OPEN ACCESS

Review Of Trauma Care In The Prehospital Setting: Hemorrhage Control And Assessment Tools

Khalid Mosleh Albeshri ⁽¹⁾, Mohammed Sharif Althubyani ⁽²⁾, Abdullah Humaidan Hamad Alsahafi ⁽³⁾, Ahmad Abdulaziz Ebrahim Alharthi ⁽⁴⁾, Bander Hussein Ebrahim Alharthi ⁽⁵⁾, Mohammed Ali Almuwallad ⁽⁶⁾, Musaad Khalaf Alzahrani ⁽⁷⁾, Adel Mohammed Albishri ⁽⁸⁾, Khlifa Saad Mousleh Alsolame ⁽⁹⁾, Abdulmonem Saleh A Allibdi ⁽¹⁰⁾

¹EMT, Red Crescent, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. ems-t@hotmail.com

²EMT, Red Crescent, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Ma0625497@gmail.com

³EMT, Red Crescent, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. emtkh13@gmail.com

⁴EMT, Red Crescent, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Srca06082@srca.org.sa

⁵EMT, Red Crescent, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Srca05197@srca.org.sa

⁶EMT, Red Crescent, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. memoof93@gmail.com

⁷EMT, Red Crescent, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. hocago@gmail.com

⁸EMT, Red Crescent, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. abuzyadxx@hotmail.com

⁹EMT, Red Crescent, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. T0598002851@gmail.com

¹⁰EMT, Red Crescent, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Aboyaser7100@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Trauma remains a significant global health challenge, with uncontrolled hemorrhage being a leading cause of preventable death. Paramedics play a pivotal role in the prehospital management of traumatic hemorrhage, and their ability to rapidly assess, control bleeding, and initiate evidence-based interventions directly influences patient outcomes. This review examines the epidemiology of trauma, the pathophysiology of hemorrhage, and the critical importance of paramedic-delivered hemorrhage control and assessment in the prehospital setting. Key interventions, including direct pressure, tourniquets, hemostatic agents, and pelvic binders, are discussed, along with their indications, effectiveness, and potential complications. The review also explores the use of trauma scoring systems and emerging technologies for hemorrhage severity assessment, highlighting the role of paramedics in triage and early identification of patients at risk for shock. The impact of prehospital hemorrhage control on patient-level outcomes, such as survival rates and disability reduction, as well as system-level outcomes, including cost-effectiveness and regional trauma system performance, is examined. Challenges and barriers to effective hemorrhage control in different settings are identified, emphasizing the need for ongoing training, interprofessional collaboration, and resource allocation. The review underscores the importance of comprehensive, simulation-based education for paramedics in hemorrhage control techniques and trauma assessment, as well as the role of continuing education and recertification in maintaining skills proficiency. By improving paramedic-delivered prehospital hemorrhage control and assessment, healthcare systems can reduce preventable trauma deaths, optimize patient outcomes, and strengthen the overall trauma care continuum.

Keywords: Trauma; Prehospital care; Hemorrhage control; Paramedics; Hemostatic agents; Trauma scoring systems; Trauma systems; Emergency medical services.

INTRODUCTION

Trauma represents a persistent global health challenge, responsible for significant mortality and disability across all regions and age groups. The Global Burden of Disease (GBD) study estimates that, in 2021

alone, there were over 20.8 million incident cases and nearly 37.9 million prevalent cases of traumatic brain injury (TBI), with falls and road injuries being the leading causes. Worldwide, trauma is consistently among the top causes of death and moderate-to-severe disability in both high- and low-resource settings, with age-standardized rates highest in certain regions like Central and Eastern Europe, the Middle East, and Southeast Asia (Zhong et al., 2025).

The burden of trauma is staggering, with injuries accounting for a considerable proportion of global mortality and morbidity. In 2021, age-standardized rates of TBI incidence and prevalence remained high particularly among males and older adults. Falls and road injuries stand out as principal causes across age groups and regions, highlighting the need for targeted prevention and acute care strategies. Severe trauma, especially involving the head and extremities, is a leading contributor to years lived with disability (YLDs), underscoring its impact on individuals, families, and healthcare systems (Yan et al., 2025).

Prehospital Care: Critical Link in Survival

Prehospital care forms the cornerstone of the trauma "chain of survival," bridging the gap between injury occurrence and definitive hospital treatment. Early and appropriate prehospital interventions, especially airway management, rapid hemorrhage control, and immobilization, are proven to significantly improve patient outcomes and reduce preventable death. Without these timely actions, many trauma victims may not survive to reach specialized care, or may suffer irreversible complications.

Each step in the prehospital phase, from layperson first aid to advanced paramedic interventions, is vital for stabilizing critical injuries, maintaining perfusion, preventing secondary complications, and facilitating rapid transport to definitive care. Studies repeatedly show that delayed or suboptimal care during this time substantially worsens patient prognosis.

Significance of Paramedics in Trauma Outcomes

Paramedics play a pivotal role in trauma care delivery, often serving as the first professionally trained responders to life-threatening emergencies. Their ability to rapidly assess, initiate bleeding control, support oxygenation, and execute evidence-based protocols directly influences survivability and long-term outcomes. Research indicates that the quality and experience level of paramedic teams, particularly those with specialized training and higher patient volumes, can significantly reduce early trauma mortality. Comparisons between paramedic and physician-led prehospital care find comparable rates of accurate injury identification and adherence to recommended procedures, emphasizing the critical nature of continued training and protocol development for paramedic teams (Kirves et al., 2010).

Rationale for Focusing on Hemorrhage Control and Assessment Tools

Uncontrolled hemorrhage remains one of the primary and most treatable causes of trauma-related death, especially during the critical prehospital window. Evidence-based approaches to bleeding control, including the use of pelvic binders, pressure dressings, tourniquets, and hemostatic agents, have demonstrated improvements in clinical outcomes when applied promptly and effectively by paramedics. The evolution of prehospital hemorrhage control resuscitation protocols favors blood product transfusion, permissive hypotension, and the judicious use of adjuncts like tranexamic acid, further underscoring the significance of these interventions (Trentzsch et al., 2025).

Simultaneously, accurate assessment tools ranging from mechanism-of-injury scoring systems to vital signs and targeted anatomic evaluations serve to optimize triage, prioritize interventions, and guide transport decisions. Their integration into paramedic practice enables early identification of high-risk patients and facilitates coordinated care throughout the trauma system.

Focusing on hemorrhage control and assessment tools within prehospital trauma care represents a necessary response to evolving epidemiological trends and evidence-based recommendations. By

equipping paramedics with the knowledge, protocols, and resources required for timely intervention, healthcare systems are better positioned to combat the global burden of trauma, improve survival rates, and reduce disability (Jamal et al., 2021a).

Mechanisms of Traumatic Injury: Blunt vs. Penetrating

Traumatic injuries are commonly divided into blunt and penetrating mechanisms, each with distinct epidemiology and prehospital management.

- Blunt trauma arises from motor vehicle collisions, falls, assaults, and sports injuries, often involving multiple organ systems, internal bleeding, and significant risk of occult (hidden) injuries.
- Penetrating trauma, including gunshot wounds, stabbings, and impalements, is more prevalent in settings of interpersonal violence or conflict.

Blunt trauma tends to predominate globally, especially in road traffic injuries, but some regions report notable rates of penetrating trauma associated with crime or warfare. The distinction between mechanisms dictates prehospital priorities: while blunt trauma often requires rapid assessment and transport for internal hemorrhage control, penetrating injury mandates immediate hemorrhage control and airway protection.

Role of Paramedics in Trauma Systems

Paramedics are integral players in trauma care, providing assessment, stabilization, and triage in the field. Their scope of practice commonly includes airway management, hemorrhage control, intravenous access, pain relief, and rapid transport decisions. In advanced systems, paramedics may initiate advanced interventions such as needle decompression, tourniquet application, pelvic binding, and in select cases, prehospital blood transfusion. The authority for independent decision-making varies internationally, shaped by regional policies, protocols, and educational standards.

Scope of Practice and Decision-Making Authority

Paramedic scope of practice varies from basic trauma assessment and first aid to advanced trauma life support skills depending on the country and regional certification. In the United Kingdom, Australia, and parts of North America, paramedics are often authorized to perform advanced airway management, administer blood products, and apply field triage criteria for direct transport to trauma centers. In other regions, their authority is limited to basic life support and rapid transport, with less emphasis on on-scene interventions. Decision-making autonomy, especially regarding transport destination (e.g., bypassing local hospitals to reach trauma centers), is crucial in optimizing outcomes, though it requires high levels of training and system integration.

Integration with EMS and Hospital Trauma Teams

Successful trauma systems depend on seamless coordination between paramedics, dispatch centers, EMS providers, and hospital-based trauma teams. Prehospital providers serve as the "eyes and ears" for receiving hospitals, delivering essential information that facilitates activation of trauma protocols, surgical teams, and blood banks before patient arrival. Digital prehospital communication, standardized reporting, and use of trauma scoring tools (e.g., Revised Trauma Score, Glasgow Coma Scale) have improved the integration across systems. Ultimately, efficient handover and shared protocols underpin rapid transitions from field care to definitive management, a principle central to advanced trauma systems globally.

Training and Competencies Across International Systems

Paramedic training in trauma care includes didactic coursework, simulation, practical experience, and periodic recertification in advanced trauma life support principles. International differences exist in both initial training and continuous professional development. In the US and Canada, paramedics complete rigorous classroom and clinical rotations before certification, often including field exposure in trauma centers and simulation laboratories. European systems may integrate skills training with nursing or advanced practitioner tracks, while low-resource settings rely on shorter, protocol-driven programs due to resource constraints. Continuous training updates, including mass casualty response, new assessment tools, and hemorrhage control techniques, are necessary to ensure competencies remain current with evolving trauma guidelines.

Pathophysiology of Traumatic Hemorrhage

Traumatic hemorrhage occurs when injury causes disruption of blood vessels, leading to uncontrolled bleeding at the site of trauma. The initial phase involves vascular injury and blood loss, followed by a complex interplay of coagulation and fibrinolytic systems. Trauma-induced coagulopathy (TIC), a biochemical disturbance, develops in up to 35% of hemorrhaging patients before arriving at the hospital, complicating the body's natural hemostatic response and increasing mortality fourfold. The endothelium's activation during shock promotes the release of tissue plasminogen activator (tPA), which can overwhelm inhibitors and trigger unregulated fibrinolysis (Bini et al., 2023; Fecher et al., 2021).

Mechanisms of Bleeding in Trauma

Bleeding mechanisms include direct laceration from penetrating trauma, vascular disruption by blunt force, and secondary biochemical changes such as coagulopathy. Hemorrhagic shock results from substantial blood loss, leading to decreased oxygen delivery, metabolic acidosis, and cellular dysfunction. Prehospital fluid resuscitation and early use of hemostatic agents like tranexamic acid (TXA) can reduce early mortality and the need for blood transfusion. However, improper or excessive resuscitation may contribute to hemodilution and exacerbate coagulopathy (McNeilly et al., 2025a).

Hemorrhage as the Leading Cause of Preventable Death

Uncontrolled hemorrhage remains the foremost avoidable cause of traumatic death worldwide. Early identification and rapid control of bleeding at the scene are paramount for survival, as delays in intervention correlate directly with increased morbidity and mortality. Aggressive hemorrhage control, including the application of tourniquets, pressure dressings, and junctional devices, forms the basis of paramedic management (Widiyawati et al., 2025).

Systemic Consequences: Hypovolemic Shock and Coagulopathy

Massive blood loss can precipitate hypovolemic shock, marked by decreased blood volume, hypotension, poor tissue perfusion, and multi-organ failure. Shock-induced changes in endothelial function activate protein C pathways, worsening coagulopathy by degrading physiological inhibitors and amplifying systemic fibrinolysis. Trauma patients may quickly deteriorate, requiring prompt prehospital interventions to correct hypovolemia and support coagulation.

Types of Hemorrhage in Trauma

Paramedics must rapidly assess bleeding sources using clinical examination and specialized tools to differentiate among these types (McNeilly et al., 2025b).

External Bleeding

External bleeding is most commonly seen in extremity or scalp injuries and is amenable to direct pressure, tourniquet application, and wound packing. It presents with visible blood loss and can often be controlled effectively through standard prehospital interventions.

Internal Thoracic and Abdominal Hemorrhage

Internal hemorrhage within the chest or abdomen is hidden and can be rapidly fatal if not recognized. Signs include distended abdomen, hypotension, and signs of shock without visible blood loss. Prehospital interventions focus on rapid transport and support, as definitive management often requires surgical intervention.

Junctional Hemorrhage (Groin, Axilla, Neck)

Junctional bleeding occurs at anatomical transition zones, such as the groin, axilla, and neck, where standard tourniquets are ineffective. Specialized junctional tourniquets and wound packing are required to control bleeding in these regions. Failure to control junctional hemorrhage can quickly lead to exsanguination.

Intracranial Hemorrhage

Intracranial hemorrhage includes bleeding inside the skull and is classified by location: epidural, subdural, subarachnoid, and intraparenchymal. Trauma may cause brain contusions, hematomas, or diffuse microbleeds, with clinical presentations ranging from headache and altered level of consciousness to focal neurological deficits. Management in the field is limited to supportive care and rapid transport for definitive neurosurgical treatment.

Paramedics play a vital role in the early recognition and management of traumatic hemorrhage through assessment tools, vigilant monitoring, physical examination, and decisively utilizing hemorrhage control techniques and adjuncts such as TXA (Bini et al., 2023).

Direct Pressure and Pressure Dressings

Indications and Technique:

Direct pressure is the primary and immediate intervention for external hemorrhage of nearly all types, indicated for wounds on limbs, trunk, or scalp. After identifying life-threatening bleeding, responders apply a sterile or clean dressing directly over the wound, maintaining continuous, firm pressure using both hands, elbows locked to maximize force. If direct pressure controls bleeding, a pressure dressing securely wrapped to concentrate pressure over the site maintains hemostasis during transport (Escajeda, 2021).

Effectiveness and Limitations:

Direct pressure remains highly effective for most external bleeding, but limitations include ineffectiveness for deep/Junctional wounds or catastrophic arterial bleeds. Dressings may become ineffective if not adequately secured or if movement disrupts placement. Failure of ongoing bleeding control mandates escalation to tourniquets or adjuncts.

Tourniquets

Types:

Common devices include the Combat Application Tourniquet (CAT), a strap-and-windlass system, and pneumatic tourniquets, which use air to achieve circumferential pressure. CATs are widely adopted due to reliable field efficacy and ease of use, while pneumatic types are more common in hospitals or military settings.

Evidence of Effectiveness (Military vs. Civilian):

Military studies demonstrate that prompt prehospital tourniquet use is associated with increased survival from extremity hemorrhage, with civilian evidence supporting similar benefits in severe limb trauma

when applied appropriately. Comparative studies show both CAT and pneumatic designs effectively stop arterial bleeding, with small differences in application time or pressure. Some reviews indicate pneumatic models distribute pressure more evenly and may cause less discomfort, but all types achieve occlusion when skillfully applied.

Complications and Safe Duration:

Complications can include nerve damage, temporary or permanent sensory/motor loss, rhabdomyolysis, compartment syndrome, and in rare cases, limb ischemia that may necessitate amputation. Data suggest that these risks rise with application times exceeding 60-120 minutes, although many complications also relate to severity of injury and prolonged extrication, rather than the device itself. Median complication rates of 8-13% are reported, with most tourniquet-related injuries mitigated by limiting duration to under 2 hours whenever possible (Rittblat et al., 2025).

Hemostatic Dressings and Agents

Types and Mechanism:

- **Kaolin-based (e.g., QuikClot):** Activates the intrinsic clotting cascade, accelerating clot formation at the wound site (Smith et al., 2013).
- Chitosan-based (e.g., Celox, HemCon): Creates an adhesive physical barrier by bonding to tissue and red blood cells, sealing wounds even in coagulopathic patients (Smith et al., 2013).
- **Fibrin Sealants:** Mimic the final steps of the clotting cascade, directly delivering fibrin for rapid clot development (Smith et al., 2013).

Evidence for Civilian Prehospital Use:

There is increasing evidence for the efficacy of modern hemostatic dressings in both military and civilian settings, with studies demonstrating rapid bleeding control as an adjunct when direct pressure alone is insufficient. Kaolin and chitosan-based dressings are widely adopted in EMS protocols, though the majority of evidence remains extrapolated from military and animal studies, underscoring the need for well-designed civilian trials (Jamal et al., 2021b).

Junctional Hemorrhage Control Devices

Junctional Tourniquets (SAM, CRoC, JETT):

These devices were developed for hemorrhage at sites such as the groin, axilla, and neck where standard limb tourniquets are ineffective. SAM, CRoC, and JETT achieve hemostasis by applying proximal, targeted circumferential pressure at vascular junctions, with cadaver and simulation studies confirming efficacy comparable to limb tourniquets (Spiegel & Baker, 2023).

Emerging Innovations and Limitations:

Novel designs, including multi-site and rapid-deployment junctional devices, are under study, although their bulk, complexity, and rarity of indication often limit routine EMS deployment. Training requirements and delays in high-pressure, chaotic scenes remain barriers to widespread usage.

Pelvic Binders and Splints

Pelvic Trauma and Hemorrhage Risk:

Open-book and complex pelvic fractures are associated with severe internal bleeding. Prehospital application of a pelvic binder reduces pelvic volume and tamponades bleeding vessels.

Application Techniques:

Optimal binder placement targets the level of the greater trochanters, avoiding suboptimal compression of abdominal or thigh soft tissues. Quick application is essential; mechanical or sheet-based binders are both considered effective for initial stabilization.

Patient Survival Outcomes:

While some studies suggest pelvic binders decrease transfusion requirements and may reduce early mortality, recent systematic reviews indicate that survival benefit is closely related to injury severity rather than binder use alone. Nevertheless, binders are regarded as a safe, low-cost adjunct to complex trauma management in the prehospital environment.

Airway, Breathing, and Circulation Considerations

Prioritization in Trauma Algorithms:

Modern trauma protocols emphasize a structured approach: Airway, Breathing, and Circulation (ABC), or when exsanguinating bleeding is apparent, C-ABC (Catastrophic hemorrhage first). Airway and ventilation must be secured early in patients with altered mental status, facial trauma, or respiratory compromise (Kim & Kim, 2025).

Avoiding Hypothermia:

Hypothermia precipitates the lethal triad with acidosis and coagulopathy, compounding hemorrhagic shock. In the field, care must be taken to cover the patient, warm fluids when feasible, and minimize heat loss via exposed wounds or environmental exposure (McNeilly et al., 2025b).

Fluid Resuscitation and Permissive Hypotension:

Mounting evidence supports limiting large-volume crystalloid use, instead favoring blood products when available, and permissive hypotension (maintaining SBP 80-90 mmHg) to preserve clot integrity in patients without traumatic brain injury. Early use of tranexamic acid (TXA) and prioritization of rapid transport to definitive surgical care have also become hallmarks of evidence-driven prehospital protocols.

Initial Assessment and Triage

The initial assessment typically begins with the primary survey, most commonly conducted via the ABCDE approach Airway, Breathing, Circulation, Disability, and Exposure. This structured method prioritizes identification and immediate management of life-threatening problems before secondary assessment. The systematic implementation ensures critical injuries are not missed and interventions are performed without delay. Paramedics are trained to reassess after each intervention, and teamwork is essential for optimal response (Thim et al., 2012).

Guidelines for trauma triage, like those from the CDC and the American College of Surgeons, establish standardized protocols for field triage. They outline physiological criteria (such as vital signs and consciousness level), anatomical injury patterns, and mechanisms of injury required to categorize trauma severity and define destination planning for trauma patients. These guidelines are revised periodically to incorporate emerging evidence, aiming to maximize sensitivity and specificity for major trauma identification and minimize preventable morbidity and mortality (Charles Sifford et al., 2022).

Issues of overtriage (transporting less severely injured patients to trauma centers) and undertriage (missing major injuries and failing to allocate trauma resources appropriately) directly affect healthcare resource use and outcomes in trauma systems. Continuous quality improvement efforts, audit, and feedback are vital to address these challenges (Andrews et al., 2024).

Trauma Scoring Systems

Paramedics utilize trauma scoring systems in the prehospital setting to assess severity, predict outcomes, and support triage decisions. The Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) remains fundamental for evaluating neurological status. Other widely used systems include:

- Revised Trauma Score (RTS): Combines physiological parameters such as GCS, systolic blood pressure, and respiratory rate, offering moderate predictive accuracy.
- Trauma and Injury Severity Score (TRISS): Integrates ISS, RTS, age, and injury type for prognosis estimation.
- MGAP (Mechanism, GCS, Age, Arterial Pressure) and GAP (GCS, Age, Arterial Pressure): Studies have shown MGAP and GAP provide high sensitivity and specificity for identifying severe trauma and predicting outcomes, with MGAP being an independent predictor of trauma severity.
- Mechanism-based scales like the Kampala Trauma Score (KTS) are adapted for settings with limited resources. Prehospital shock indices (such as heart rate divided by systolic blood pressure) help identify patients at risk for shock.

Hemorrhage Severity Assessment

Assessment of hemorrhagic shock and severe bleeding is critical in trauma care. Commonly used physiological markers include:

- Shock Index (SI): Calculated as heart rate divided by systolic blood pressure, SI > 0.9 indicates increased risk of hypoperfusion.
- Modified Shock Index (MSI): Uses mean arterial pressure for improved sensitivity.
- Systolic Blood Pressure (SBP) thresholds: SBP below 90 mmHg is a classical marker for shock and prompts urgent intervention.
- Capillary refill time and peripheral perfusion markers act as bedside indicators of circulatory status but have variable reliability.
- Point-of-care lactate measurement, where available, reflects tissue hypoperfusion and can guide volume resuscitation.

New and Emerging Technologies

Innovations in trauma assessment in the field are transforming prehospital care:

- Portable ultrasound devices allow paramedics to perform focused assessment with sonography for trauma (FAST exam), identifying occult bleeding and guiding triage.
- Near-infrared spectroscopy is used for tissue oxygenation monitoring and may detect shock states earlier than traditional vitals.
- Smartphone-based applications increasingly support scoring system calculations, data sharing, and communication with trauma centers in real-time.
- Machine learning algorithms are being integrated into triage protocols, aiming to improve outcome prediction, limit over-/under-triage, and optimize trauma system resource allocation.

Patient-Level Outcomes

Survival Rates and Mortality Reduction

Rapid and effective prehospital hemorrhage control is one of the top contributors to reducing trauma mortality. Uncontrolled hemorrhage remains the leading cause of preventable death following trauma, and studies consistently report that interventions such as direct pressure, tourniquet use, and prehospital administration of tranexamic acid (TXA) significantly improve early survival rates. Early use of TXA in trauma cases, for example, is associated with a meaningful reduction in 24-hour mortality, with no statistically significant increase in venous thromboembolism risk. These interventions address the critical period between injury and hospital arrival, preventing progression to irreversible shock and multiorgan failure (Choi et al., 2021).

Reduction in Time to Definitive Care

Timely hemorrhage control in the field stabilizes patients and allows safer, quicker transfer to definitive surgical care. Organized trauma systems that emphasize rapid prehospital care have demonstrated substantial reductions in time to operative intervention reducing median time to emergency laparotomy from over six hours to less than one hour in some regions after system reorganization. This expedited timeline is strongly correlated with improved patient survival and decreased early complications .

Functional Outcomes and Disability Reduction

Effective hemorrhage control not only saves lives but also mitigates long-term disability by reducing the period of shock and ischemia. Limiting tissue hypoperfusion lessens the likelihood of organ damage, neurologic injury, and chronic disability. Downstream benefits include improved functional status post-discharge and reduced need for complex, resource-intensive rehabilitation.

System-Level Outcomes

EMS Workload and On-Scene Time Considerations

While advanced bleeding control techniques and the use of adjuncts may increase initial on-scene time, studies suggest that overall system efficiency and outcomes benefit, especially if field stabilization reduces secondary transport complications. Training in rapid assessment tools allows EMS crews to triage appropriately and avoid unnecessary delays, balancing the competing needs of scene time and lifesaving intervention.

Cost-Effectiveness Analyses of Hemorrhage Control Interventions

Economic analyses indicate that advanced prehospital resuscitation measures such as thawed plasma transfusion or TXA administration are not only lifesaving but also cost-effective, with incremental cost-effectiveness ratios well below standard benchmarks (e.g., \$50,000/QALY in some studies). Upfront investments in training, equipment, and program adoption are offset by reduced hospital resource consumption and improved quality-adjusted life years for survivors (Hrebinko et al., 2021).

Regional and National Trauma System Impacts

The development of regional and national trauma systems that prioritize prehospital hemorrhage control has been shown to produce substantial improvements in survival and care quality. Integration of comprehensive bleeding control protocols, system-wide training, and equipment standardization drive these gains. However, disparities persist, particularly in access and outcomes between major trauma centers and smaller units.

Challenges and Barriers

Resource Limitations in Low- and Middle-Income Countries (LMICs)

In LMICs, trauma burden is high, yet there are significant shortages in trained personnel, equipment, and system infrastructure. More than 90% of prehospital hemorrhage deaths in these regions are attributed to delayed or absent initial bleeding control, with barriers including lack of tourniquets, hemostatic agents, and rapid transport (Kulkarni et al., 2024).

Training Gaps for Paramedics and First Responders

EMS and first responder training variability is a significant barrier worldwide. In LMICs and even in some high-income regions, opportunities for ongoing, scenario-based hemorrhage control training are limited. Programs like "Stop the Bleed" have demonstrated improvements in lay responder knowledge and willingness to provide care, yet retention of skills over time requires regular reinforcement (Abdelrahman et al., 2025).

Equipment Availability and Maintenance

Although most EMS units in higher-income countries possess baseline hemorrhage control materials (tourniquets, gauze, pelvic binders), availability of advanced interventions such as blood products, REBOA, and plasma is inconsistent even in developed health systems. Maintenance and standardization of prehospital trauma kits remain problematic, particularly as specialist supplies age or are expended, necessitating regular audits and system-level oversight to sustain readiness (Eichinger et al., 2024).

Importance of Skills Training for Paramedics

Paramedics are often the first healthcare providers to manage trauma patients in the prehospital environment, where rapid and precise interventions can be lifesaving. Comprehensive skills training is essential for paramedics to recognize life-threatening hemorrhage, apply appropriate control measures, and accurately assess trauma severity using validated tools. Research has consistently shown that ongoing, high-fidelity practical training leads to improved psychomotor skills, clinical decision-making, and patient outcomes. Targeted workshops, hands-on scenarios, and procedural refreshers have become central to paramedic curricula, reinforcing competencies in tourniquet application, wound packing, and use of trauma assessment scales (e.g., Revised Trauma Score, Glasgow Coma Scale).

The integration of scenario-based education enables paramedics to understand nuances in trauma care, such as differentiation between venous and arterial bleeding and prioritization of interventions based on mechanism of injury, patient presentation, and environmental factors. Each skill set ranging from basic airway management to advanced hemorrhage control requires technical proficiency and situation-specific adaptation, best achieved through structured training and deliberate practice.

Simulation-Based Hemorrhage Control Training

Simulation-based education has emerged as a transformative modality in prehospital trauma care, particularly in teaching hemorrhage control to paramedics. High-fidelity simulations replicate real-life situations, providing a safe space for learners to master bleeding management techniques without risk to actual patients. Evidence suggests that simulation training increases paramedics' procedural accuracy, confidence, and readiness to manage catastrophic bleeding. This mode of learning allows repeated exposure to challenging, high-pressure scenarios, including massive extremity hemorrhage, multiple casualty incidents, and traumatic amputations, enabling paramedics to practice applying tourniquets, hemostatic agents, and pressure dressings under expert supervision.

Advanced simulation platforms leverage lifelike mannequins, moulaged "wounds," and interactive case scenarios that simulate physiological changes over time, testing paramedics' clinical judgment and response to evolving patient conditions. Post-simulation debriefing and feedback enhance knowledge retention and foster a culture of continuous improvement. Studies demonstrate measurable gains in speed,

accuracy, and adherence to guidelines following simulation-based hemorrhage control training, with direct implications for reduced morbidity and mortality in actual trauma cases.

Interprofessional Collaboration in Trauma Care Education

Effective trauma management requires seamless cooperation across disciplines, making interprofessional education (IPE) a cornerstone of high-quality paramedic training programs. IPE sessions, which unite paramedics, nurses, physicians, and trauma surgeons in shared learning environments, foster mutual respect and understanding of roles. These collaborative approaches strengthen communication, promote efficient task delegation, and ensure unified treatment strategies from point of injury through definitive care.

Joint trauma simulations and workshops cultivate teamwork skills essential for integrating EMS with hospital-based trauma teams during mass casualty events or complex prehospital scenarios. Interprofessional education enhances paramedics' ability to operate within multidisciplinary trauma teams, improves patient handover quality, and increases overall system resilience. Furthermore, IPE exposes paramedics to current evidence-based practices in trauma care, contributing to uniform standards and improved clinical outcomes.

Continuing Education and Recertification Requirements

To maintain competence in trauma care, paramedics must engage in ongoing education and periodic recertification, as stipulated by regulatory bodies and best practice guidelines. Continuing education (CE) offerings, such as trauma life support courses, advanced bleeding control certifications, and online modules, keep paramedics abreast of emerging protocols and technologies. Recertification typically involves validated skill assessments, written examinations, and demonstration of continued clinical proficiency in core trauma procedures, with an emphasis on hemorrhage control and patient assessment.

Participation in continuing education ensures paramedics are current on advances like new hemostatic agents, updates to tourniquet usage recommendations, and evolving trauma triage criteria. Regulatory agencies such as the National Registry of Emergency Medical Technicians (NREMT) and local EMS authorities enforce mandatory CE credits, periodic skill refreshers, and simulation-based recertification requirements, fostering a culture of lifelong learning and patient safety in prehospital care.

Future Directions

Advances in Hemorrhage Control Technologies

Recent years have witnessed significant innovation in hemorrhage control modalities tailored for the prehospital environment. Novel mechanical and chemical agents have been developed to better address both compressible and non-compressible hemorrhages. Mechanical innovations include refined tourniquets and resuscitative endovascular balloon occlusion of the aorta (REBOA), which can rapidly stabilize patients with non-compressible torso hemorrhage by temporarily occluding major blood vessels to control bleeding and maintain hemodynamic stability. Devices like ResQFoam and XSTAT provide minimally invasive compressive techniques tailored for junctional wounds and have demonstrated promising effects in early case series. Chemically, hemostatic dressings such as QuikClot Combat Gauze and tranexamic acid (TXA) are now more commonly integrated into paramedic protocols, offering adjunctive coagulopathic benefits. Many of these technologies have gained FDA approval and include endorsements from tactical combat casualty care committees, underscoring their evolving acceptance in civilian emergency medical services. The continuing evolution of these devices aims to improve portability, ease of application, efficacy in diverse hemorrhage types, and integration into rapid decision-making protocols for field use.

Integration of Artificial Intelligence in Prehospital Trauma Assessment

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a cutting-edge adjunct to prehospital trauma care, promising to augment paramedics' diagnostic and decision-making capabilities. AI platforms leverage machine learning, natural language processing, and deep learning to analyze structured and unstructured prehospital data, including vital signs, dispatch narratives, and electronic patient care records, to generate rapid predictions on injury severity, bleeding risk, and resource needs. Early studies demonstrate that AI models can outperform traditional trauma scoring systems like the Revised Trauma Score (RTS) and Injury Severity Score (ISS) in predicting critical interventions and patient outcomes during prehospital care. AI-enabled tools also facilitate remote telemedicine guidance by providing predictive insights to human clinicians engaged in trauma care. This nascent field is rapidly expanding, fueled by improved prehospital data digitalization and access to trauma registries, and promises to deliver faster, more accurate triage and better matching of patients to appropriate care levels prior to hospital arrival.

Expansion of Paramedic Roles and Protocols Worldwide

Paramedic practice is undergoing a global transformation with an expansion beyond traditional emergency response roles toward more comprehensive responsibilities in healthcare delivery. Community paramedicine programs enable paramedics to engage in chronic disease management, home-based assessments, health promotion, and preventive interventions, effectively reducing unnecessary hospital admissions and alleviating burden on emergency departments. The integration of telemedicine and digital health tools extends paramedics' reach to remote consultation and collaborative decision-making with physicians. Further, paramedics are increasingly taking on specialized emergency care roles, including advanced trauma management, mental health crisis intervention, and critical care transport. This role enlargement addresses the growing demand for accessible and efficient healthcare services across diverse health systems worldwide, although disparities persist between high- and low-resource settings. Along with role expansion, standardized protocols and continuous training are imperative to ensure quality and safety as paramedics' scope broadens.

Research Gaps and Need for Large-Scale Clinical Trials

Despite advances, substantial gaps remain in the clinical evidence base guiding prehospital trauma care, particularly in hemorrhage control interventions and assessment tools. A recent systematic mapping of prehospital trauma trials revealed significant heterogeneity in study designs, populations, interventions, and reported outcomes, limiting the ability to draw robust conclusions or develop universal guidelines. Moreover, many existing trials suffer from poor methodological quality and insufficient sample sizes. Important unresolved questions include the comparative effectiveness of novel hemorrhage control agents, optimal integration strategies for AI tools, and validation of expanded paramedic roles in diverse health settings. To address these gaps, there is a pressing need for well-coordinated, large-scale, multicenter randomized controlled trials and observational studies with standardized endpoints. Such efforts are crucial to generate high-quality evidence that can translate into improved protocols, better resource allocation, and ultimately enhanced trauma outcomes globally.

CONCLUSION

Trauma remains a leading global cause of preventable death and disability, with uncontrolled hemorrhage representing the most critical challenge during the prehospital phase. Paramedics, as the first point of professional contact, play a pivotal role in stabilizing patients through timely interventions, rapid hemorrhage control, and the use of validated assessment tools. Advances such as hemostatic dressings, junctional devices, pelvic binders, and tranexamic acid administration have markedly improved survival outcomes when applied appropriately in the field. Similarly, structured assessment systems and triage protocols ensure that high-risk patients are identified early and transported efficiently to definitive care. However, disparities in training, equipment availability, and system integration, particularly in low- and

middle-income countries, remain significant barriers. Strengthening prehospital trauma systems through comprehensive paramedic education, simulation-based training, interprofessional collaboration, and standardized resource allocation is essential to optimize outcomes. Ultimately, improving prehospital hemorrhage control and assessment represents not only a clinical necessity but also a public health imperative to reduce mortality and long-term disability from trauma worldwide.

REFERENCES

- 1. Abdelrahman, H., Mekkodathil, A., El-Menyar, A., Consunji, R., Rizoli, S., & Al-Thani, H. (2025). The effect of external bleeding control training courses on lay first-person responders'knowledge, skills, and attitudes in low- and middle-income countries: A systematic review. European Journal of Trauma and Emergency Surgery, 51(1), 252. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00068-025-02917-4
- 2. Andrews, T., Meadley, B., Gabbe, B., Beck, B., Dicker, B., & Cameron, P. (2024). Review article: Pre-hospital trauma guidelines and access to lifesaving interventions in Australia and Aotearoa/New Zealand. Emergency Medicine Australasia, 36(2), 197–205. https://doi.org/10.1111/1742-6723.14373
- 3. Bini, R., Virdis, F., Cioffi, S. P. B., Altomare, M., Sammartano, F., Borotto, E., Chiara, O., & Cimbanassi, S. (2023). "Stabilize the Unstable": Treatment Pathophysiology in Bleeding Trauma Patients, from the Field to the ICU. State of the Art. Journal of Personalized Medicine, 13(4), 667. https://doi.org/10.3390/jpm13040667
- 4. Charles Sifford, M., Dailey, R., Reif, R., Hutchison, M., Mason, C., Kimbrough, K., Davis, B., Bhavaraju, A., Jensen, H. K., Robertson, R., Taylor, J., Beck, W. C., & Sexton, K. (2022). CDC field triage criteria accurately predicts outcomes in high impact trauma. Journal of Injury and Violence Research, 14(1), 115–124. https://doi.org/10.5249/jivr.v14i1.1650
- 5. Choi, J., Carlos, G., Nassar, A. K., Knowlton, L. M., & Spain, D. A. (2021). The impact of trauma systems on patient outcomes. Current Problems in Surgery, 58(1), 100849. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpsurg.2020.100849
- 6. Eichinger, M., Eichlseder, M., Schützelhofer, G., Pichler, A., Schreiber, N., Zoidl, P., Honnef, G., & Zajic, P. (2024). Available equipment for traumatic haemorrhage management in Austrian prehospital physician response units: A nationwide survey and analysis of guideline adherence. BMC Emergency Medicine, 24, 230. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12873-024-01150-3
- 7. Escajeda, J. (2021). Hemorrhage control. In Emergency Medical Services (pp. 299–306). John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119756279.ch35
- 8. Fecher, A., Stimpson, A., Ferrigno, L., & Pohlman, T. H. (2021). The Pathophysiology and Management of Hemorrhagic Shock in the Polytrauma Patient. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 10(20), 4793. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm10204793
- 9. Hrebinko, K. A., Sperry, J. L., Guyette, F. X., Brown, J. B., Daley, B. J., Miller, R. S., Harbrecht, B. G., Claridge, J. A., Phelan, H. A., Neal, M. D., Zuckerbraun, B. S., Yazer, M. H., & Nicholson, K. J. (2021). Evaluating the Cost-effectiveness of Prehospital Plasma Transfusion in Unstable Trauma Patients. JAMA Surgery, 156(12), 1131–1139. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamasurg.2021.4529
- 10. Jamal, L., Saini, A., Quencer, K., Altun, I., Albadawi, H., Khurana, A., Naidu, S., Patel, I., Alzubaidi, S., & Oklu, R. (2021a). Emerging approaches to pre-hospital hemorrhage control: A narrative review. Annals of Translational Medicine, 9(14), 1192. https://doi.org/10.21037/atm-20-5452
- 11. Jamal, L., Saini, A., Quencer, K., Altun, I., Albadawi, H., Khurana, A., Naidu, S., Patel, I., Alzubaidi, S., & Oklu, R. (2021b). Emerging approaches to pre-hospital hemorrhage control: A narrative review. Annals of Translational Medicine, 9(14), 1192. https://doi.org/10.21037/atm-20-5452
- 12. Kim, J.-Y., & Kim, O. H. (2025). Recent Advances in Prehospital and In-Hospital Management of Patients with Severe Trauma. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 14(7), 2208. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm14072208
- 13. Kirves, H., Handolin, L., Niemelä, M., Pitkäniemi, J., & Randell, T. (2010). Paramedics' and prehospital physicians' assessments of anatomic injury in trauma patients: A cohort study. Scandinavian

- Journal of Trauma, Resuscitation and Emergency Medicine, 18, 60. https://doi.org/10.1186/1757-7241-18-60
- 14. Kulkarni, A. J., Batra, A., Eisner, Z. J., Delaney, P. G., Pine, H., Klapow, M. C., & Raghavendran, K. (2024). Prehospital hemorrhage management in low- and middle-income countries: A scoping review. World Journal of Surgery, 48(3), 547–559. https://doi.org/10.1002/wjs.12054
- 15. McNeilly, B., Samsey, K., Kelly, S., Pennardt, A., & Guyette, F. X. (2025a). Prehospital Blood Administration in Traumatic Hemorrhagic Shock. JACEP Open, 6(2), 100041. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acepjo.2024.100041
- 16. McNeilly, B., Samsey, K., Kelly, S., Pennardt, A., & Guyette, F. X. (2025b). Prehospital Blood Administration in Traumatic Hemorrhagic Shock. JACEP Open, 6(2), 100041. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acepjo.2024.100041
- 17. Rittblat, M., Gendler, S., Tsur, N., Radomislensky, I., Ziv, A., & Bodas, M. (2025). The cost of saving lives: Complications arising from prehospital tourniquet application. Academic Emergency Medicine: Official Journal of the Society for Academic Emergency Medicine, 32(5), 532–541. https://doi.org/10.1111/acem.15070
- 18. Smith, A. H., Laird, C., Porter, K., & Bloch, M. (2013). Haemostatic dressings in prehospital care. Emergency Medicine Journal: EMJ, 30(10), 784–789. https://doi.org/10.1136/emermed-2012-201581
- 19. Spiegel, S., & Baker, A. M. (2023). EMS Junctional Hemorrhage Control. In StatPearls [Internet]. StatPearls Publishing. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK597371/
- 20. Thim, T., Krarup, N. H. V., Grove, E. L., Rohde, C. V., & Løfgren, B. (2012). Initial assessment and treatment with the Airway, Breathing, Circulation, Disability, Exposure (ABCDE) approach. International Journal of General Medicine, 5, 117–121. https://doi.org/10.2147/IJGM.S28478
- 21. Trentzsch, H., Goossen, K., Prediger, B., Schweigkofler, U., Hilbert-Carius, P., Hanken, H., Gümbel, D., Hossfeld, B., Lier, H., Hinck, D., Suda, A. J., Achatz, G., & Bieler, D. (2025). Stop the bleed "— Prehospital bleeding control in patients with multiple and/or severe injuries—A systematic review and clinical practice guideline—A systematic review and clinical practice guideline. European Journal of Trauma and Emergency Surgery: Official Publication of the European Trauma Society, 51(1), 92. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00068-024-02726-1
- 22. Widiyawati, K., Lestari, R., & Suryanto. (2025). Emergency response in resource-constrained settings: A scoping review of prehospital trauma care in LMICs. The American Journal of Emergency Medicine, 97, 220–226. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajem.2025.08.005
- 23. Yan, J., Wang, C., & Sun, B. (2025). Global, regional, and national burdens of traumatic brain injury from 1990 to 2021. Frontiers in Public Health, 13, 1556147. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2025.1556147
- 24. Zhong, H., Feng, Y., Shen, J., Rao, T., Dai, H., Zhong, W., & Zhao, G. (2025). Global Burden of Traumatic Brain Injury in 204 Countries and Territories From 1990 to 2021. American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 68(4), 754–763. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2025.01.001