

Enhancing Medication Safety: The Role Of Pharmacology Technicians In Error Prevention And Risk Management

Entesar Hadi Ali Kariri ⁽¹⁾, Mona Mustafa Jadkarim ⁽²⁾, Taghreed Fawaz Alhibshi ⁽³⁾, Hala Nadian Alrwailiy ⁽⁴⁾, Hana Nadian Alrwailiy ⁽⁵⁾, Khulood Nazmi Alqutub ⁽⁶⁾, Khaled Hamed H Al-Mutairi ⁽⁷⁾, Yusra Hamdan Alanazi ⁽⁸⁾, Abdullah Haif Juhayshan Aljish ⁽⁹⁾

1. Pharmacist, King Salman Hospital, First Health Cluster, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
2. Pharmacist, Al-iman General Hospital, First Health Cluster, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
3. Pharmacist, Al-iman General Hospital, First Health Cluster, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
4. Pharmacy Technician, Al-iman General Hospital, First Health Cluster, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
5. Health Administration Diploma, Al Yamama Specialist hospital, Second Health Cluster, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
6. Pharmacist, Alyamamah Hospital, Second Health Cluster, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
7. Pharmacist Technician, Ministry of Health branch, Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
8. Pharmacist, Turiyf General Hospital, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
9. Abdullah Haif Juhayshan Aljish, Pharmacist, Wothailan Hospital Artawi Alsir PHC, Third Cluster, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

Abstract

Medication errors pose a significant global health challenge, contributing to preventable harm, increased morbidity and mortality, and substantial financial burdens on healthcare systems. Pharmacology technicians play a crucial role in mitigating these risks through their involvement in various stages of the medication use process. This review elucidates the vital contributions of pharmacology technicians in preventing medication errors and managing risks within the healthcare safety paradigm. By examining the conceptual framework of medication safety, the evolving roles and responsibilities of technicians, and the types and causes of errors, this review highlights the importance of technician-led interventions. Pharmacology technicians enhance safety through prescription verification, ensuring dispensing accuracy, and supporting pharmacovigilance efforts. The integration of technology, including automation, electronic prescribing, barcode medication administration, and artificial intelligence, further empowers technicians to intercept errors and optimize medication use. Effective risk management strategies, such as failure mode and effects analysis, root cause analysis, and promoting a blame-free reporting culture, are essential for continuous quality improvement. Emphasizing the significance of education, training, and competency development, this review underscores the need for structured programs and interprofessional collaboration to prepare technicians for their expanding roles. Recent evidence from global studies, comparative analyses, and systematic reviews demonstrates the positive impact of technician interventions on reducing medication errors across various healthcare settings. Future perspectives highlight the potential for technicians to contribute to precision medicine, telepharmacy, and the integration of artificial intelligence in decision support systems. Ultimately, policy reforms aimed at standardizing technician practice globally are necessary to harness their full potential in promoting medication safety and optimizing patient outcomes.

Keywords Medication safety, Pharmacology technicians, Medication error prevention, Risk management, Patient safety, Pharmacy practice, Quality assurance.

Introduction

Medication errors represent a significant public health challenge worldwide, contributing to a considerable proportion of preventable harm in healthcare systems. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), over 237 million medication-related errors occur annually across the globe, with a substantial number resulting in adverse events. These errors can arise at various stages, including prescribing, transcribing,

dispensing, and administration, and are compounded by systemic vulnerabilities such as inadequate staffing, poor communication, and insufficient protocols. The financial impact is also profound, with estimates suggesting that medication-related harm costs approximately \$42 billion annually on a global scale (Tariq et al., 2024).

The consequences of medication errors extend beyond financial costs, substantially affecting patient morbidity and mortality. For instance, medication errors are among the leading causes of injury and death in healthcare, with estimates indicating that in the United States alone, medication errors contribute to roughly 7,000–9,000 deaths each year. These errors often prolong hospital stays, increase readmission rates, and lead to disability or death, thereby imposing a heavy burden on healthcare resources and patients' quality of life. The clinical and economic implications underscore the urgency of implementing effective error prevention strategies (Ibrahim Abd Elgwad et al., 2022).

Medication safety is inherently a multidisciplinary endeavor, requiring coordination among physicians, pharmacists, nurses, and healthcare support staff to optimize outcomes and minimize errors. Each professional plays a pivotal role, from accurate prescribing and dispensing to vigilant administration and monitoring for adverse effects. The integration of technologies such as electronic health records and clinical decision support systems further enhances safety by providing real-time alerts and checks (Elliott et al., 2021).

Pharmacology technicians occupy a critical yet often underappreciated position within the medication safety framework. Their responsibilities include verifying prescriptions, preparing medications, and maintaining accurate medication records activities that serve as vital safeguards against errors. By performing meticulous double-checks and ensuring adherence to protocols, pharmacy technicians can prevent many preventable errors, enhancing overall patient safety (Odukoya et al., 2015).

This review aims to elucidate the pivotal role of pharmacology technicians in error prevention and risk management, emphasizing their contributions to medication safety. It explores existing safety practices, identifies barriers to optimal performance, and offers evidence-based strategies to empower technicians as integral partners in healthcare safety initiatives. The scope encompasses both clinical and systemic aspects of medication safety, with objectives to highlight workflow improvements, training needs, and policy considerations that can elevate the role of technicians in safeguarding patient health.

Conceptual Framework of Medication Safety

Understanding the conceptual framework of medication safety is fundamental in mitigating risks associated with medication errors. This framework encompasses definitions, classifications, systemic approaches, and international standards that guide healthcare professionals, including pharmacology technicians, in error prevention and risk management.

Medication errors are preventable events that may cause or lead to inappropriate medication use or patient harm. They can occur at any stage of the medication use process, from prescribing and transcribing to dispensing and administration. Ng (2018) emphasizes that understanding the classification of these errors is critical for designing targeted safety interventions. Errors are often classified based on their nature, clinical severity, or stages of occurrence, such as prescribing errors, dispensing errors, or administration errors. The NCCMERP index categorizes errors from Category A (potential error) to Category I (error resulting in death) (Aronson, 2009).

The cornerstone of safe medication administration is adhering to the "five rights": the right patient, drug, dose, route, and time. These principles serve as a practical checklist to minimize errors during administration. While straightforward, Smetzer (2023) highlights that the "five rights" are goals rather than procedural guarantees; systemic factors affecting performance must also be addressed. Healthcare providers, especially pharmacology technicians, should understand these rights thoroughly and apply systemic safeguards to ensure compliance (Lekpittaya et al., 2024).

Drug-related problems (DRPs) include adverse drug events, interactions, inappropriate dosing, and non-adherence, all of which can compromise patient safety and clinical outcome. Dalmolin (2013) classifies errors based on their severity and pharmacological class, facilitating targeted prevention strategies. These problems often result in increased morbidity, extended hospital stays, and higher healthcare costs. Pharmacology technicians play a vital role in identifying potential DRPs through meticulous verification and counseling (Lekpittaya et al., 2024).

Modern medication safety frameworks adopt systems-based approaches, recognizing that errors are often due to systemic issues rather than individual negligence. Stevens (2023) describes how systemic analysis tools like Event Analysis of Systemic Teamwork (EAST) can map complex medication processes, revealing bottlenecks and vulnerabilities. Human factors such as cognitive load, fatigue, and communication breakdowns are critical elements influencing safety. An environment designed for safety includes clear protocols, effective communication channels, and technology supports like barcoding and electronic health records. These systemic factors must be continuously evaluated and optimized.

Global standards provide a robust foundation for medication safety practices. The WHO's Global Patient Safety Challenge "Medication Without Harm" emphasizes high-risk situations, transitions of care, and polypharmacy as key areas. International organizations like the ISMP develop targeted best practices, such as specific medication storage and administration protocols, to prevent fatal errors. The Joint Commission International standards enforce policies on medication storage, labeling, and error reporting, fostering a culture of safety within healthcare organizations. Pharmacology technicians must be familiar with these guidelines to support compliance and advocate for continuous safety improvements in their practices (Park & Han, 2023).

Pharmacology Technicians in Healthcare Systems

Pharmacology technicians, historically known as pharmacy technicians, began their journey as clerical and support staff within traditional pharmacy practice. Their early duties primarily involved assisting pharmacists with basic tasks such as medication dispensing, inventory management, cashiering, and order entry, often in community or hospital settings. The profession's foundation was largely shaped by these operational and administrative responsibilities, which provided essential support but limited clinical involvement (Taylor & Mehta, 2020).

Significant professional growth commenced in the late 20th century, accompanied by formal regulation and structured education. In 1982, the American Society of Health-System Pharmacists (ASHP) approved accreditation standards for pharmacy technician training programs, marking a pivotal step toward professionalizing the role. In 1983, the first hospital-based pharmacy technician training program was accredited, and subsequent development of model curriculums, now in their fifth edition, codified the knowledge and skills required for effective practice. Solidifying certification processes, organizations like the Pharmacy Technician Certification Board (PTCB), established as a collaborative effort among pharmacy societies, created standardized examinations to certify competence and enhance recognition (Koehler et al., 2022).

Globally, the pharmacy technician role has expanded tremendously, driven by evolving healthcare demands and advances in pharmaceutical services. Educational requirements have shifted from on-the-job training towards comprehensive certificate and diploma programs that incorporate pharmacology, medication safety, pharmacy law, and clinical support skills. Professional regulation and scope of practice vary widely worldwide: some countries maintain strict supervision structures, whereas others permit autonomous practice by trained technicians. The trend increasingly favors expanded responsibilities, including technology integration and clinical service support, thereby positioning pharmacy technicians as integral contributors to healthcare delivery and medication safety (T. Koehler & Brown, 2017).

The scope of practice of pharmacology technicians has evolved to encompass a broad range of responsibilities essential for medication safety and risk management within healthcare systems. Core duties continue to include dispensing medications accurately, compounding sterile and non-sterile formulations, and managing pharmaceutical inventories to ensure availability and prevent errors of stock depletion or mismanagement (Sahu & Sahu, 2022).

Pharmacy technicians actively participate in medication reconciliation processes, which involve verifying and documenting patients' current medication regimens during transitions of care. This critical task helps prevent adverse drug events by ensuring consistency and accuracy in prescriptions and administration records. Further, they support clinical pharmacists by reviewing prescriptions for potential drug interactions, allergies, and dosage appropriateness, serving as a vital check in the medication use process (White & Hohmeier, 2015).

Communication roles are increasingly prominent as pharmacology technicians liaise between pharmacists, healthcare teams, and patients. They facilitate the flow of information, assist in counseling under pharmacist supervision, and contribute to multidisciplinary care by ensuring that medication-related information is accurately conveyed. This bridging role enhances teamwork and contributes to the overall safety and quality of pharmaceutical care (Schackmann et al., 2023).

Types and Causes of Medication Errors

Medication errors remain a significant concern in healthcare, adversely impacting patient safety and treatment outcomes. A thorough understanding of the types and causes of these errors is essential for developing effective prevention strategies, particularly the critical role pharmacology technicians play in this effort (Tariq et al., 2024).

Medication errors can be classified according to the stage of the medication use process at which they occur. This classification helps identify the specific points where errors are most likely to arise and the corresponding interventions necessary to prevent them.

- **Prescribing Errors:** These errors occur during the prescribing phase and include issues such as incomplete prescription information, illegible handwriting, and inappropriate drug indications. Examples include incorrect drug choice, wrong dosage prescribed, or failure to consider patient allergies and contraindications. These errors often stem from poor communication or insufficient clinical knowledge (Tariq et al., 2024).
- **Dispensing Errors:** Occurring in the pharmacy phase, dispensing errors involve the incorrect selection or preparation of medications. Typical errors include providing the wrong drug, incorrect strength, or incorrect quantity. Contributing factors include look-alike/sound-alike drugs, similar packaging, and technician or pharmacist workload pressures. Inaccurate labeling further compounds the risk during this stage (Tariq et al., 2024).
- **Administration Errors:** These errors happen at the point of giving medication to the patient. Mistakes can involve administering drugs at the wrong time, by the wrong route, or to the wrong patient. Additional errors include incorrect preparation or failure to follow the administration protocol, such as incorrect IV infusion rates. These errors can lead to serious adverse drug reactions (Tariq et al., 2024).
- **Monitoring Errors:** After administration, monitoring for medication effectiveness and adverse effects is essential. Failures to recognize adverse drug reactions, interactions, or abnormal laboratory results constitute monitoring errors. These are often due to insufficient patient observation or inadequate communication among healthcare team members (Tariq et al., 2024).

Root causes of medication errors are multifactorial and include human, system, and organizational factors. Understanding these causes helps in targeting preventive measures.

- **Human Factors:** Fatigue, cognitive overload, and inadequate training significantly contribute to medication errors. High workloads and frequent interruptions impair concentration and increase the chance of mistakes. Knowledge gaps and lack of ongoing education further exacerbate these issues. Cognitive errors, including slips, lapses, and mistakes in decision-making, represent up to 75% of diagnostic-related medication errors (Singh et al., 2024).
- **System Factors:** Poor workflow design, fragmented technology, and communication failures among healthcare providers and between providers and patients create opportunities for errors. For instance, electronic health records may have usability issues or alert fatigue, leading to ignored warnings. Workflow interruptions, poor labeling practices, and lack of standardized protocols contribute to inefficiencies and errors (Singh et al., 2024).
- **Organizational Culture and Leadership Influences:** Organizational attitudes toward safety, reporting, and continuous quality improvement play a crucial role. A culture that discourages error reporting or blames individuals rather than addressing system issues impedes learning and correction. Effective leadership that promotes safety culture, continuous training, and transparent communication positively impacts medication safety (Singh et al., 2024).

Pharmacology technicians are strategically positioned to intercept and prevent many of these errors through vigilant prescription verification, accurate dispensing, and active communication with pharmacists and other healthcare professionals. Their role in recognizing anomalies, clarifying unclear prescriptions, and ensuring adherence to safety protocols is vital in mitigating both human and system-related error risks (Singh et al., 2024).

Pharmacology Technicians in Error Prevention

Pharmacology technicians play a critical role in mitigating medication errors and enhancing patient safety. Their functions span across prescription verification, ensuring dispensing accuracy, labeling, and supporting pharmacovigilance efforts. These roles optimize pharmacists' capacity to provide clinical care by delegating technical and error prevention tasks to trained technicians.

Pharmacy technicians serve as the first technical checkpoint in the medication-use process by double-checking and validating prescriber orders. Double-check procedures involve thorough product verification that includes confirming drug name, dosage, strength, and quantity against the prescription to prevent errors related to look-alike or sound-alike medications, which are recognized sources of dispensing errors. In advanced practice settings, technicians cross-reference drug names and alert pharmacists about ambiguous or high-risk prescriptions, such as those involving similar drug names or dosages, thus facilitating pharmacist intervention when clinical judgment is required. Evidence from community pharmacies shows that Validated Pharmacy Technicians can perform product verification with higher accuracy and lower error rates compared to pharmacists, thereby maintaining patient safety and freeing pharmacists to focus on clinical roles (Andreski et al., 2020).

Ensuring dispensing accuracy and correct labeling is a vital duty of pharmacology technicians, who perform redundancy checks within the dispensing workflow to detect discrepancies before medications reach patients. This accuracy checking is a cognitive and systematic process that involves verifying the dispensed product against the prescription and the accuracy of labeling, including drug name, dose, directions, patient information, and packaging. Training programs and formal assessment tools, such as the Dispensing Accuracy Assessment, emphasize these competencies to reduce dispensing errors. Technicians utilize physical aids and sensory checks, structural checklists, and increasingly incorporate barcode scanning and digital verification systems to enhance safety and minimize human errors. These technologies ensure

adherence to standard protocols and improve precision in labeling and packaging, further reducing risks of medication errors (Anto et al., 2013).

Pharmacy technicians also contribute significantly to pharmacovigilance by actively participating in the reporting of adverse drug events (ADEs) and medication-use evaluations. Their role in monitoring medication errors extends beyond dispensing to tracking and documenting incidents that may affect patient safety. By integrating with pharmacovigilance databases and utilizing surveillance systems, technicians help in early detection of medication-related problems. Training on pharmacovigilance enhances technicians' capabilities to identify, report, and document medication safety issues, thereby supporting regulatory compliance and enhancing overall medication safety culture. Studies note that technicians' documentation of pharmaceutical interventions contributes to reducing drug-related problems and omitted doses in hospital settings, reflecting their broadened role in patient safety initiatives (Alshahrani et al., 2025).

Technology-Assisted Safety Interventions

Automation and robotics have revolutionized pharmacy practice by enhancing medication safety through improved accuracy and efficiency. Automated dispensing cabinets allow for precise medication dispensing, reducing human error and ensuring dose accuracy. Intelligent compounding machines automate the preparation of complex medication admixtures with high precision, minimizing risks associated with manual compounding, such as incorrect measurements or contamination. Pharmacology technicians play a crucial role in overseeing robotic processes, ensuring correct operation, verifying system outputs, troubleshooting technical issues, and maintaining seamless workflow integration. This oversight not only boosts efficiency but also significantly reduces dispensing and compounding errors, fostering safer medication use environments. By handling technical tasks, technicians free pharmacists to focus on clinical and patient-centered roles, improving overall care quality (Fan et al., 2022).

Electronic prescribing (e-prescribing) and computerized provider order entry (CPOE) systems are vital tools in reducing medication errors at the prescribing stage. These systems enhance accuracy by electronically transmitting prescriptions directly to pharmacies, eliminating errors related to handwriting or transcription. Pharmacology technicians serve as expert super-users and troubleshooters within these systems. Their responsibilities include maintaining and updating drug databases, managing system configurations, and reviewing alerts generated by the software. When alerts are flagged, such as potential drug interactions or dosage issues, technicians assist in reviewing and escalating concerns as necessary. Their technical expertise helps optimize system functionality and supports clinicians in safe medication ordering processes, reinforcing fail-safes against inappropriate prescriptions (White & Hohmeier, 2015).

Barcode Medication Administration systems integrate barcode scanning technology at the point of care to validate the "five rights" of medication administration: right patient, medication, dose, route, and time. BCMA drastically reduces dispensing and administration errors by electronically matching medications with patient records. Pharmacology technicians contribute to BCMA by participating in barcode scanning and verification processes during medication dispensing and preparing medication doses for administration. Their role often encompasses ensuring barcodes are accurately applied, troubleshooting scanning equipment issues, and training staff on system use. By integrating BCMA into pharmacy and clinical workflows, technicians support error interception before patient exposure, contributing to improved patient safety outcomes (Novak & Lorenzi, 2008).

Artificial intelligence (AI) and advanced data analytics are emerging as transformative tools in medication safety. Predictive analytics use AI algorithms to identify medications at higher risk for errors or adverse events by analyzing large data sets including patient records, prescription patterns, and historical error reports. AI-driven systems can detect medication errors in real time, recognize trends, and forecast potential risks before harm occurs. Pharmacology technicians engaged in data analytics assist in interpreting AI-generated alerts and reports and participate in refining algorithms to improve specificity and reduce alert

fatigue. These technologies complement clinical decision support systems, enabling proactive risk management and enhanced medication safety vigilance across healthcare settings (Insani et al., 2025).

Risk Management Strategies in Pharmacy Practice

Effective risk management in pharmacy practice begins with accurate identification and thorough analysis of potential risks associated with medication processes. Methods such as Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA) and Root Cause Analysis (RCA) are widely employed to proactively and retrospectively assess risks (Abbassi et al., 2023).

FMEA is a systematic, prospective tool that helps identify potential failure points within pharmacy processes before adverse events occur. It evaluates each failure mode by its severity, occurrence likelihood, and detectability, assigning a risk priority number (RPN) to prioritize interventions. For instance, in a teaching hospital pharmacy, FMEA studies have identified critical failure modes like drug data errors, cold chain breaks, and suboptimal pharmaceutical analysis, enabling targeted risk mitigation strategies that significantly enhance patient safety (Anjalee et al., 2021).

RCA, on the other hand, is employed after an error has occurred to uncover underlying systemic causes rather than individual blame. Comprehensive RCA can reveal complex contributing factors, facilitating corrective actions that prevent future incidents. In addition to these methodologies, error reporting systems serve as vital tools for continuous quality improvement. Incident reporting software allows pharmacy teams to capture errors and near misses systematically. Analysis of this data not only guides corrective interventions but also supports safety culture by making error patterns visible to all stakeholders, thereby fostering learning and prevention (Wolf & Hughes, 2008).

A fundamental pillar of risk management is the establishment of a robust safety culture within pharmacy practice. Promoting an environment where errors can be openly reported without fear of blame is crucial for effective risk mitigation (Mekonnen et al., 2025).

A blame-free environment encourages pharmacy staff, including pharmacology technicians, to report medication incidents and near misses candidly. When errors are discussed constructively, teams can collaboratively analyze causes and implement improvements, transforming mistakes into learning opportunities. This psychological safety enhances communication openness and supports continuous quality improvement as an embedded practice (Rogers et al., 2017).

Leadership engagement plays a key role in fostering safety culture through visible commitment and accountability frameworks. Pharmacy managers and directors who "walk the talk" model transparency, accountability, and high standards, encouraging staff adherence to safety protocols. They also ensure support systems are available for staff involved in serious errors, addressing the emotional impact and maintaining morale (Burgess et al., 2010).

Psychological safety, which involves trust that one will not be punished or humiliated for speaking up, enhances team collaboration and error prevention. Open communication channels and constructive feedback mechanisms strengthen the safety culture, making medication safety a shared responsibility across all levels of pharmacy personnel (Moureaud et al., 2021).

Pharmacology technicians are integral to medication safety through their direct involvement in quality assurance (QA) programs. These programs encompass multiple strategies where technicians lead or participate actively to maintain and improve pharmacy processes (Seston et al., 2019).

Standard operating procedure (SOP) audits conducted or facilitated by technicians ensure adherence to established protocols, identify deviations, and promote corrective actions. Regular auditing helps standardize practice and detect early risks before they result in harm. Peer review and cross-check programs, often led by skilled technicians, serve as additional safety nets. These programs involve mutual checking

of tasks such as medication dispensing and labeling, thereby catching errors that might be missed by an individual. Such collaborative review efforts improve accuracy and reinforce collective responsibility for safety (Sin et al., 2022).

Technician-led safety initiatives extend to impact assessments where outcomes of implemented changes are monitored and evaluated. These assessments provide evidence of the effectiveness of interventions and inform further risk management efforts. The growing involvement of pharmacology technicians in QA enhances the overall safety culture and promotes sustainable error prevention practices (Adams et al., 2011).

Education, Training, and Competency Development

Competency frameworks form the foundation for ensuring that pharmacy technicians possess the essential knowledge, skills, and attitudes needed to maintain medication safety and prevent errors. Multiple international bodies have developed competency models tailored for pharmacy technicians, aiming to harmonize training and professional practice worldwide (Chamoun et al., 2023).

The International Pharmaceutical Federation (FIP) has spearheaded efforts to develop a Global Competency Framework (GbCF) for pharmacy workforce, including pharmacy technicians. This framework encompasses core competencies spanning clinical knowledge, technical skills such as aseptic technique, and professional attributes including ethical responsibility and patient-centered care. National frameworks, such as those from Australia, Canada, New Zealand, the United Kingdom, and Thailand, have aligned with or adapted the FIP framework to suit their specific healthcare contexts, emphasizing safe medication handling, patient communication, and adherence to regulatory standards (Suwannaprom et al., 2020).

Key competency domains typically include pharmaceutical knowledge, patient focus, healthcare system awareness, communication skills, and personal development. For pharmacy technicians, competencies in aseptic techniques, accurate drug preparation and dispensing, and ethical accountability form critical areas to maintain medication safety. Furthermore, soft skills such as critical thinking, adaptability, and professionalism are integrated within personal competency domains to support effective practice in dynamic healthcare environments (T. C. Koehler et al., 2019).

Continuous Professional Development is vital for pharmacy technicians to keep pace with evolving medication safety protocols, emerging technologies, and clinical guidelines. Innovative educational strategies have been increasingly adopted to reinforce training outcomes, particularly through simulation-based learning and case-based safety training (Garnier et al., 2023).

Simulation-based training (SBT) provides a realistic, interactive environment where pharmacy technicians can practice technical skills, decision-making, and teamwork without risk to patients. High-fidelity simulations involving patient scenarios, medication dispensing errors, or crisis management foster deeper understanding of safe practices and error prevention. Case-based learning complements this approach by facilitating critical reflection on real-world incidents, enhancing risk management competencies (Tremblay, 2018).

Certification renewal processes typically require evidence of ongoing education, reinforcing the concept of lifelong learning. Structured CPD plans encourage pharmacy technicians to engage in diverse learning activities, including workshops, online courses, and interprofessional training, ensuring the maintenance and expansion of their competencies to prevent medication errors effectively (Katoue & Ker, 2019).

Medication safety is inherently a multidisciplinary responsibility that benefits greatly from collaborative practice and clear communication among healthcare teams. Training pharmacy technicians in interprofessional collaboration is essential to minimize risks and enhance safety outcomes (Fincham et al., 2025).

Understanding and utilizing standardized communication models such as SBAR (Situation-Background-Assessment-Recommendation) and check-back techniques enable pharmacy technicians to convey critical information accurately and efficiently within team settings. These communication tools have been shown to reduce errors stemming from miscommunication by standardizing handoff and reporting processes (Barnett et al., 2017).

Pharmacy technicians' active involvement in safety rounds and multidisciplinary discussions fosters a safety culture and encourages shared responsibility for error prevention. Participating in these forums allows technicians to contribute practical insights from the medication preparation and dispensing perspectives, promoting transparency and continuous improvement in safety protocols (Kostoff et al., 2016).

Evidence from Recent Studies

Pharmacy technicians play a critical role in reducing medication errors globally through direct interventions in medication dispensing and reconciliation processes. Recent studies demonstrate that technician-driven checks significantly decrease dispensing errors. For instance, a team-based approach involving pharmacy technicians and pharmacists led to up to a 60% reduction in medication discrepancies during medication reconciliation, highlighting technicians' effectiveness in preventing errors before reaching patients. Technicians' careful review of prescriptions, verifying patient information, and identifying potential errors act as a pivotal safety layer in pharmacy operations (Hart et al., 2015).

Educational programs focused on medication safety principles have shown a positive impact on technicians' competencies and patient safety indicators worldwide. Such training enhances knowledge on medication preparation, dispensing accuracy, and error reporting. For example, education initiatives in Saudi Arabia aligned with the Vision 2030 framework demonstrated improved knowledge, attitudes, and practices among pharmacy technicians, leading to reduced medication errors and better overall safety outcomes. These programs also boost technicians' confidence and motivation to uphold medication safety standards despite real-world barriers such as workload and resource constraints (Alshahrani et al., 2025).

Comparative research across different pharmacy practice settings, community, hospital, and specialized care environments reveals varied but consistently positive impacts of pharmacy technician involvement in medication safety. In community pharmacies, despite a reported overall dispensing error rate of approximately 1.4–1.8%, pharmacist and technician collaboration encourages a culture where errors are documented and addressed without blame, fostering continuous quality improvement. Hospital settings benefit from technician-assisted medication reconciliation, with studies showing lower clinically significant medication history error rates when technicians are involved compared to nursing staff alone. This involvement reduces prescription and over-the-counter medication errors by more than half and increases the accuracy of medication histories, enhancing patient safety during hospital admissions and transitions of care (Al-Arifi, 2014).

Workflow and policy redesigns, such as implementing barcode medication administration and automated dispensing systems that technicians help manage, have further reduced error trends in these settings by ensuring accuracy in medication dispensing and administration. Such systems assist technicians in cross-checking medications against patient profiles, preventing mismatches and adverse drug events (Williams et al., 2025).

Future Perspectives

The landscape of pharmacy technicians' roles is evolving significantly with the advent of precision medicine, which tailors healthcare based on genetic, environmental, and lifestyle factors unique to each patient. Traditionally, pharmacists have been the primary professionals engaged in pharmacogenomics and individualized therapeutic drug monitoring. However, the growing complexity and volume of precision medicine applications present a unique opportunity to expand the clinical responsibilities of pharmacy technicians. These expanded roles could include assisting in the collection, documentation, and preliminary

interpretation of patient genetic data, helping in drug selection and dosing adjustments under pharmacist supervision, and supporting pharmacogenomic testing logistics. Leveraging technicians in these capacities can optimize workflow efficiency, reduce medication errors, and enhance patient outcomes by facilitating more personalized medication management. The integration of technicians into precision medicine aligns with the broader trend of utilizing their skillsets to support advanced clinical activities that were previously underutilized, contributing to safer and more effective pharmacotherapy delivery (Et.al, 2023).

Telepharmacy continues to expand as a critical service model, particularly in underserved rural or remote populations, bringing pharmacy services closer to patients while overcoming geographic barriers. Pharmacy technicians have emerged as pivotal players in telepharmacy operations, often serving as the primary onsite personnel in remote pharmacy settings. Their responsibilities in telepharmacy have grown to include conducting patient interactions, managing prescription processing, handling inventory, and facilitating real-time pharmacist consultations via secure communication platforms. This increased autonomy demands highly trained, responsible technicians capable of performing with minimal supervision, thus playing an essential role in ensuring medication safety across telepharmacy networks. Looking forward, the role of technicians in telepharmacy is expected to deepen, supported by ongoing advancements in remote dispensing technologies, regulatory support for expanded technician duties, and the rising demand for accessible pharmacy care. Their contribution not only improves patient adherence and outcomes but also allows pharmacists to focus on clinical decision-making and direct patient care (Hursman et al., 2024).

Artificial intelligence (AI) is poised to transform pharmacy practice by providing data-driven decision support systems that enhance medication safety and clinical efficacy. AI applications that analyze large datasets can assist with error detection, drug interaction alerts, dose optimization, and patient counseling enhancements. For pharmacy technicians, this shift introduces the necessity of adapting to AI-augmented workflows, which requires comprehensive training on integrating AI tools effectively and safely within their daily tasks. Future technician training programs will need to encompass digital competencies, including understanding AI functionalities, recognizing limitations, and maintaining data privacy and security in clinical environments. Furthermore, AI can facilitate interactive, simulated learning environments to build technicians' diagnostic and operational skills outside traditional training settings. Embracing AI not only augments the technical precision of pharmacy operations but also fosters a workforce better prepared for the evolving demands of medication safety and risk mitigation (Chalasani et al., 2023).

Conclusion

Pharmacology technicians hold a pivotal role in advancing medication safety by serving as critical checkpoints throughout the medication use process. Their contributions in prescription verification, dispensing accuracy, labeling, and pharmacovigilance significantly reduce medication errors and enhance patient safety. The integration of advanced technologies such as automation, electronic prescribing, barcode medication administration, and artificial intelligence further augments their capacity to prevent errors and optimize medication management.

Effective risk management strategies supported by pharmacy technicians, including failure mode and effects analysis, root cause analysis, and a blame-free reporting culture are essential to fostering continuous quality improvement in healthcare settings. Competency-based education, continuous professional development, and interprofessional collaboration training equip technicians with the necessary skills to meet expanding clinical responsibilities in an evolving healthcare landscape.

Emerging roles in precision medicine, telepharmacy, and AI-powered decision support systems highlight the potential for pharmacy technicians to contribute more broadly to personalized and remote healthcare delivery. However, global standardization of technician practice, through policy reforms and harmonized

education and certification, is crucial to fully harness their capabilities in medication safety and risk management.

Overall, empowering pharmacology technicians through structured training, technology integration, and supportive organizational cultures can substantially mitigate medication errors, improve patient outcomes, and enhance the resilience of healthcare systems worldwide.

References

1. Abbassi, A., Ben Cheikh Brahim, A., & Ouahchi, Z. (2023). Failure mode and effect analysis applied to improve the medication management process in a pharmacy of a teaching hospital and a proposal for a simplified rating system. *European Journal of Hospital Pharmacy: Science and Practice*, 30(e1), e55–e60. <https://doi.org/10.1136/ejhp-2021-003013>
2. Adams, A. J., Martin, S. J., & Stolpe, S. F. (2011). “Tech-check-tech”: A review of the evidence on its safety and benefits. *American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy: AJHP: Official Journal of the American Society of Health-System Pharmacists*, 68(19), 1824–1833. <https://doi.org/10.2146/ajhp110022>
3. Al-Arifi, M. N. (2014). Community pharmacists’ attitudes toward dispensing errors at community pharmacy setting in Central Saudi Arabia. *Saudi Pharmaceutical Journal: SPJ*, 22(3), 195–202. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsps.2013.05.002>
4. Alshahrani, S., Ali, M., Alsreaya, R., Najie, M., Hijri, A., Almalki, A., Hassany, E., Alhazmi, A., Mirqami, B., Tawhari, F., Bajawi, Y., Maashi, A., Ibrahim, A., Alkazmeeni, A., & Alsreaya, A. (2025). Pharmacovigilance in Practice: Insights into Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practices of Pharmacists and Pharmacy Technicians in Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Research in Pharmacy Practice*, 14(2), 50–58. https://doi.org/10.4103/jrpp.jrpp_8_25
5. Andreski, M., Martin, E., Brouner, V. V., & Sorum, S. (2020). Advancing Community Pharmacy Practice – A Technician Product Verification Pilot to Optimize Care. *Innovations in Pharmacy*, 11(2), 10.24926/iip.v11i2.2340. <https://doi.org/10.24926/iip.v11i2.2340>
6. Anjalee, J. A. L., Rutter, V., & Samaranyake, N. R. (2021). Application of failure mode and effects analysis (FMEA) to improve medication safety in the dispensing process – a study at a teaching hospital, Sri Lanka. *BMC Public Health*, 21, 1430. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-11369-5>
7. Anto, B., James, K. L., Barlow, D., Brinklow, N., Osborne, C. A., & Whittlesea, C. (2013). Exploratory study to identify the process used by pharmacy staff to verify the accuracy of dispensed medicines. *The International Journal of Pharmacy Practice*, 21(4), 233–242. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2042-7174.2012.00255.x>
8. Aronson, J. K. (2009). Medication errors: Definitions and classification. *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, 67(6), 599–604. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2125.2009.03415.x>
9. Barnett, S., Nagy, M. W., & Hakim, R. C. (2017). Integration and assessment of the situation-background-assessment-recommendation framework into a pharmacotherapy skills laboratory for interprofessional communication and documentation. *Currents in Pharmacy Teaching & Learning*, 9(5), 794–801. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cptl.2017.05.023>
10. Burgess, L. H., Cohen, M. R., & Denham, C. R. (2010). A new leadership role for pharmacists: A prescription for change. *Journal of Patient Safety*, 6(1), 31–37. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PTS.0b013e3181d108cb>
11. Chalasani, S. H., Syed, J., Ramesh, M., Patil, V., & Pramod Kumar, T. M. (2023). Artificial intelligence in the field of pharmacy practice: A literature review. *Exploratory Research in Clinical and Social Pharmacy*, 12, 100346. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rcsop.2023.100346>
12. Chamoun, N., Ramia, E., Sacre, H., Haddad, M., Haddad, C., Hajj, A., Namnoum, J., Zeenny, R. M., Iskandar, K., Akel, M., & Salameh, P. (2023). Validation of the specialized competency framework for pharmacists in hospital settings (SCF–PHS): A cross-sectional study. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Policy and Practice*, 16, 86. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40545-023-00592-7>

13. Elliott, R. A., Camacho, E., Jankovic, D., Sculpher, M. J., & Faria, R. (2021). Economic analysis of the prevalence and clinical and economic burden of medication error in England. *BMJ Quality & Safety*, 30(2), 96–105. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjqs-2019-010206>
14. Et.al, A. A. M. A. (2023). Clinical Pharmacy and The Practices of Personalized Medicine. *Letters in High Energy Physics*, 2023(Issue 2).
<https://www.lettersinhighenergyphysics.com/index.php/LHEP/article/view/1207>
15. Fan, M., Yang, D., Ng, B., Jackson, J., Bouris, K., Eng, S., Rolko, E., & Trbovich, P. (2022). Impact of technology-assisted versus manual sterile compounding on safety and efficiency in a Canadian community hospital. *American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy: AJHP*, 79(19), 1685–1696. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ajhp/zzac167>
16. Fincham, S. J., Brown, F. S., Robinson, J. D., & Stewart, A. S. (2025). Interprofessional activity using a situation, background, assessment, and recommendation structured method of communication to teach safe prescribing practices. *Journal of the American Association of Nurse Practitioners*. <https://doi.org/10.1097/JXX.0000000000001176>
17. Garnier, A., Vanherp, R., Bonnabry, P., & Bouchoud, L. (2023). Use of simulation for education in hospital pharmaceutical technologies: A systematic review. *European Journal of Hospital Pharmacy*, 30(2), 70–76. <https://doi.org/10.1136/ejhp-2021-003034>
18. Hart, C., Price, C., Graziose, G., & Grey, J. (2015). A program using pharmacy technicians to collect medication histories in the emergency department. *P & T: A Peer-Reviewed Journal for Formulary Management*, 40(1), 56–61.
19. Hursman, A., Vang, C., Thoof, T., & Stone, K. (2024). The Role of Telepharmacy in the Delivery of Clinical Pharmacy Services Following the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Descriptive Report. *The Journal of Pharmacy Technology : JPT : Official Publication of the Association of Pharmacy Technicians*, 40(2), 66–71. <https://doi.org/10.1177/87551225231222426>
20. Ibrahim Abd Elgwad, S., Fouad Abdallah, K., & Khalil, B. (2022). Effect of Medication Safety Guidelines on Prevention of Medication Errors among Nurses in the Intensive Care Unit. *Egyptian Journal of Health Care*, 13(3), 301–313. <https://doi.org/10.21608/ejhc.2022.251535>
21. Insani, W. N., Zakiyah, N., Puspitasari, I. M., Permana, M. Y., Parmikanti, K., Rusyaman, E., & Suwantika, A. A. (2025). Digital Health Technology Interventions for Improving Medication Safety: Systematic Review of Economic Evaluations. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 27, e65546. <https://doi.org/10.2196/65546>
22. Katoue, M. G., & Ker, J. (2019). Simulation for Continuing Pharmacy Education: Development and Implementation of a Simulation-Based Workshop on Medicines Reconciliation for Pharmacists. *The Journal of Continuing Education in the Health Professions*, 39(3), 185–193. <https://doi.org/10.1097/CEH.0000000000000257>
23. Koehler, T., & Brown, A. (2017). A global picture of pharmacy technician and other pharmacy support workforce cadres. *Research in Social & Administrative Pharmacy*, 13(2), 271–279. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sapharm.2016.12.004>
24. Koehler, T. C., Bok, H., Westerman, M., & Jaarsma, D. (2019). Developing a competency framework for pharmacy technicians: Perspectives from the field. *Research in Social & Administrative Pharmacy: RSAP*, 15(5), 514–520. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sapharm.2018.06.017>
25. Koehler, T., Velthuis, F., Helmich, E., Westerman, M., & Jaarsma, D. (2022). Implementing the pharmacy technician role in existing pharmacy settings: Stakeholders views of barriers and facilitators. *Research in Social & Administrative Pharmacy: RSAP*, 18(10), 3814–3820. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sapharm.2022.04.005>
26. Kostoff, M., Burkhardt, C., Winter, A., & Shrader, S. (2016). An Interprofessional Simulation Using the SBAR Communication Tool. *American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education*, 80(9), 157. <https://doi.org/10.5688/ajpe809157>
27. Lekpittaya, N., Kocharoen, S., Angkanavisul, J., Siriudompas, T., Montakantikul, P., & Paiboonvong, T. (2024). Drug-related problems identified by clinical pharmacists in an academic medical centre in

- Thailand. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Policy and Practice*, 17(1), 2288603.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/20523211.2023.2288603>
28. Mekonnen, B. A., Girma, S., Telay, S., & Abie, D. D. (2025). Patient safety culture and associated factors among pharmacy professionals working in Bahir Dar City public hospitals using a pharmacy survey on patient safety culture (PSOPSC). *BMC Health Services Research*, 25, 1191. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-025-13396-z>
29. Moureaud, C., Hertig, J. B., & Weber, R. J. (2021). Guidelines for Leading a Safe Medication Error Reporting Culture. *Hospital Pharmacy*, 56(5), 604–609. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0018578720931752>
30. Novak, L. L., & Lorenzi, N. M. (2008). Barcode Medication Administration: Supporting Transitions in Articulation Work. *AMIA Annual Symposium Proceedings*, 2008, 515–519.
31. Odukoya, O. K., Schleiden, L. J., & Chui, M. A. (2015). The Hidden Role of Community Pharmacy Technicians in Ensuring Patient Safety with the Use of E-Prescribing. *Pharmacy (Basel, Switzerland)*, 3(4), 330–343. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pharmacy3040330>
32. Park, J., & Han, A. Y. (2023). Medication safety education in nursing research: Text network analysis and topic modeling. *Nurse Education Today*, 121, 105674. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2022.105674>
33. Rogers, E., Griffin, E., Carnie, W., Melucci, J., & Weber, R. J. (2017). A Just Culture Approach to Managing Medication Errors. *Hospital Pharmacy*, 52(4), 308–315. <https://doi.org/10.1310/hpj5204-308>
34. Sahu, K., & Sahu, B. (2022). PHARMACY TECHNICIAN ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES: A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW. *History of Medicine*, 8(2).
<https://historymedjournal.com/index.php/medicine/article/view/423>
35. Schackmann, L., Vervloet, M., van Dijk, L., Heringa, M., & Koster, E. S. (2023). Communication during encounters about medication switching: Self-reported experiences of pharmacy technicians and patients. *Exploratory Research in Clinical and Social Pharmacy*, 9, 100259. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rcsop.2023.100259>
36. Seston, E. M., Ashcroft, D. M., Lamerton, E., Harper, L., & Keers, R. N. (2019). Evaluating the implementation and impact of a pharmacy technician-supported medicines administration service designed to reduce omitted doses in hospitals: A qualitative study. *BMC Health Services Research*, 19, 325. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-019-4146-6>
37. Sin, J. H., Koirala, H. P., Phyto, L., & Meleis, L. A. (2022). A Pharmacy Quality and Internal Audit Program Promoting Continuous Survey Readiness with Medication Management Standards. *Joint Commission Journal on Quality and Patient Safety*, 48(8), 411–418. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcjq.2022.04.004>
38. Singh, G., Patel, R. H., Vaqar, S., & Boster, J. (2024). Root Cause Analysis and Medical Error Prevention. In *StatPearls* [Internet]. StatPearls Publishing.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK570638/>
39. Suwannaprom, P., Suttajit, S., Eakanunkul, S., Supapaan, T., Kessomboon, N., Udomaksorn, K., & Sakulbumrungsil, R. (2020). Development of pharmacy competency framework for the changing demands of Thailand's pharmaceutical and health services. *Pharmacy Practice*, 18(4), 2141. <https://doi.org/10.18549/PharmPract.2020.4.2141>
40. Tariq, R. A., Vashisht, R., Sinha, A., & Scherbak, Y. (2024). Medication Dispensing Errors and Prevention. In *StatPearls* [Internet]. StatPearls Publishing.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK519065/>
41. Taylor, B., & Mehta, B. (2020). The Community Pharmacy Technician's Role in the Changing Pharmacy Practice Space. *Innovations in Pharmacy*, 11(2), 10.24926/iip.v11i2.3325. <https://doi.org/10.24926/iip.v11i2.3325>
42. Tremblay, M.-L. (2018). Simulation-based Crisis Resource Management in Pharmacy Education. *American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education*, 82(6), 6531. <https://doi.org/10.5688/ajpe6531>
43. White, C. L., & Hohmeier, K. C. (2015). Pharmacy Informatics. *The Journal of Pharmacy Technology : jPT : Official Publication of the Association of Pharmacy Technicians*, 31(6), 247–252. <https://doi.org/10.1177/8755122515605517>

44. Williams, R., Kantilal, K., Man, K. K. C., Blandford, A., & Jani, Y. (2025). Barcode medication administration system use and safety implications: A data-driven longitudinal study supported by clinical observation. *BMJ Health & Care Informatics*, 32(1), e101214. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjhci-2024-101214>
45. Wolf, Z. R., & Hughes, R. G. (2008). Error Reporting and Disclosure. In *Patient Safety and Quality: An Evidence-Based Handbook for Nurses*. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US). <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK2652/>