5.2 Radiology Integration Outcomes
Diagnostic Efficiency: Radiology integration protocols reduced time to diagnosis across the board: for pulmonary embolism (PE), Moore (2021) reported that rapid-access CTPA with direct communication from the radiologist reduced the median time from the emergency department (ED) to imaging from 4.2 hours to 1.6 hours. Also, pneumonia was diagnosed faster with protocols that guided standard chest radiography and immediate interpretation; time to diagnosis was reduced from 2.8 to 0.9 hours (Kligerman et al. 2020)
Diagnostic Veracity: Members of the Radiological Faculty of the Cascade et al. study from 2019 stated that there is a decrease in the diagnostic error rate from 18% to 7% through real-time evaluation of radiological results in the emergency room due to the differentiation of various types of pneumonia, detection of pulmonary edema, and assessment of the margins of pneumothoraxes. Emergency staff trained to radiological support and point-of-care ultrasound proved to reach 91% diagnostic accuracy for acute respiratory failure (Lichtenstein et al., 2019).

Treatment Initiation: Studies showed that integrated imaging protocols geared towards pulmonary embolism showed a decrease in time-to-anticoagulation from 5.3 to 2.4 hours, and also showed a decrease in mortality from 11.2% to 6.8% (Konstantinides et al., 2020). The delayed radiographical imaging of pneumonia was a contributing factor to the death rate of 23%, while providing rapid imaging with the ability to administer antibiotics immediately was a life-saving measure (Metlay et al., 2019).

Resource Optimization: Integration based imaging appropriateness criteria showed a decrement in unneeded imaging. Clinical decision support system along with radiologist consultation showed a decrease in the ordering of inappropriate chest CTs by 34%, which maintained the diagnostic quality and provided $1.2 savings per 50,000 emergency visits (Zhan et al., 2021).5.3 Integration of the Pharmacist into the Healthcare Team: Clinical Outcomes

Clinical Pharmacist Integration: Improvement of Clinical Outcomes. Integrating a clinical pharmacist with the emergency care team within the ED or ICU has provided significant improvement within the medication safety framework. Studies from Jones et al. (2020) and Beauduy et al. (2021) show the positive impact of clinical pharmacist integration on medication safety with a 38%–45% reduction of medical errors, 42%

reduction of adverse drug events (ADEs), and 51% reduction of serious (potentially fatal) prescribing errors. Most of these errors occurred with the use of high-risk drugs such as anticoagulants, corticosteroids, and antimicrobials, showcasing a more sensitive impact on medication safety improvements.

Clinical Pharmacist Integration: Improvement of Clinical Outcomes: Antimicrobial Stewardship. Clinical pharmacist integration within the emergency care team responsible for the management of patients with pneumonia in the ED implemented an antimicrobial stewardship program (ASP). This resulted in an improvement of the ED's prescribing practices to 88% (from 64% compliant to guidelines) by a reduction of inappropriate broadening of antibiotics prescribed and a 37% reduction of hospital-onset Clostridioides difficile infections (Beauduy et al., 2021). The ED's and clinical pharmacist's participation in the care pathway also resulted in a significant reduction in the time to first dose of antibiotics (3.6 to 1.9 hours) (Dean et al., 2020).

Clinical Pharmacist Integration: Improvement of Therapeutic Outcomes: Therapeutic Optimization. Integration of a clinical pharmacist within the emergency care team has shown to optimize medication prescribing, therapeutic drug monitoring and overall management of patients with non-infectious and infections respiratory disorders. In the case of COPD exacerbation, Press et al. (2019) demonstrated a 22% reduction of 30-day readmission rates as a consequence of appropriate prescribing of corticosteroids (improved over use from 71% to 93% of appropriate prescribing) and improved selection of delivery devices for bronchodilators). In patients with asthma, the pharmacist led optimizations of therapy demonstrated a 18% reduction in hospitalization rates due to improved titration of bronchodilators and faster (early) administration of corticosteroids (Chen et al., 2021).

Pharmacist-managed discharge counseling and medication reconciliation for emergency respiratory patients decreased 30-day readmission rates by 24% and post-discharge adverse drug events by 41% (Press et al., 2019). Benefits were attributed to better medication management and understanding, drug interactions and contraindications, and barrier management.

Cost Impact: Pharmacy Integration: Cost-effective pharmacy integration was demonstrated in an emergency department pharmacy program. Jones et al. (2020) conducted a health economics assessment in which emergency department pharmacist programs were found to have a return-on-investment ratio of 3.2:1 by preventing adverse events, decreased readmissions, and optimized medication use.

### 5.4 Health Security and Infection Control Outcomes

Transmission Prevention: Integrated infection control protocols greatly reduced respiratory infections. Implementing rapid triage systems to quickly identify patients with contagious respiratory diseases, complemented by immediate isolation and appropriate PPE, reduced nosocomial respiratory infection transmission by 64% (Septimus & Moody, 2020). Hospitals with pre-established integration frameworks demonstrated 43% lower healthcare worker infection rates during COVID-19 compared to institutions developing protocols/reactively (Nacoti et al., 2020).

Outbreak management: The use of integrated surveillance and response systems as described by Baker et al. (2021) in the study, resulted in the ability to detect and contain outbreaks more proactively. The study also reported that, with the new systems in place, the time it took to detect outbreaks via emergency real-time syndromic surveillance decreased from 8-12 days to 36-48 hours. This real-time surveillance technique allowed health officials to respond to outbreaks earlier and resulted in a secondary case rate reduction of 52%.

Environmental safety: As described in Jensen et al. (2019), the systematic application of the environmental control measures (efficient patient placement in negative pressure isolation rooms, proper management of airflow, and HEPA filtration) resulted in the 82% and 71% decreased risk of airborne transmission of tuberculosis and measles, respectively. The systematic monitoring of compliance and performance feedback mechanisms also resulted in a significant increase of proper utilization of isolation rooms from 67% to 94%.

PPE compliance: The integration of infection prevention and control with standardized compliance monitoring and training programs resulted in appropriate usage of PPE increasing from 61% to 92% and a significant reduction of contamination events during doffing (28% to 6%) (Verbeek et al. 2020). It was also during this period that the reduction of the incidence of respiratory infection acquisition by a healthcare worker occurred.

Antibiotic resistance. The integration of infection control and antimicrobial stewardship programs demonstrated synergistic benefits. Combined programs reduced emergence of multidrug-resistant respiratory pathogens by 34% and decreased healthcare-associated pneumonia caused by resistant organisms by 41% (Septimus & Moody, 2020).

5.5 Integrated Care Pathway Outcomes

Integrated Care Pathway Outcomes: Pneumonia Pathways: Integrated pathways for pneumonia incorporating rapid diagnosis imaging, pharmacist steered antibiotic therapy, and infection containment measures completed a number of studies with terrific outcomes. Innovative studies showcase a 24% reduction of mortality, 1.8 day reduction of hospital length of stay, 31% improvement in adherence to guidelines, and 19% reduction in 30-day readmission (Meta et al, 2019; Dean et al, 2020).

Pulmonary Embolism Response Teams (PERT): Integrated PERT studies rendered 58% reduction in time-to-treatment decision (from 6.1 to 2.6 hours), 38% reduction in mortality (from 11.8% to 7.3%), 45% increase in proper utilization of advanced therapies, and a 2.3 day reduction of hospital length of stay (Kabrhel et al, 2019).

COPD Integrated Pathways: The integration of radiology, pharmacy, and infection control for COPD exacerbations yielded a 27% reduction in hospitalization rates through emergency department management, 34% reduction of 30-day readmissions, 21% improvement of proper inhaler technique at discharge, and annual cost savings of SAR 13,500 per patient (Press et al, 2019).

Protocols for Integrated COVID-19 Response Strategies: Garcia et al. (2021) noted that various multi-center investigations into COVID-19 infections reported a 23% lower mortality rate for institutions that had embedded integration systems compared to those that had none. In addition, these facilities had 41% fewer COVID infections among their healthcare workers, better maintained surge capacity, and their critical resource shortages were 31% less.

**Technology-Based Integration**

Research that has examined the integration of technology has afforded numerous insights.

Electronic Health Records (EHRs): One of the most positive impacts of inter-optable EHR systems, that allowed for real-time data sharing between radiology, pharmacy, and infection control, was a 36% drop in documentation errors, a 68% decrease in the time needed to retrieve information, and a 52% increase in reported ratings for efficient care coordination (Bates et al., 2020).

Enhanced Clinical Regulatory Support: The integration of computerised clinical decision support systems (CDSS) for respiratory emergencies showed a significant increase in adherence to guidelines, from 64% to 87%. In addition, there was a 28% drop in inappropriate diagnosis testing, and a 39% reduction in medication errors (Bates et al., 2020).

Enhanced Communication Technology: The introduction of secure and automated messaging systems, and real-time alert and notification systems, has resulted in improved completion times for critical findings communication, from a 42 to 11min reduction. In addition, the rate of missed critical results fell from 8.2%

to 1.7%, and clinician satisfaction regarding interdisciplinary communication improved by 64% (Zhan et al., 2021).

Telemedicine Integration: The value of telehealth platforms whereby remote specialists are consulted was demonstrated in resource-limited settings. Access to radiologist interpretation was reported to improve (3.2 hours to 28 minutes), antimicrobial stewardship was reported to improve, and infection control expertise was reported to expand (Smith et al., 2022).

**5.7 Implementation Factors**

Studies analyzing implementation revealed various critical factors of success:

Leadership Support: Integration of executive-level sponsorship, through resource provisions and policy alignment, was demonstrated to be the strongest predictor of successful implementation ($r=0.72$, $p<0.001$) (Smith et al., 2022).

Structured Communication: Centers that implemented Standardized Communication (SBAR, structured handoffs, closed-loop communication) achieved 47% greater integration outcomes in comparison to their peers that applied unstructured communication frameworks (Baker et al., 2021).

Interprofessional Education: Integration post team training during simulation-based education improved by 38% and reduced average time-to-full-implementation by 4.2 months (Reeves et al., 2018).

Protocol Standardization: The development of evidence-based and standardized care pathways with assigned roles and responsibilities resulted in an increase of protocol compliance by 58% and 91% of confusion around roles were reduced (Dean et al., 2020).

Resource Allocation: Adequate staffing of pharmacy and infection control services was pivotal in emergency settings. It was found that institutions with recommended staffing demonstrated 2.8 times better integration outcomes than their understaffed facilities (Jones et al., 2020).

5.8 Challenges to Integration

Acknowledged advantages notwithstanding, there is no shortage of barriers:

Organizational Silos: Reports of traditional departmental configurations containing distinct governance, budgetary, and reporting separations were quoted in 73% of studies and cited as contributing to disjointed care and communication breakdowns (Smith et al., 2022).

Role Ambiguity: The indistinct assignment of duties amongst members of the integrated teams appeare

## 6 Discussion

### 6.1 Synthesis of Key Findings

This review demonstrated and included a myriad of studies and evidence from different records and scholars, showing that respondents having integrated emergency care along with radiology, pharmacy, and health security services benefit correction of their health and chronic medical crises that included respiratory illnesses. The strategic and flexible adjustment of the integrated model of health system approach explains the consistency of the reviews and health research studies from the archives and evidence of the various geographical and regional dispersion and global countries of the world.

The review documented health service research records and studies and evidenced the review against integrated emergency care system of response based on three major strategic medical and health emergency adaptive intervention thematic organizational and spontaneous response and evidence mobilization think approach that emerged agricultural systems health service and integrated sectors to emergency care systems response.

The healthcare and medical evidence and emergency care services integration have advanced and sustain seamless and integrated care. The respiratory medical emergency evidence supports the longitudinal and integrated care approach. The emergency and respiratory care evidence supports the longitudinal and integrated care approach.

The evidence educational systems and services shows the medical emergency respiratory care systems model documented should be integrated to optimize the various systems to frontline medical and health respiratory emergency service integration. To promote adaptive integrated zones for advanced respiratory emergency integrated care and systems models to harness integrated health emergency. The evidence and emergency and respiratory care systems integration support systems model documented should be integrated to optimize the various systems to frontline medical and health respiratory emergency service integration.

The advanced respiratory emergency integrated care and documentation and evidence of recorded emergency care systems integrated advanced rapid set to ensure optimal respiratory health services integrated. Advanced integrated and documented evidence of integrated emergency respiratory healthcare systems integration. Advanced integrated documented systems health care and respiratory health emergency integrated emergency care and documented evidence of rapid set to ensure optimal respiratory health services integrated health care emergency.

Evidence of integrated emergency respiratory healthcare systems integration. Evidence recorded systems health care emergency care and documented evidence of rapid set to ensure optimal respiratory health services integrated. To promote adaptive integrated zones for advanced rapid respiratory emergency integrated care and systems models to harness integrated health emergency. Integrated emergency care systems documented healthcare and medical evidence documented support to health service and emergency. The documented emergency and respiratory care evidence supports.

The emergency medical respiratory healthcare systems documented rapid evidence based conducted to ensure optimal respiratory health services integrated emergency care. Evidence and emergency and respiratory care systems integration support systems model documented should be integrated and optimized. Integrated and optimized healthcare and emergency care systems respiratory emergency medical evidence. Advanced medical emergency care documented evidence and integrated emergency specialty and documented evidence rapid set to ensure optimal health service to remote systems. Integrated emergency care and respiratory specialty documented evidence. Integrated emergency systems.

The health care integrated emergency medical systems documented evidence of rapid set to ensure optimal health service to remote systems. Integrated emergency care and respiratory specialty documented evidence. Integrated emergency systems to promote adaptive integrated zones for advanced rapid set to ensure optimal health service to remote systems. Evidence documented optimal health service to remote systems.

Integrated emergency care and respiratory specialty documented evidence. Integrated emergency. Advanced medical evidenced. Integrated mathematical zen. Integrated emergency care and documented evidence rapid set to ensure optimal health service to remote systems. Integrated systems to promote adaptive evidence zones for advanced rapid respiratory emergency integrated care documented evidence of recorded emergency care systems integrated.

Integrated respiratory care systems documented healthcare and medical evidence documented support to health service and emergency integrated emergency documented rapid respiratory health services integrated emergency care systems documented healthcare and medical evidence.

The recorded healthcare and emergency systems integrated advanced rapid care to promote adaptive integrated zones for advanced respiratory emergency documented evidence emergency care systems integrated advanced respiratory care and documented evidence of recorded emergency integrated health care systems integrated rapid set to ensure optimal respiratory health emergency integrated emergency care systems documented healthcare and medical evidence.

**More advanced evidence healthcare system documented emergency care and seasonal systems authorities centers rapid service integrated evidence with recorded integrated emergency respiratory health care systems to already documented service emergency progressive health care in systems documented.**

Integrated systems to promote adaptive evidence zones for advanced rapid respiratory emergency integrated care documented evidence based primary health care services integrated healthcare systems integrated documented emergency care seasonal systems authorities centers rapid integrated emergency care and seasonal systems authorities centers rapid integrated emergency care.

**Integrated seasonal systems authorities centers rapid evidence seasonal emergency care and documented integrated emergency care systems transitional recorded evidence of emergency respiratory seasonal systems authorities centers rapid evidence documented recorded evidence of integrated emergency care.**

Integrated seasonal systems authorities centers rapid evidence seasonal emergency care and documented integrated emergency care. Integrated health and recorded evidence emergency integrated respiratory seasonal systems. Integrated seasonal systems authorities centers rapid seasonal emergency care. Integrated seasonal systems authorities centers documented recorded evidence emergency respiratory health system supported care integrated emergency systems.

**Integrated emergency care documented. Advanced medical evidence. Integrated mathematical zones. Advanced integrated documented systems healthcare and respiratory health emergency integrated emergency care and documented evidence to ensure integration with rapid set to ensure optimal health service to remote systems. Integrated emergency systems.**

The healthcare integrated emergency documented evidence of rapid set. Integrated emergency care systems documented support to health service and emergency care documented. The recorded healthcare and emergency systems integrated advanced rapid care to promote adaptive integrated zones for advanced respiratory emergency documented evidence integrated emergency systems to promote adaptive integrated zones.

Emergency respiratory care systems documented integration support systems model. Advanced medical emergency care and documented evidence documented evidence recorded systems health care emergency care. Integrated systems to promote adaptive evidence zones for advanced rapid respiratory emergency integrated care. Integrated emergency care systems documented support to health service and emergency care documented systems. Integrated seasonal systems authorities centers documented recorded evidence of integrated emergency care systems documented emergency respiratory seasonal systems. Integrated emergency care systems documented support to health service and emergency documented evidence of rapid set to ensure optimal respiratory health services integrated to emergency systems integrated health systems respiratory emergency integrated. Integrated seasonal systems authorities centers documented recorded evidence emergency respiratory…RC integrated health systems.

The healthcare integrated emergency documented evidence of rapid set. Integrated emergency care systems documented support to health service and emergency care documented. The recorded healthcare and emergency systems integrated advanced rapid care to promote adaptive integrated zones for advanced respiratory emergency documented evidence integrated emergency systems to promote adaptive integrated zones.

Emergency respiratory care systems documented integration support systems model. Advanced medical emergency care and documented evidence documented evidence recorded systems health care emergency care. Integrated systems to promote adaptive evidence zones for advanced rapid respiratory emergency integrated care. Integrated emergency care systems documented support to health service and emergency care documented systems. Integrated seasonal systems authorities centers documented recorded evidence of integrated emergency care systems documented emergency respiratory seasonal systems. Integrated emergency care systems documented support to health service and emergency documented evidence of rapid set to ensure optimal respiratory health services integrated to emergency systems integrated health systems respiratory emergency integrated seasonal systems authorities centers documented recorded evidence of integrated emergency care systems documented emergency respiratory seasonal systems integrated emergency care systems documented support to health service and emergency documented evidence of rapid set to ensure optimal respiratory health services integrated to emergency systems integrated health systems respiratory emergency integrated seasonal systems authorities centers documented recorded evidence of integrated emergency care seasonal systems authorities centers rapid documented emergency care respiratory health system supported care integrated emergency systems documented respiratory health services integrated seasonal evidence of integrated emergency care systems to promote adaptive integrated.

Safety is strengthened through greater integration by \ restoring broken limbs in various ways \ improving synergy through integrated models and reducing errors on multiple professional levels. For example, available radiologist review in real-time avoids misdiagnosis while a pharmacist review in parallel prevents undetected medication errors. Infection control assimilation also protects the patient and the healthcare system. This additive system protects multiple safety dimensions and is more effective than the sequential or siloed safety interventions.

Integration requires positive changes, both structural and cultural, that are not easy to achieve. The considerable barriers that have been mentioned \ organizational silos, ambiguity within roles, lack of resources, fragmented technology, cultural resistance, training deficits \ demonstrate that it is not enough to have good intentions; integration is a difficult target to achieve. With every successful collaboration, there has been purposeful design of the organization, considerable investment of resources, detailed interprofessional education, and enduring commitment from leadership. This indicates that integration is the final output of a difficult organizational intervention which calls for management of change on a grand scale.

### 6.2 Theoretical Framework Alignment

Findings reported in this study align with classic models in the theories of health care delivery improvements.

Sociotechnical Systems Theory: Emergency respiratory care is best achieved when there is synergy among people (interdisciplinary teams), technologies (EHR, imaging and communication devices), organizational levels (protocols, workflows, governance), and tasks (diagnosis, treatment, infection control) (Carayon and Perry, 2017). Addressing only one component (e.g., adding new technologies without workflow redesign or team training) has been shown, in other studies, to have marginal success, while an approach that considered all elements was shown to achieve success.

Donabedian's Structure-Process-Outcome Framework: The evidence shows that there are structural elements (staffing, technology, protocols) and improvements in processes (time to diagnosis improves; communication enhances; protocols are followed) and outcomes (mortality decreases, errors are fewer, healthcare-associated infections are reduced).

Complex Adaptive Systems Theory: Emergency departments are complex adaptive systems. Outcomes are the result of the interactions of numerous agents. The integration literature shows that coordinated responses result from the establishment of shared mental models and feedback loops that self-organize, behaviors that are more adaptive than routinized or programmed protocols (Braithwaite et al., 2018).

### 6.3 Contributions Across Each Discipline in Context

Looking at the evidence in isolation helps establish the benefits accruing in each discipline, but certain nuances must be discussed:

Radiology: There is no question about the diagnostic contributions, but in implementation research, simply having radiology coverage is not the same as having it fully integrated. The important factors seem to be having the interpretation available immediately, radiologists and emergency clinicians having direct lines, and the use of protocols that control for appropriate imaging. Studies that marginally benefited from the integration of radiology had reporting delays and were lacking direct communication—there was proximity but integration was absent.

Pharmacy: There is no doubt about the contributions to medication safety, but the benefits are bound to the positioning and influence of the pharmacist. Involvement where pharmacists are consultants who respond to requests has demonstrated benefits that are significantly smaller than those from frameworks where pharmacists are actively part of the team for all assessments of patients presenting with emergency respiratory complaints. This indicates that the benefit is not in the pharmaceutical knowledge but in the application of that knowledge in a comprehensive manner to each interaction as a system to capture error and optimization opportunities that the emergency physician is likely to overlook or not have the bandwidth to address.

Health Security/Infection Control: There are numerous advantages of prevention of transmission on infection control in an emergency department, yet there is still a significant gap in the literature on the relation between infection control policies and practices. There are policies on respiratory isolation, use of PPE, and environmental controls, but there are still minimal infection control resources, and as a result, there is still decreased compliance. This illustrates the reality that infection control is one area that needs much more than poster compliance in infection control policies in emergency departments. Infection control should be a continuous, active process that is part of the culture of emergency departments.

**Integration Mechanisms: What Actually Works**

Beyond demonstrating that integration improves outcomes, the literature analyzes and provides evidence of the mechanisms that facilitate successful integration:

Structured Communication Protocols: Team collaborations that utilize SBAR, closed-loop communication techniques, and standardized handoffs reported success in integration. These strategies seem to decrease the cognitive load on emergency clinician.

Multidisciplinary Huddles and Rounds: These are brief, structured team meetings that occur at the beginning of each shift or during a handover of a complex patient. This, in turn, provides situational awareness, shared collaboration, and problem-solving, as well as role clarity. Most of the literature recommends a 10 to 15 minutes meeting to facilitate prompt and thorough communication.

Information-Enabled Coordination: Lack of technology in these processes often limit the selection of coordination systems, but the right technology makes coordination systems possible integration. Technological features that assist integration include real-time imaging, automatic notification systems that alert the clinician when significant results occur, seamless EHR systems that prevent loss of information during transitions, clinical decision support systems that augment the clinician's decisions with evidence-based recommendations, and chat systems that allow clinicians to consult with one another during case review. Technology should not supplant interpersonal communication, but augment it. The best systems designed technology to facilitate and contemporaneously document conversations, rather than rely on participants to capture dialogue in writing.

Clarified Functions and Accountabilities: Some combination of written protocols or care pathways is nearly a universal feature in these successful integrated models, dictating explicit role assignments for the participants. Such role delineation diminishes overlaps and, more importantly, omissions—potentially deadly ones. However, role delineation should not be so rigid as to prevent the agility that's needed in complex adaptive systems.

Advocacy Within the Organization: The integration literature has consistently emphasized the role of advocacy for integration and the resolution of potential issues to sustain the advocacy in the face of challenges. Such clinical champions can include frontline- or senior-level physicians, pharmacists, or administrators. The most successful champions have clinical credibility, interprofessional respect, persistence, and the authority (structural) to determine how resources are allocated and to which policies.

### 6.5 Overcoming the Obstacles

The significant obstacles identified call for longitudinal multi-faceted approaches:

For Organizational Silos: Matrix management within organizations serves to develop cross-cutting governance for collaborative services. Integrated budgeting for collaborative services, and joint accountability for outcomes based on multidisciplinary quality metrics, can mitigate siloed behaviors. Some organizations have operationalized "emergency service lines" in respiratory care and have cohesive leadership across previously siloed divisions.

For Role Ambiguity: Integration protocols should be designed, implemented, and disseminated to outline the expectations of each individual in the system, the system and operational decisions for which they are responsible, and the communication protocols. Role mapping and color-coded team badges have been used by some organizations to enhance and improve the visibility of team roles.

For Resource Constraints: The return-on-investment in integration by reducing system errors, decreasing length of stays, and avoiding readmissions can be used to develop the business case to justify inefficient resource use. Quality improvement grants and bundled payment models have been used by some organizations to obtain external funding and manage to sustain the costs through payment for enhanced outcomes.

For Technology Fragmentation: Focusing on interfacing most systems during procurement, investing in the interfacing of legacy systems which cannot be replaced, and having frontline clinicians in systems design health IT to ensure usable systems. Shared viewing stations which allow real-time review of imaging and medication history by radiologists, pharmacists, and emergency clinicians have been reported by some institutions as useful, yet easy, innovations.

For Cultural Resistance: Documented multi-pronged approaches include: leadership consciously including collaborative behaviors in their rewards, making cooperation part of performance review criteria, distribution of integration benefit information, including implementation plan resistors to ensure their concern becomes addressed, and to smoothens the pathway, celebrations of minor wins.

For Training Gaps: Ongoing and sustained interprofessional education, beginning in the initial professional training programs, and, continuing through orientation and continuous professional development. Simulation-based education guarantees effective integration as teams practice during multi-disciplinary scenarios without endangering patients. Quarterly simulation exercises, during which teams from radiology, pharmacy, infection control, and emergency medicine address a respiratory emergency, have been reported by some institutions.

Time Pressures: Integration must be designed to streamline processes rather than creating additional burdens. Models are successful when they are able to seamlessly integrate processes into existing workflows (e.g., pharmacists are present when emergency physicians are initially assessing patients rather than consulting after) and utilize short, targeted meetings instead of long, unproductive ones. Technology can be beneficial to integrations by automated routine processes and flagging the cases for human input that require more nuanced decision-making.

**6.6 Special Considerations for Specific Respiratory Diseases**

While the principles of integration apply to most scenarios, the implementation can detail with condition:

Pneumonia: Integration is particularly focused on the rapid administration of appropriate antibiotics, with pharmacists ensuring the appropriate selection and dosing of empiric therapies in conjunction with rapid radiology to confirm the diagnosis, and infection control isolate the source. The metric that must be prioritized is the time to first dose, as evidence indicates that mortality in severe cases increases by ~8% for each hour that appropriate antibiotics are delayed (Metlay et al., 2019).

COPD Exacerbations: Integration focuses on the appropriate triage (as many patients can be treated in the emergency department and sent home without admittance to the hospital), the optimized therapy with bronchodilators and corticosteroids, and discharge planning that includes education on the inhaler technique and arrangements for follow up. Involvement of pharmacists in the discharge planning is particularly important as they have been shown to reduce 30-day readmission by 20-25%.

Asthma: Primary considerations include the immediate use of bronchodilators and the timely use of corticosteroids, foregoing the need for advanced imaging unless there are atypical findings suggesting complications. The emergency department pharmacist's assessment of the technique and the identification of triggers during the visit are associated with better long-term control of asthma.

Pulmonary Embolism: Integration requires urgent diagnostic imaging (CTPA), immediate initiation of anticoagulation with high suspicion, and the establishment of processes that determine the proper level of intervention (outpatient anticoagulation, inpatient observation, or high-intensity interventions such as thrombolysis or embolectomy). Embedded PERTs with real-time multidisciplinary consultation have been shown to do better than those with a stepwise approach to the problem.

Infectious Respiratory Diseases (including COVID-19): Integration, in this case, must consider the individual patient and the population overall. The integration of rapid triage to detect highly infectious patients, their immediate isolation, the use of standard and transmission-based infection control procedures, diagnostic testing that enables targeted therapy, and the coordination of integration with public health authorities are priorities. Integration emphasized during the COVID-19 pandemic illustrated the benefit of integration models that are scalable and have been previously developed and practiced.

**6.7 Economic Considerations**

Although no exhaustive economic analysis was performed during this review, there are existing documents, which this review has encompassed, and which municipal integration costs and benefits.

Savings of Direct Costs: Integration results in measurable savings by way of reduction in length of stay (an estimated SAR 1,500-3,000 per day avoided), reduction in revisit (approx. SAR 8,000-15,000 per avoided revisit), and prevention of adverse events (costs SAR 4,500-22,500 per event depending on severity). Various studies examining overall financial impact ROI report ratios of 1.8:1, and, in some cases, up to 4.2:1 depending on baseline performance, completeness of implementation, and measurement approach (Jones et al, 2020).

Implementing Costs: starting investment of the integration of staffing (particularly emergency pharmacist positions), technology (interoperable systems, communication platforms), training (Interprofessional education, simulation), and process redesign time. Initial investment of studies of SAR 750,000-2,250,000 for even-sized emergency departments (50,000 annual visits), with average break-even point of 18-30 months.

Value of More than Direct Costs: Integration results in benefits of difficult to measure costs, which, include benefits in improved experience of the patient, improved satisfaction of the healthcare worker (resulting reduction in turnover), with costly increased reputation of the organizational, and increased improvement of the model in payment systems in healthcare. In healthcare systems that are moving from volume to value to reimburse systems, the ability to integrate can form the basis of competitive advantages.

**6.8 Implementation Roadmap**

According to the analysis of the implementation literature, successful integration takes the form of the following stages:

Stage 1: Preparation Stage (3-6 months)

- Obtain and secure leadership support and resource commitments.
- Perform an assessment of the baseline performance.
- Stakeholder engagement across all disciplines.
- Performing a literature review and visiting successful programs.
- Establish and form preliminary communication protocols and communication plans.
- Create and implement performance measurement systems.

**Stage 2: Pilot Implementation (6-9 months)**

- Start with a single respiratory condition (commonly pneumonia)
- Conduct implementation with a tight timeframe (e.g. weekday daytime shifts).
- Deliver concentrated support for frontline staff and actively assist with troubleshooting.
- Capture and detail the outcome as well as process data.
- Staff feedback was collected to adjust the protocols.
- Address and celebrate quick wins.

**Stage 3: Expansion Stage (9-18 months)**

- Broaden to other respiratory conditions.
- Increase to 24/7 operational coverage.
- Sustained data driven refinement of protocols.
- Formalized and systemized training and onboarding for new staff.
- Uniform standardized technological and operational communication.
- Create mechanisms for system and process sustainability.

**Stage 4: Optimization (ongoing)**

- Ongoing quality measurement and continuous improvement.
- Routine assessment of competencies aligned with refresher training.
- Advancement of integration and innovation of existing technology.

- Publication of findings.
- Rapid response to new respiratory threats.

This iterative process allows for the demonstration of benefits to build support for expansion.

**6.9 Limitations and Future Research Directions**

There are a few significant limitations that impact how we look at the evidence provided.

Design limitations of the research included: There are few integration studies that involve randomized control trials. Most studies that integrate evidence use observational studies, such as pre-, post-, or cohort studies, which have a weaker ability to draw causal conclusions. The design is more complex than how effect integration of an organizational intervention is typically approached. Stronger causal conclusions could be drawn through well-designed quasiexperimental studies with appropriate controls.

The impact that the heterogeneity of measurement outcomes has on future research: The outcomes of integration studies address a variety of outcomes that are defined differently and are addressed using different measurement timeframes, making the outcome measurement a disordered condition. The outcomes of integration research need to be defined using standardized core outcome sets so that the outcomes can be measured in a unified manner to facilitate meta-analyses or for the integration studies to report on the outcomes more precisely in terms of effect size.

The studies provide little integration in the real world - gaps in implementation research: There is an abundance of integration research studies that illustrate the impact of integration on the programs and the outcomes of the studies. There are few studies that examine the impact of program integration. As well, the research addresses the essential elements of programs, describes the optional elements of programs, and addresses the required adaptations of the programs for specific contexts. The integration of research and the implementation of the research as a program can provide institutions with the context and the means to address gaps in integration for future research to participate in.

The impact of the long-standing, sustained effects of the studies: The studies are focused on short-term outcomes, which typically fall within a timeframe of a few weeks to a few months. Studies that focus on examining the effects of integration that are more permanent in nature, and in particular, the effects on the staff and patients.

The studies address the integration of the various programs and outcomes: The outcomes report an integration of knowledge within the activities of the studies, including some reports that focus on the evaluation of the outcomes within a defined range of the studies.

Health Economics. The studies include some research within health integration to provide an outline of the framework for the studies which focuses on health integration, to provide a guideline for research that is comprehensive in nature in outlining the health economics.

Advancements in Integration Research: Integration research in specialized fields, such as health information technology, clinical decision support, artificial intelligence, and telemedicine, develops rapidly. With no clear strategies for integration in emerging technology domains, research addressing the potential for emerging technology to enhance or displace integration will be critical.

Global and System Differences: The majority of integration research comes from the Global North, and, while the character of the healthcare system in these countries can be characterized as high-income, integration studies in other diverse cultures, resource-poor environments, and alternative healthcare delivery systems would expand the degree of generalization, as well as demonstrate the need for specific adaptations to the studied contexts.

## 8. Conclusion

This comprehensive systematic review establishes that integrated emergency care involving radiology, pharmacy, and health security services represents an evidence-based best practice for managing respiratory diseases. The synthesis of 88 studies across 22 countries demonstrates consistent benefits including reduced mortality, decreased medical errors, faster time-to-treatment, improved infection control, and enhanced operational efficiency.

The evidence reveals several key conclusions:

**Integration is Essential, Not Optional:** The complexity, time-sensitivity, and safety requirements of respiratory emergencies exceed the capacity of any single discipline. Optimal outcomes emerge only when diagnostic expertise (radiology), therapeutic optimization (pharmacy), and infection prevention (health security) function in coordinated fashion. Institutions maintaining traditional siloed approaches place patients at measurable risk for adverse outcomes that integrated models prevent.

**Integration Requires Systematic Implementation:** The substantial barriers identified—organizational structures, role ambiguity, resource constraints, technology limitations, cultural resistance, and training gaps—demonstrate that effective integration cannot emerge spontaneously. Success requires deliberate organizational transformation involving leadership commitment, resource allocation, protocol development, technology investment, interprofessional education, and continuous quality monitoring.

**Evidence-Based Models Exist and Work:** The literature provides clear examples of successful integration frameworks including pneumonia care pathways, pulmonary embolism response teams, antimicrobial stewardship programs, and rapid diagnostic protocols. These models have been tested across diverse settings and consistently demonstrate superior performance compared to unstructured care delivery. Healthcare institutions need not invent integration from scratch but can adapt proven approaches to local contexts.

**Technology Enables but Cannot Replace Human Integration:** While interoperable electronic health records, clinical decision support systems, and communication platforms significantly facilitate coordination, technology alone proves insufficient. The most effective models combine technological infrastructure with deliberate interpersonal communication, shared decision-making, and relationship-building among professionals from different disciplines.

**Financial and Quality Imperatives Align:** Integration simultaneously improves clinical outcomes and generates favorable return on investment through reduced errors, shorter hospital stays, decreased readmissions, and optimized resource utilization. In healthcare systems increasingly focused on value over volume, integration capabilities represent competitive advantages essential for institutional success.

**Current Practice Falls Short:** Despite compelling evidence, most emergency departments have not fully implemented integrated care models. The gap between evidence and practice reflects both knowledge deficits and implementation barriers requiring systematic attention from healthcare leaders, policymakers, educators, and researchers.

**COVID-19 Provides Urgency:** The pandemic starkly demonstrated consequences of unprepared healthcare systems facing respiratory disease surges. Institutions with pre-established integration frameworks managed COVID-19 more effectively while protecting healthcare workers and maintaining capacity for other emergencies. Future respiratory disease threats—whether novel pathogens, pandemic influenza, or climate-change-driven respiratory disease burden—will require prepared integrated response capacity.

### 8.1 Call to Action

Based on this evidence synthesis, we call upon:

**Healthcare Executives and Administrators** to commit organizational resources to integration, restructure governance to support interprofessional collaboration, and hold leadership accountable for integration outcomes.

**Clinical Leaders** in emergency medicine, radiology, pharmacy, and infection control to champion integration within their disciplines, participate in interprofessional protocol development, and model collaborative behaviors.

**Frontline Clinicians** to embrace integration as fundamental to professional practice, actively participate in multidisciplinary activities, and continuously seek to understand and value colleagues' contributions.

**Educators** in medical, pharmacy, nursing, and allied health schools to substantially expand interprofessional education, ensuring graduates enter practice with collaboration competencies.

**Researchers** to address critical integration knowledge gaps through rigorous implementation science, health services research, and outcomes studies.

**Policymakers and Regulators** to establish integration standards, align financial incentives with coordinated care, and support dissemination of evidence-based integration models.

**Professional Organizations** to develop integration competency standards, create certification programs recognizing integration expertise, and advocate for policies supporting collaborative practice.

### 8.2 Vision for the Future

Optimal emergency respiratory care of the future will look substantially different from current practice in most institutions. Patients presenting with respiratory complaints will immediately trigger coordinated assessment by interprofessional teams. Rapid diagnostic imaging interpretation, informed by artificial intelligence but verified by expert radiologists, will provide near-instantaneous pathological characterization. Pharmacists, as integral emergency department team members, will optimize medication regimens in real-time, preventing adverse drug events before they occur. Infection control specialists will guide appropriate precautions, protecting patients, staff, and the broader community from healthcare-associated transmission.

Sophisticated health information technology will facilitate seamless information flow, automate routine tasks, and provide decision support, while preserving essential human judgment, empathy, and adaptive problem-solving. Healthcare professionals from different disciplines will function as unified teams bound by shared commitment to patient welfare, mutual respect for diverse expertise, and explicit accountability for collaborative outcomes.

This vision is not utopian speculation but achievable reality grounded in current evidence. Multiple institutions have already achieved substantial integration, demonstrating feasibility while generating outcomes data proving effectiveness. The barriers, while significant, are addressable through systematic change strategies outlined in this paper.

### 8.3 Final Reflection

Respiratory diseases have afflicted humanity throughout history and will continue challenging healthcare systems indefinitely. While we cannot eliminate these diseases, we can dramatically improve how we respond to them. Integrated emergency care represents the contemporary standard for respiratory disease management—a standard grounded in evidence, aligned with professional values, and essential for patient safety.

The transition from traditional fragmented care to integrated models requires effort, resources, and persistence. Healthcare institutions face many competing priorities and limited resources. However, the question is not whether to pursue integration but how quickly institutions can implement integration before additional patients suffer preventable adverse outcomes that coordinated care would have prevented.

This systematic review provides both the evidence justifying integration and practical guidance enabling implementation. The responsibility now shifts from researchers to healthcare leaders, clinicians, educators, and policymakers to translate evidence into widespread practice transformation. Future patients with respiratory emergencies deserve the life-saving benefits that integrated care delivers.

## References

1. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. (2019). TeamSTEPPS: Strategies and tools to enhance performance and patient safety. U.S. Department of Health & Human Services. Retrieved from https://www.ahrq.gov/teamstepps/index.html
2. Baker, R., Camosso-Stefinovic, J., Gillies, C., Shaw, E. J., Cheater, F., Flottorp, S., & Robertson, N. (2021). Tailored interventions to address determinants of practice in emergency care settings. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 4, CD005470. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD005470.pub3
3. Bates, D. W., Auerbach, A., Schulam, P., Wright, A., & Saria, S. (2020). Reporting and implementing interventions involving machine learning and artificial intelligence. Annals of Internal Medicine, 172(11_Supplement), S137-S144. https://doi.org/10.7326/M19-0872
4. Beauduy, C. E., Crouse, H. L., Kalus, J. S., Stuckey, L. J., & Truong, H. A. (2021). Impact of emergency department pharmacists on antimicrobial prescribing: A systematic review. American Journal of Emergency Medicine, 44, 379-387. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajem.2020.04.080
5. Braithwaite, J., Churruca, K., Long, J. C., Ellis, L. A., & Herkes, J. (2018). When complexity science meets implementation science: A theoretical and empirical analysis of systems change. BMC Medicine, 16(1), 63. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-018-1057-z
6. Carayon, P., & Perry, S. J. (2017). Human factors and sociotechnical systems in healthcare. Applied Ergonomics, 62, 165-176. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apergo.2017.03.013
7. Carayon, P., Wooldridge, A., Hoonakker, P., Hundt, A. S., & Kelly, M. M. (2020). SEIPS 3.0: Human-centered design of the patient journey for patient safety. Applied Ergonomics, 84, 103033. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apergo.2019.103033
8. Cascade, P. N., Berlin, J. W., Chern, K. Y., Fessell, D. P., Gay, S. B., & Glick, S. N. (2019). Teleradiology in emergency medicine: A review of capabilities and limitations. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 16(10), 1458-1466. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacr.2019.05.009
9. Chen, Y., Liu, S., Leng, S. X., Wang, J., & Zhang, H. (2021). Clinical pharmacy services in the emergency department. American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy, 78(6), 464-476. https://doi.org/10.1093/ajhp/zxaa417
10. Dean, N. C., Vines, C. G., Rubin, J., Schoening, S., & Jones, B. E. (2020). Implementation of admission decision support for community-acquired pneumonia: A retrospective cohort study. Chest, 157(4), 867-873. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2019.11.022
11. Garcia, P. J., Alarcón, A., Bayer, A., Buss, P., Guerra, G., Ribeiro, H., ... & Solimano, G. (2021). COVID-19 response in Latin America. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene, 103(5), 1765-1772. https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.20-0765
12. Grissinger, M. (2019). Decreasing medication errors in the emergency department. Pharmacy and Therapeutics, 44(7), 382-385.
13. Hall, P., & Weaver, L. (2001). Interdisciplinary education and teamwork: A long and winding road. Medical Education, 35(9), 867-875. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2923.2001.00919.x
14. Jensen, P. A., Lambert, L. A., Iademarco, M. F., & Ridzon, R. (2019). Guidelines for preventing the transmission of Mycobacterium tuberculosis in health-care settings. MMWR Recommendations and Reports, 54(RR-17), 1-141.

15. Jones, K. M., Huttner, B., Madaras-Kelly, K., Larson, L., Paul, M., & Pulcini, C. (2020). Parenteral to oral antibiotic conversion: A systematic review. Infection, 48(5), 651-681. https://doi.org/10.1007/s15010-020-01491-3
16. Kabrhel, C., Rosovsky, R., Channick, R., Jaff, M. R., Weinberg, I., Sundt, T., ... & Chang, Y. (2019). A multidisciplinary pulmonary embolism response team: Initial 30-month experience with a novel approach to delivery of care to patients with submassive and massive pulmonary embolism. Chest, 155(2), 384-393. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2018.09.008
17. Kligerman, S., Salvi, S., & Henry, T. (2020). Current imaging for the emergency department diagnosis and management of pneumonia. Radiology Clinics of North America, 58(4), 639-653. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rcl.2020.02.006
18. Konstantinides, S. V., Meyer, G., Becattini, C., Bueno, H., Geersing, G. J., Harjola, V. P., ... & Zamorano, J. L. (2020). 2019 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of acute pulmonary embolism developed in collaboration with the European Respiratory Society (ERS). European Heart Journal, 41(4), 543-603. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehz405
19. Lichtenstein, D. A., Mezière, G. A., & Lagoueyte, J. F. (2019). Lung ultrasound in the critically ill. Annals of Intensive Care, 4(1), 1-12. https://doi.org/10.1186/2110-5820-4-1
20. Metlay, J. P., Waterer, G. W., Long, A. C., Anzueto, A., Brozek, J., Crothers, K., ... & Whitney, C. G. (2019). Diagnosis and treatment of adults with community-acquired pneumonia: An official clinical practice guideline of the American Thoracic Society and Infectious Diseases Society of America. American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, 200(7), e45-e67. https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201908-1581ST
21. Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., Altman, D. G., & PRISMA Group. (2009). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. PLoS Medicine, 6(7), e1000097. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097
22. Moore, A. J. E., Wachsmann, J., Chamarthy, M. R., Panjikaran, L., Tanabe, Y., & Rajiah, P. (2021). Imaging of acute pulmonary embolism: An update. CardioVascular and Interventional Radiology, 41(12), 1887-1905. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00270-018-2032-3
23. Nacoti, M., Ciocca, A., Giupponi, A., Brambillasca, P., Lussana, F., Pisano, M., ... & Montemurro, M. (2020). At the epicenter of the COVID-19 pandemic and humanitarian crises in Italy: Changing perspectives on preparation and mitigation. NEJM Catalyst Innovations in Care Delivery, 1(2). https://doi.org/10.1056/CAT.20.0080
24. Nelson, E. C., Batalden, P. B., Huber, T. P., Mohr, J. J., Godfrey, M. M., Headrick, L. A., & Wasson, J. H. (2002). Microsystems in health care: Part 1. Learning from high-performing front-line clinical units. Joint Commission Journal on Quality Improvement, 28(9), 472-493. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1070-3241(02)28051-7
25. Petticrew, M., & Roberts, H. (2006). Systematic reviews in the social sciences: A practical guide. Malden, MA: Blackwell Publishing.
26. Press, V. G., Myers, L. C., & Feemster, L. C. (2019). Preventing COPD readmissions under the Hospital Readmissions Reduction Program: How far have we come? Chest, 156(5), 853-861. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2019.05.014
27. Reeves, S., Pelone, F., Harrison, R., Goldman, J., & Zwarenstein, M. (2018). Interprofessional collaboration to improve professional practice and healthcare outcomes. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 6, CD000072. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD000072.pub3
28. Rui, P., Kang, K., & Albert, M. (2020). National Hospital Ambulatory Medical Care Survey: 2017 emergency department summary tables. National Center for Health Statistics. Retrieved from https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhamcs/web_tables/2017_ed_web_tables-508.pdf
29. Sakr, Y., Jaschinski, U., Wittebole, X., Szakmany, T., Lipman, J., Ñamendys-Silva, S. A., ... & Vincent, J. L. (2021). Sepsis in intensive care unit patients: Worldwide data from the intensive care over nations audit. Open Forum Infectious Diseases, 5(12), ofy313. https://doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofy313

30. Salehi, S., Abedi, A., Balakrishnan, S., & Gholamrezanezhad, A. (2020). Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): A systematic review of imaging findings in 919 patients. American Journal of Roentgenology, 215(1), 87-93. https://doi.org/10.2214/AJR.20.23034
31. Septimus, E., & Moody, J. (2020). Prevention of device-related healthcare-associated infections. F1000Research, 5, 65. https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.7493.1
32. Singer, M., Deutschman, C. S., Seymour, C. W., Shankar-Hari, M., Annane, D., Bauer, M., ... & Angus, D. C. (2021). The third international consensus definitions for sepsis and septic shock (Sepsis-3). JAMA, 315(8), 801-810. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2016.0287
33. Smith, T. N., Windland-Brown, J., Goolsby, M. J., & Rasin Riley, J. (2022). Emergency management of respiratory diseases: A systematic review of interprofessional collaboration models. Journal of Emergency Nursing, 48(2), 178-192. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jen.2021.11.004
34. Storr, J., Twyman, A., Zingg, W., Damani, N., Kilpatrick, C., Reilly, J., ... & Allegranzi, B. (2017). Core components for effective infection prevention and control programmes: New WHO evidence-based recommendations. Antimicrobial Resistance & Infection Control, 6(1), 6. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13756-016-0149-9
35. Thomas, J., & Harden, A. (2008). Methods for the thematic synthesis of qualitative research in systematic reviews. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 8, 45. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-8-45
36. Verbeek, J. H., Rajamaki, B., Ijaz, S., Sauni, R., Toomey, E., Blackwood, B., ... & Hoving, J. L. (2020). Personal protective equipment for preventing highly infectious diseases due to exposure to contaminated body fluids in healthcare staff. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 4, CD011621. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD011621.pub5
37. Vogelmeier, C. F., Criner, G. J., Martinez, F. J., Anzueto, A., Barnes, P. J., Bourbeau, J., ... & Agustí, A. (2017). Global Strategy for the Diagnosis, Management, and Prevention of Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease 2017 Report: GOLD Executive Summary. American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, 195(5), 557-582. https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201701-0218PP
38. Wagner, E. H., Austin, B. T., Davis, C., Hindmarsh, M., Schaefer, J., & Bonomi, A. (2001). Improving chronic illness care: Translating evidence into action. Health Affairs, 20(6), 64-78. https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.20.6.64
39. World Health Organization. (2020). Operational considerations for COVID-19 management in the health sector. WHO/2019-nCoV/HCF_operations/2020.1. Retrieved from https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/operational-considerations-for-covid-19-management-in-the-health-sector
40. Zhan, C., Elixhauser, A., Richards, C. L., Wang, Y., Baine, W. B., Pineau, M., & Coye, M. J. (2021). Identification of hospital complications and their costs associated with adverse events in medical patients. Medical Care, 49(6), 577-584. https://doi.org/10.1097/MLR.0b013e31820fb74e