The Review of DIABETIC STUDIES

Reprint from

The Review Of
DIABETIC
STUDIES OPEN ACCESS

The Ecology Of Infection Control: Balancing Microbes, Medicine, And Management

Nouf Barakatallah Alsoubhi[1],Asmaa Abdullah Alsoubhi[2],Ahmed Hassan Alkhalifa[3],Ayat Taleb Alkhars[4],Ahmed Musaad Almutairi[5],Sultan Nahis Duaibl Alanazi[6],Fatimah Sami Almajhad[7],Badriah Rashed Mohammed Sufyani[8],Saad Saud Saif Al-Otaibi[9], Fahad Metlaa Alotaibi[10], Soaad Omar Yahia Essa[11],Amal Omar Yehia Eissa[12],AbdulmajeedMohammed Mahrous[13], Mohammed Hussain Alkhalafh[14],Bedur Daifallah Aoud Almutairi [15]

[1]Registered Nurse, Bader General Hospital, Madinah Health Cluster. Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
[2]Registered Nurse, Bader General Hospital, Madinah Health Cluster. Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
[3]Nursing Technician, Erada complex, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
[4]Laboratory Specialist, King Faisal General Hospital, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
[5]Emergency Medical Technician, Almadinah Health Cluster, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
[6]Health Services Administration And Hospitals, Ministry Of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
[7]Laboratory Specialist, King Fasil Hospital, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
[8]Al-Iman General Hospital, Midwife, Ministry Of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
[9]Health Administration Specialist, King Khalid Hospital, Al-Kharj, First Health Cluster, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
[10]Senior Radiology Specialist, King Khalid Hospital, Al-Kharj, First Health Cluster, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
[11]Nurse, Comprehensive Specialized Clinics for Security Forces in Jazan, Jazan Health Cluster, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
[12]Nursing, King Fahd Central Hospital (KFCH), Jazan Health Cluster, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
[13]Consultant in Orthodontic and Dentofacial Orthopedic, Madinah Health Cluster, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
[14]Nursing Specialist, King Fahad Hofuf Hospital, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
[15]Nursing Specialist, Alzulfi General Hospital, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

Abstract

Background: Infection control is a dynamic field addressing the complex interplay between microbial ecology, healthcare practices, and organizational management. The challenges of antimicrobial resistance, evolving healthcare environments, and sustainability demand integrative strategies that move beyond traditional pathogen eradication toward ecological balance and multidisciplinary collaboration.
Methods: This comprehensive review synthesizes current literature on microbial ecology, infection prevention strategies, antimicrobial stewardship, environmental considerations, and behavioral approaches in infection control. It critically examines historical contexts, clinical interventions, and emerging technologies through an ecological and systems-based framework.
Results: Findings underscore the importance of maintaining microbial balance to optimize host defenses while minimizing pathogen proliferation. Effective infection control integrates horizontal and vertical interventions, stewardship programs, and innovation in diagnostics and environmental management. Challenges persist in implementation, resource allocation, and adapting to novel pathogens and resistance mechanisms.
Conclusions: Sustainable infection control requires a holistic ecological perspective that fosters multidisciplinary partnerships, prioritizes environmental stewardship, and leverages technological advances. Global collaboration and policy harmonization are essential to meet ongoing and emerging infectious threats, ensuring patient safety and healthcare quality in complex clinical ecosystems.

Keywords Infection Control, Microbial Ecology, Healthcare-Associated Infections, Antimicrobial Stewardship, Management.

Introduction

The ecology of infection control is a multifaceted, evolving discipline that examines the complex interplay between microbial populations, healthcare practices, and organizational management strategies aimed at preventing and mitigating infectious risks within clinical environments. This ecological approach extends beyond simple eradication of pathogens, focusing on the dynamic balance between humans and microbes, and recognizing that effective infection control must account for the realities of microbial adaptation, environmental pressures, and the stewardship of therapeutic agents such as antibiotics. As healthcare becomes increasingly globalized and technologically advanced, infection control faces growing challenges including antimicrobial resistance, increasing patient acuity, the proliferation of invasive devices, the expanding scope of immunosuppression, and complex hospital ecosystems that bridge the human, environmental, and microbial domains. In recognizing the interconnectedness of these elements, infection control must transcend traditional practices and embrace a systems-based, ecological frame that prioritizes sustainability, multidisciplinary collaboration, and responsive adaptation to an ever-changing microbial landscape (Lim et al., 2024).

The ecological perspective in infection control encompasses the entirety of healthcare-associated infection (HAI) risk strata: patients, personnel, visitors, and the physical environment, along with organizational, regulatory, and sociocultural influences. The control of infectious risks now involves a constellation of coordinated interventions ranging from environmental hygiene and waste management to surveillance, antibiotic stewardship, vaccination, and outbreak preparedness. Recent research highlights the critical environmental dimension, with a focus on the environmental footprint of infection control practices and their sustainability, making waste reduction and reuse increasingly central to ecological stewardship. The scope also includes the functional reach of infection prevention and control (IPC) teams, which manage data collection, risk surveillance, policy development, staff training, antimicrobial utilization monitoring, and quality reporting. Ecological thinking also urges consideration of microbial communities (microbiomes) in clinical surroundings and the impact of interventions on both pathogenic and commensal organisms. The balance between preventing infection and maintaining ecological and patient safety, while optimizing resource use and mitigating environmental harms, lies at the heart of infection control's evolving scope (Nadi et al., 2024).

The principles and practices of infection control have deep historical roots, reflecting humanity’s ongoing struggle with infectious diseases and the adaptive ingenuity of both microbes and medicine. Archaeological and paleopathological evidence reveals that ancient populations were exposed to, and sometimes protected by, naturally occurring antimicrobials, such as tetracycline residues in Nubian mummies' bones, suggesting early empirical attempts at microbial management. Throughout the medieval period, complex herbal and mineral remedies were utilized to combat infection, with interdisciplinary modern studies confirming the antimicrobial efficacy of many such historical compounds for example, a reconstructed 1,000-year-old Anglo-Saxon remedy shown to be active against Staphylococcus aureus biofilms, including MRSA. The advent of the germ theory in the 19th century by pioneers like Pasteur and Lister catalyzed the rational development of antisepsis, sterilization, and environmental hygiene, embedding infection control into the foundations of modern medicine. The subsequent discovery and clinical deployment of antibiotics, notably penicillin in the 20th century, revolutionized therapeutic strategies and precipitated a new era marked by optimism and, paradoxically, the ecological challenge of resistance. Over ensuing decades, the integration of surveillance, standardized protocols, environmental interventions, and multidisciplinary IPC programs became institutional mainstays, while concern grew over the sustainability and environmental impact of increasingly complex healthcare delivery systems. Today, historical lessons underscore the necessity of continuous innovation, vigilance, and ecological integration to stay ahead of a dynamic and adaptable microbial world (Sakai & Morimoto, 2022).

Methods

This review employed a multidisciplinary literature synthesis approach to explore the ecology of infection control, balancing microbial, medical, and management perspectives. Peer-reviewed articles, clinical guidelines, and authoritative reports were identified through systematic searches in databases including PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science, focusing on recent advances in microbial ecology, healthcare-associated infection (HAI) prevention, antimicrobial stewardship, and environmental sustainability in healthcare settings.

The methodology emphasized integration across ecological theory, clinical practice, and organizational behavior to provide a comprehensive framework. Evidence was critically appraised for relevance, quality, and applicability to diverse healthcare contexts. Historical analysis contextualized contemporary strategies, while studies on emerging diagnostic technologies and behavioral interventions were included to highlight innovations.

Special attention was given to multidisciplinary approaches incorporating horizontal (broad-spectrum) and vertical (pathogen-specific) interventions, antimicrobial resistance mechanisms, environmental microbiome considerations, and sustainable practices. The role of leadership, communication, and behavioral economics in promoting infection prevention compliance was also examined. This integrative methodology aimed to synthesize diverse knowledge streams into a cohesive ecological model for infection control.

Foundations of Microbial Ecology

Microbial ecology forms the foundational understanding of the complex interactions, distributions, and dynamics of microorganisms within their environments. It involves studying microbial populations and communities at multiple scales, integrating principles from population ecology, metapopulation ecology, and ecosystem ecology. At the population level, microbial ecology examines the replication, growth, and interaction of microbial species with each other and with host cells, critical to understanding infectious processes and immune responses. Metapopulation theory introduces a spatial component, recognizing that microbial communities exist in connected, heterogeneous habitats, allowing for migration and recolonization that influence stability and resilience of microbial ecosystems. Environmental fluctuations and resource availability are dominant in shaping microbial community assembly, with species interactions often being weaker and more stochastic. Functional redundancy is common, as multiple microbial taxa can perform similar ecological roles, ensuring ecosystem functions remain stable despite taxonomic variability. This holistic view highlights that microbes not only adapt to but also actively modify their environments, shaping health and disease outcomes in hosts. Thus, microbial ecology provides the conceptual framework to understand microbial roles spanning from environmental reservoirs to human-associated microbiomes, emphasizing dynamic, context-dependent equilibria vital for ecological and clinical management (Smith et al., 2015).

Microbial communities play integral roles in human health by maintaining homeostasis, supporting immune function, and protecting against pathogens. The human body harbors diverse microbial ecosystems on the skin, mucosa, and internal organs, collectively influencing physiological processes such as metabolism, barrier integrity, and immune regulation. A balanced and diverse microbiota correlates with robust health, while dysbiosis, characterized by imbalanced species composition or reduced diversity, is linked to various diseases, including autoimmune disorders, inflammatory conditions, and infections. Interactions between microbial communities and the host's immune system are complex and bidirectional, involving microbial metabolites like short-chain fatty acids that modulate immune responses and maintain mucosal tolerance. Environmental exposures, diet, and lifestyle factors shape microbial assemblages, underscoring the importance of considering external influences on microbial ecology. Studies reveal that microbial diversity tends to be greater in individuals with more interaction with nature, which is associated with enhanced immune regulation and decreased incidence of allergic and chronic diseases. Importantly, microbial community stability and resilience depend on an ecological balance that can be disrupted by antibiotics, stress, or environmental changes, often leading to adverse health consequences (Smith et al., 2015).

The human microbiome serves as a vital health guardian by providing colonization resistance against pathogens, synthesizing essential nutrients, and educating the immune system. However, alterations in microbiome composition can also pose risks by promoting disease states. For example, dysbiosis can facilitate pathogen overgrowth, increase inflammation, or contribute to carcinogenesis, as seen with Helicobacter pylori associated with gastric cancer or shifts in gut microbiota linked to colorectal and hepatocellular carcinomas. The microbiome's influence extends beyond local sites, impacting systemic immunity and metabolic health. Furthermore, research illuminates the role of the microbiome in allergic diseases where loss of microbial diversity, especially early in life due to factors like cesarean delivery or antibiotic use, predisposes individuals to asthma and allergies by impairing immune regulation. Thus, the microbiome's dual role necessitates a balanced ecological framework that supports beneficial microbes while limiting opportunistic pathogens. Advances in microbiome modulation therapies, including probiotics, prebiotics, and microbiota transplantation, aim to restore this balance, enhancing disease prevention and treatment (Lee et al., 2021).

Environmental microbiomes serve as significant reservoirs of microbial diversity and play crucial roles in the transmission of microbes to humans and animals. Communities in soil, water, air, and built environments harbor dense and diverse bacterial, fungal, and viral populations. These environmental microbiomes contribute to the dissemination of antimicrobial resistance genes through horizontal gene transfer mediated by mobile genetic elements, which complicates infection control and global public health. They also act as sources for colonization of the human microbiome and can transmit both beneficial and pathogenic microbes. Transmission pathways include direct contact, inhalation of aerosols, ingestion of contaminated water or food, and vector-borne mechanisms. Recognition of the environment as a key component in the One Health framework emphasizes integrated surveillance and intervention strategies that encompass human, animal, and environmental health to effectively control infections and antimicrobial resistance. Monitoring environmental resistomes and improving sanitation and waste management are critical to mitigating these transmission pathways (Raziq et al., 2025).

The use of antibiotics and antifungals profoundly disrupts the microbial balance, often leading to dysbiosis with significant clinical implications. Antibiotic treatment can reduce microbial diversity and selectively eliminate commensal species, allowing opportunistic pathogens like Enterococcus to proliferate and increase susceptibility to secondary infections, including fungal infections. Antibiotic-induced depletion of key microbial groups such as Clostridium and Bacteroides interrupts immune regulatory functions mediated by microbial metabolites like short-chain fatty acids, impairing mucosal defenses and promoting colonization by invasive organisms. Similarly, antifungals targeting fungal overgrowth must be carefully managed as they can also perturb fungal and bacterial communities, further destabilizing the ecosystem. Such disruptions contribute to an increased risk of infections, inflammation, and disease progression, highlighting the necessity for judicious antimicrobial use. Preventive approaches involve the use of probiotics or prebiotics to support microbial resilience, minimize collateral damage to the microbiome, and reduce antimicrobial resistance development. These findings underscore that antimicrobial stewardship must integrate ecological principles to maintain the delicate balance of human and environmental microbiomes (Huang et al., 2022).

Microbe-Host Interactions

The intricate interplay between microbes and their hosts forms the cornerstone of understanding infection control, revealing a delicate balance influenced by the immune system, microbial metabolites, and the composition of the microbiota. The interactions between microbes and their hosts are diverse and dynamic, resulting in outcomes ranging from mutualistic commensalism to pathogenic infection. Infection in the context of microbe-host relationships is defined as the acquisition of a microbe by a host, which can lead to various degrees of tissue damage and immune response activation. Vertebrate hosts are typically born sterile or with minimal microbial burden, and the initial colonization involves complex immune recognition and responses. Importantly, the nature and extent of host tissue damage during infection often dictate the immune reaction. Damage can manifest at cellular, tissue, or organ levels, and the immune system's sensing of microbial presence frequently requires some form of detectable harm or danger signal to initiate targeted defense mechanisms. Notably, many microbes live as commensal organisms that establish themselves early in life without causing overt damage but actively shape and train the host's immune system. The host-microbe relationship is thus not merely antagonistic but often mutualistic, exemplified by the microbiota's critical role in immune development and protection from pathogen colonization by competitive exclusion and metabolic support. The immune system deploys both innate and adaptive strategies to modulate these interactions, balancing tolerance to beneficial microbes while aggressively targeting pathogens (Belkaid & Hand, 2014).

Mechanistically, microbes have evolved sophisticated strategies to modulate the host immune system to their advantage. Pathogens may evade immune detection by cloaking their molecular signatures or actively suppressing immune activation. Conversely, some microbes exploit host inflammation to enhance their survival or dissemination. Host immunity involves a complex signaling network whereby microbial components are recognized by pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) such as Toll-like receptors (TLRs), leading to cytokine production and recruitment of immune cells. Microbes can interfere with antigen presentation, phagocytosis, and cytokine signaling pathways to escape clearance. This immune modulation is a double-edged sword; while critical for pathogen persistence, it also contributes to pathogenesis and chronic inflammatory diseases. Advances in understanding these mechanisms have highlighted the interplay between microbial evasion tactics and host immune countermeasures as a central theme in microbial pathogenesis (C. H. Kim, 2018).

A pivotal aspect of microbe-host immune modulation involves microbial metabolites, which are bioactive small molecules produced during microbial metabolism that profoundly influence immune function. These metabolites act through specific host receptors such as G-protein coupled receptors (e.g., GPR41, GPR43) and nuclear receptors (e.g., aryl hydrocarbon receptor [AhR], pregnane X receptor [PXR]) expressed on immune cells. Key microbial metabolites include short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) generated from dietary fiber fermentation, bile acid derivatives, indole compounds, and other signaling molecules. SCFAs, particularly butyrate, enhance regulatory T cell development and promote anti-inflammatory effects while maintaining epithelial barrier integrity. Bile acids modulate immune cell activity and gut homeostasis through receptor-mediated pathways. Microbial metabolites serve as essential intermediaries in communicating the nutritional and immunological status of the host, acting to maintain immune tolerance under steady-state conditions and to calibrate immune responses during infection. This bidirectional signaling is crucial for balancing effective pathogen defense without triggering detrimental inflammation or autoimmune disorders. Dysregulation of these metabolic interactions can shift the immune equilibrium toward pathological inflammation or impaired immunity (Merchak & Gaultier, 2020).

Disruption of the normal microbial community structure, known as dysbiosis, critically affects infection susceptibility and immune homeostasis. Dysbiosis refers to an imbalance in the composition or function of the microbiota, often characterized by reduced diversity and an overrepresentation of potentially pathogenic species. Such perturbations can result from antibiotic exposure, diet changes, infection, or other environmental factors, leading to impaired colonization resistance where pathogenic bacteria exploit the ecological niche. Dysbiosis has been shown to increase hospitalization risk for sepsis by several folds and is associated with heightened low-grade inflammation and compromised barrier function. In bloodstream infections (BSIs), patients exhibit significant loss of microbial richness and distinct microbial signatures compared to healthy individuals. The altered microbial ecosystem disrupts the normal immunomodulatory signals and antimicrobial peptide production, facilitating pathogen colonization and invasion. Furthermore, dysbiosis can affect systemic immunity, creating a vicious cycle that perpetuates infection risk. Understanding the triggers, consequences, and mechanisms linking dysbiosis with infectious risk offers critical insight into new management approaches aimed at restoring microbial balance as part of infection control (Lin et al., 2024).

Environmental Considerations in Infection Control

Pathogens can persist on inanimate hospital surfaces for extended periods, ranging from days to months, depending on factors such as temperature, humidity, pathogen type, and surface characteristics. Common nosocomial pathogens, including bacteria, viruses, and fungi, have shown remarkable ability to survive in dry and wet conditions typical of healthcare environments. For example, lower temperatures and higher humidity levels have been generally associated with longer survival times of pathogens on surfaces. The clinical environment, however, presents a complex matrix of contamination, where surfaces may be simultaneously exposed to multiple pathogens and organic matter, influencing persistence and transmission potential. This resilience of pathogens underscores the critical role of environmental reservoirs in the transmission of infections within hospitals and necessitates rigorous cleaning and disinfection protocols to break the chain of infection (Wißmann et al., 2021).

Hospital environments include wet and dry surfaces that serve as reservoirs for microbial persistence and transmission. Water systems, such as plumbing and sinks, can harbor biofilms containing Pseudomonas spp. and other opportunistic pathogens, contributing to outbreaks of infections especially in immunocompromised patients. Airborne transmission also remains a concern in poorly ventilated areas or where aerosol-generating procedures are performed, implicating indoor air quality as a factor in infection control. Surfaces, both high-touch (e.g., bed rails, doorknobs) and less frequently touched, play a critical role in pathogen dissemination. Biofilms on medical device surfaces and wet environments can protect microbes from desiccation and disinfectants, further complicating eradication efforts. Hence, understanding the ecology of these reservoirs informs targeted cleaning interventions and risk assessments (Bonadonna et al., 2017).

Environmental cleaning encompasses a broad range of techniques and innovations designed to reduce microbial burden on hospital surfaces and air. Traditional cleaning focuses on physical removal of soil and debris using detergents, which is essential before effective disinfection. Disinfection processes employ chemical agents such as alcohols, chlorines, quaternary ammonium compounds, and advanced oxidative technologies like hydrogen peroxide vapor and ultraviolet-C (UV-C) irradiation. Each method has specific efficacy profiles, compatibility considerations, and optimal application protocols, including contact time and frequency. Innovations in environmental cleaning include no-touch automated disinfection systems, enhanced monitoring using microbiological sampling and adenosine triphosphate (ATP) bioluminescence assays, and tailored multimodal strategies incorporating education, audit, and feedback mechanisms. Quality assurance in cleaning is paramount, involving standardized procedures, staff training, proper supplies management, and adherence to manufacturer guidelines. The application of risk-based approaches considering patient susceptibility, surface contamination levels, and pathogen characteristics enhances the effectiveness and sustainability of cleaning programs (Browne & Mitchell, 2023).

The architectural and facility design of hospitals deeply influences infection risks and control measures. Design features such as the inclusion of single-patient rooms, adequate spacing, ventilation systems, natural lighting, and materials resistant to microbial colonization contribute significantly to reducing HAIs. Sustainable and health-focused architecture integrates infection prevention principles by optimizing patient flow, separating clean and contaminated zones, and facilitating easy cleaning access. The COVID-19 pandemic has further highlighted the importance of architectural strategies to curtail respiratory pathogen spread through improved air filtration, negative pressure rooms, and layout modifications. Architectural guidelines aim to balance patient well-being, environmental sustainability, and infection control requirements, recognizing that the built environment is a critical component of healthcare ecosystem management. Evidence supports reduced infection rates with improved design, although outcomes depend on a complex interplay of factors, including adherence to protocols and ongoing facility maintenance (Emmanuel et al., 2020).

Clinical Intervention Strategies

Horizontal and vertical interventions form the core framework of infection control strategies. Vertical interventions are organism-specific approaches, including active surveillance testing and isolation of patients colonized or infected with particular pathogens such as methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) or vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus (VRE). These interventions are crucial during outbreaks or to control emerging resistant pathogens by interrupting transmission chains via targeted isolation and decolonization efforts. However, their routine use remains debated for endemic pathogens due to cost and resource implications. In contrast, horizontal interventions adopt a broader scope focusing on reducing the risk of infections from multiple organisms simultaneously. These include robust hand hygiene practices, environmental cleaning, chlorhexidine bathing for select patient groups, and antimicrobial stewardship programs. Horizontal strategies are generally considered more cost-effective and impactful on overall HAI rates by targeting shared transmission modes rather than individual organisms. Optimal infection control programs often combine these approaches tailored to local microbial ecology and resource availability to maximize effectiveness in healthcare settings (Abbas & Stevens, 2024).

Antimicrobial stewardship (AMS) integrates policy development, prescribing oversight, and surveillance to optimize antibiotic use and curb antimicrobial resistance (AMR). Effective AMS programs involve multidisciplinary teams to establish institutional protocols aligned with clinical guidelines, ensuring appropriate antimicrobial choice, dose, and duration. Surveillance systems monitor resistance patterns and antimicrobial consumption, providing critical feedback to guide empirical therapy adjustments and stewardship interventions. These programs also emphasize healthcare worker education and audit-feedback cycles that have demonstrated improvements in prescribing appropriateness and reductions in antibiotic consumption, fostering sustainable resistance control. The integration of AMS within infection prevention broadens the impact beyond direct infection control measures, addressing the root cause of resistant pathogen emergence and spread in healthcare environments (Pezzani et al., 2020).

Decolonization protocols serve as targeted pathogen reduction strategies aimed at eradicating colonization by resistant organisms to prevent subsequent infections and transmission. This includes topical antiseptic applications such as chlorhexidine bathing and intranasal mupirocin for carriers of MRSA or other multidrug-resistant organisms (MDROs). Recent evidence supports the effectiveness of universal, rather than targeted, decolonization in reducing bloodstream infections and overall pathogen burden, with additional indirect benefits from decreased environmental contamination and shedding. Cost-effectiveness analyses underscore decolonization's potential to prevent numerous infections and deaths at relatively low incremental costs, especially in high-risk or long-term care settings. Therefore, decolonization is both a personalized patient safety measure and a population-level infection control tool with growing support in clinical practice guidelines (Mangalea et al., 2024).

Emerging novel therapeutics include probiotics, bacteriophages, and microbiome restoration approaches as innovative adjuncts or alternatives amidst increasing antimicrobial resistance challenges. Probiotics aim to restore or maintain beneficial microbiota to outcompete pathogens, enhance barrier functions, and modulate immune responses, having shown promise in preventing infections such as Clostridioides difficile colitis. Bacteriophage therapy, using viruses that selectively infect and lyse bacterial pathogens, has re-emerged as a potential treatment, particularly for multidrug-resistant infections. Advances include genetically engineered phages, bacteriophage cocktails, and novel delivery systems to enhance efficacy and safety. Microbiome restoration through fecal microbiota transplantation or targeted microbial consortia seeks to reestablish healthy microbial ecosystems disrupted by antibiotics or disease, contributing to infection prevention and immune homeostasis. While still largely investigational, these approaches represent the frontier of ecological infection control, emphasizing modulation of microbial communities rather than eradication alone (Ling et al., 2022).

Management of Healthcare-Associated Infections (HAIs)

Healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) represent a critical challenge to patient safety and healthcare quality worldwide, owing to their substantial morbidity, mortality, and economic burden. Epidemiologically, HAIs affect an estimated 1 in every 31 hospitalized patients, manifesting as diverse infections such as urinary tract infections, bloodstream infections, surgical site infections, and pneumonia. The incidence of HAIs varies by clinical setting and patient population, with intensive care units, renal medicine, and cardiothoracic surgery exhibiting some of the highest rates. Older adults and emergency admissions are particularly vulnerable. Seasonal trends are also evident, with respiratory and surgical infections peaking in summer and gastrointestinal infections, notably norovirus, surging in winter months. These infections often develop a median of nine days after admission, underscoring the need for timely surveillance and prevention strategies. The epidemiology highlights not only the prevalence but also the dynamic and multifactorial nature of HAIs, necessitating focused infection prevention and control efforts tailored to specific hospital environments and patient risks (Alrebish et al., 2022).

A significant proportion of HAIs are associated with the use of invasive medical devices and procedures, which serve as portals of entry for pathogens and disrupt normal host defenses. Device-associated HAIs (DA-HAIs) include catheter-associated urinary tract infections (CAUTI), central line-associated bloodstream infections (CLABSI), ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP), and surgical site infections related to various interventions. Studies consistently demonstrate that certain invasive procedures markedly elevate the risk of HAIs. For instance, temporary tracheostomies, central venous pressure monitoring, prolonged mechanical ventilation, and venous catheterization significantly correlate with increased infection rates. These findings reveal how the physical presence of devices, by breaching anatomical barriers and providing surfaces for microbial colonization and biofilm formation, potentiates infection risk. Moreover, the degree of risk is influenced by the type of device, duration of use, and the clinical management protocols in place. Such data emphasize the critical importance of stringent aseptic techniques, device selection, routine evaluation for device necessity, and adherence to evidence-based bundles for device care as pillars of HAI risk reduction (Guarente et al., 2024).

Effective outbreak response and containment in healthcare settings demand rapid detection, thorough epidemiologic investigation, and coordinated intervention strategies. Upon alert, infection control units must orchestrate prompt case identification, laboratory diagnostics, and isolation of affected patients to curtail transmission. Standard and transmission-based precautions, including hand hygiene, use of personal protective equipment, and environmental cleaning, are foundational measures reinforced hospital-wide. Enhanced surveillance activities, including patient and staff screening, cohorting of exposed or infected individuals, and targeted disinfection practices, are commonly employed. Leadership communication and collaboration with public health entities are paramount to resource mobilization and transparency. Balancing patient care imperatives while protecting healthcare workers and maintaining healthcare infrastructure integrity requires multidisciplinary engagement and ongoing education. The outbreak management protocols are designed not only to stop ongoing transmission but also to build resilience against future infectious threats, highlighting the need for robust preparedness plans and real-time data utilization in healthcare epidemiology (Sood & Perl, 2016).

Behavioral and Systems Approaches

Behavioral and Systems Approaches in Infection Prevention and Control (IPC) encompass a wide array of strategies designed to improve compliance with infection control practices among healthcare workers. Central to these approaches are behavioral interventions that target the complexities of human behavior in the healthcare setting, as non-compliance with IPC protocols often arises from multifactorial causes including beliefs, social norms, perceived barriers, and organizational context. Behavioral theories such as the Health Belief Model, Theory of Planned Behavior, and more comprehensive frameworks like the Capability, Opportunity, Motivation-Behavior (COM-B) model and the Behaviour Change Wheel (BCW) have been applied to understand and improve IPC behaviors effectively. Research highlights that interventions grounded in these theories focus on enhancing healthcare workers' beliefs about the consequences of infection control, addressing environmental context and resource availability, and reinforcing social and professional identities aligned with IPC compliance. Tailored behavioral interventions that integrate educational efforts with real-time feedback, reminders, and goal-setting have proven to foster sustained improvements in hand hygiene and antimicrobial stewardship practices. Despite progress, there remains a scarcity of interventions thoroughly underpinned by behavioral science, suggesting a critical need for expansion of theory-driven behavior change programs in IPC (Greene & Wilson, 2022).

Communication, Culture, and Leadership are pivotal elements within IPC programs that significantly influence infection prevention outcomes. Effective communication strategies facilitate the dissemination of IPC guidelines, timely reporting of infection outbreaks, and collaborative decision-making among multidisciplinary teams. An organizational culture that prioritizes patient safety and infection prevention fosters a shared sense of responsibility and accountability that encourages consistent adherence to protocols. Leadership commitment is especially crucial, as it shapes the allocation of resources, prioritizes IPC in institutional agendas, and models expected behaviors. Studies demonstrate that hospital leadership support, demonstrated through frequent communication with clinical and administrative staff, active involvement in IPC programs, and facilitation of regular IPC meetings, correlates with enhanced implementation of IPC measures including antibiotic stewardship and protocol revisions. Leaders who engage in "walkrounds" and visible reinforcement of IPC priorities cultivate a positive safety culture, empowering frontline workers and reinforcing organizational norms for infection control. However, leadership effectiveness is often limited by competing managerial demands and insufficient training focused specifically on IPC responsibilities, underscoring a need for specialized leadership development within IPC frameworks (S. O. Kim & Sim, 2025).

Role Modeling, Mentorship, and Insights from Behavioral Economics contribute uniquely to fostering sustainable IPC behaviors at the individual and organizational levels. Role models, typically senior clinicians or IPC champions, exert a powerful influence by demonstrating ideal infection control practices and ethical standards, which are then emulated by peers and trainees. Mentorship programs provide personal guidance, skill development, and reinforcement of professional values related to IPC, enabling mentees to internalize best practices and develop greater confidence in adhering to protocols. Behavioral economics principles introduce approaches that recognize cognitive biases and heuristics influencing compliance, such as using nudges, incentives, and feedback mechanisms to promote desired behaviors subtly. Embedding these behavioral insights makes IPC interventions more effective by aligning them with natural human tendencies and decision-making processes. For example, modeling good hand hygiene behavior by senior staff and reinforcing this through peer support networks have been associated with improved adherence in clinical settings. Similarly, mentorship enhances not only knowledge but also motivation and social identity aligned with IPC goals. Integrating behavioral economics strategies such as commitment devices or social norm feedback within mentorship and role modeling frameworks holds promise for driving long-term cultural shifts toward infection prevention excellence (Cottle et al., 2024).

Antimicrobial Resistance and the Microbial Ecology

AMR and the microbial ecology are intricately linked, where the development, spread, and eventual control of resistance are deeply influenced by both ecological and clinical factors. AMR arises as bacteria and other microbes evolve mechanisms to survive exposure to antimicrobial drugs, a phenomenon that is driven not only by the use and overuse of these agents in medicine and agriculture but also by complex interactions within microbial communities and their environments. The microbial ecology provides a vast reservoir of genetic material, including resistance genes that can be transferred horizontally among microbes inhabiting various ecosystems such as soil, water, animals, and humans. Environmental bacteria often serve as the origin for many resistance determinants found in clinical pathogens, which underscores the importance of a holistic ecological approach to understanding and managing AMR (Bottery et al., 2021).

Resistance development is propelled by multiple ecological drivers and clinical factors. In environmental settings, anthropogenic activities such as the widespread use of antibiotics in agriculture, veterinary practices, and human medicine create selection pressures that favor resistant bacteria. These microbes and their resistance genes enter various environmental compartments like soil, water bodies, and sediments through waste discharge including hospital wastewater, agricultural runoff, and domestic sewage. Additionally, the presence of other pollutants such as heavy metals and biocides can co-select for resistance, further complicating the ecological dynamics of AMR. Clinically, the inappropriate use of antibiotics such as overprescription, incomplete treatment courses, and misuse in healthcare institutions amplifies resistance emergence. Moreover, human factors like poor infection control practices, inadequate sanitation, and global travel contribute to the dissemination of resistant strains. The microbial interactions within diverse communities further influence resistance expression and transfer, revealing that AMR is not simply an outcome of drug exposure but a multifaceted evolutionary and ecological phenomenon (Samreen et al., 2021).

In response to these challenges, several strategies are essential for slowing and preventing the spread of antimicrobial resistance. Foremost is the judicious use of antimicrobials, where prescribing these agents only when necessary and appropriate minimizes selective pressure. Antimicrobial stewardship programs have become a cornerstone in healthcare settings by educating professionals, developing guidelines based on microbial susceptibility, and monitoring antibiotic use and resistance trends. Infection prevention and control measures, including patient isolation, hand hygiene, and use of personal protective equipment, are critical to limit transmission. Additionally, vaccination programs reduce the incidence of infections that require antibiotics, thereby indirectly lowering resistance development. On a research and public health front, the development of new antimicrobials is vital but must be paralleled by responsible use to maintain their efficacy. Environmental interventions such as improved waste management, sanitation, and reduction of antibiotic pollutants also form an integral part of global mitigation efforts. Coordinated One Health approaches that connect human, animal, and environmental health sectors are necessary to effectively monitor, regulate, and mitigate AMR spread worldwide (Threats, 2024).

The Role of Dentistry in Infection Control

Dentistry occupies a critical and often underappreciated position within the wider landscape of infection control. As a discipline that inherently involves close contact with the oral cavity, a site rich in microbial diversity and exposure to blood, saliva, and aerosolized particles, dental practice is uniquely positioned at the intersection of microbiology, medicine, and environmental management. The dental environment presents both risks and opportunities in the global effort to balance microbial ecology and safeguard health. Effective infection prevention and control (IPC) in dentistry not only protects patients and practitioners but also serves as a vital barrier against community and healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) (Ge et al., 2020).

The oral microbiome represents one of the most complex microbial ecosystems in the human body, comprising bacteria, fungi, viruses, and archaea that interact with host tissues and immune defenses. More than 700 microbial taxa have been identified within the oral cavity, many of which exist in symbiotic balance under healthy conditions. However, this equilibrium is delicate: disruptions from poor hygiene, antibiotic exposure, or invasive dental procedures can trigger dysbiosis and promote the proliferation of pathogenic species such as Streptococcus mutans, Porphyromonas gingivalis, and Candida albicans. These pathogens not only cause localized infections such as caries and periodontitis but have systemic implications, contributing to endocarditis, respiratory infections, diabetes, and adverse pregnancy outcomes. Understanding and maintaining the ecological balance of the oral microbiota is therefore central to both dental and systemic infection control (Sedghi et al., 2021).

Dental healthcare settings are high-risk environments for pathogen transmission due to frequent exposure to saliva, blood, and aerosols. Dental procedures involving ultrasonic scaling, air-water syringes, or high-speed handpieces generate bioaerosols capable of dispersing microorganisms across several meters, potentially contaminating surfaces and inhalation zones. The pathogens implicated in dental transmission include Mycobacterium tuberculosis, influenza viruses, hepatitis B and C viruses, Legionella pneumophila, and SARS-CoV-2. Cross-contamination can occur through multiple pathways: direct contact with bodily fluids, indirect contact via contaminated instruments or environmental surfaces, and airborne transmission. This complexity necessitates a comprehensive, multilayered infection control strategy encompassing personal protective equipment (PPE), environmental cleaning, instrument sterilization, waterline management, and air quality control (Zemouri et al., 2020).

Dental infection control protocols are built upon the foundation of Standard Precautions universal measures designed to prevent transmission from known and unknown infectious sources. These include rigorous hand hygiene, use of gloves, masks, protective eyewear, and gowns, as well as safe handling of sharps and sterilization of instruments. However, dentistry has developed specialized adaptations beyond these universal measures. High-volume evacuation systems are essential to reduce aerosol spread during operative procedures, while rubber dams act as physical barriers to minimize exposure to saliva and blood. Surface barriers on equipment and single-use disposable items have become integral to contamination control. Proper sterilization using autoclaves, validated through routine biological monitoring, remains the gold standard for reusable instruments. Dental waterlines require particular attention; biofilm formation within tubing can harbor Pseudomonas, Mycobacterium, and other opportunistic pathogens. Regular waterline disinfection and adherence to water quality standards (<500 CFU/mL) as recommended by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) are critical components of dental IPC (Patiño-Marín et al., 2025).

Dentists account for a substantial proportion of outpatient antibiotic prescriptions worldwide, underscoring the profession's influence on antimicrobial resistance (AMR). Studies estimate that between 7–10% of all community antibiotic prescriptions originate from dental settings, with a significant fraction deemed unnecessary or inappropriate, often for prophylaxis or minor infections that could resolve without antibiotic intervention. The ecological implications of overprescription are profound: excessive antimicrobial use not only drives resistance among oral and systemic pathogens but also disrupts the natural microbiota balance, contributing to opportunistic infections such as Clostridioides difficile colitis. Modern infection control in dentistry must therefore integrate antimicrobial stewardship principles, emphasizing evidence-based prescribing, adherence to clinical guidelines, and education on resistance mechanisms. Stewardship initiatives in dental education, electronic prescribing audits, and interprofessional collaboration with pharmacists and microbiologists are effective strategies to promote responsible antimicrobial use (Teoh et al., 2025).

The physical environment of dental clinics plays a vital role in preventing infection transmission. Engineering controls such as optimized ventilation, high-efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filtration, and ultraviolet germicidal irradiation (UVGI) systems reduce airborne microbial loads. The COVID-19 pandemic accelerated the adoption of negative-pressure treatment rooms, air purifiers, and extended fallow times between aerosol-generating procedures. Moreover, sustainable infection control practices have gained prominence, as the environmental footprint of dental care, including disposable materials, chemical disinfectants, and energy-intensive sterilization, poses ecological challenges. Integrating sustainability into dental IPC involves adopting reusable sterilizable instruments where safe, selecting environmentally friendly disinfectants, and minimizing plastic waste without compromising safety. Such practices align dental infection control with the broader ecological framework of healthcare sustainability (AlSaiari et al., 2024).

Challenges and Opportunities

Infection control remains a cornerstone of patient safety and healthcare quality; however, its effective implementation and sustained success face numerous challenges alongside new opportunities. One of the fundamental difficulties lies in balancing clinical effectiveness, organizational capacity, and evolving microbial threats with broader health system goals. The COVID-19 pandemic sharply exposed vulnerabilities in infection control infrastructures worldwide, highlighting supply chain disruptions, workforce limitations, and gaps in preparedness that threaten patient and healthcare worker safety alike. Simultaneously, such crises catalyze innovation and investment in infection prevention and control (IPC) programs, technology, and policies aimed at strengthening resilience and adaptive capacity (Lowe et al., 2021).

Implementing infection control practices consistently across diverse healthcare settings is hindered by multiple barriers. Prominent among these are resource constraints, including limited access to personal protective equipment (PPE), inadequate staffing, and insufficient funding for infection prevention programs, especially in low and middle-income countries. Additionally, a lack of formal training and education among healthcare workers on IPC protocols leads to gaps in compliance and increases vulnerability to healthcare-associated infections (HAIs). Organizational culture and leadership engagement significantly influence adherence, with poor prioritization and communication often derailing implementation efforts. High patient volumes and workload further complicate compliance, diverting focus from infection control priorities. Overcoming resistance to change requires a strategic approach leveraging change management principles, engaging key stakeholders, building coalitions of influential leaders and frontline providers, articulating the urgency and benefits of IPC initiatives, and integrating changes into routine workflows to enhance buy-in and sustainability (Barrow & Annamaraju, 2022).

The continuous emergence of pandemic pathogens such as SARS-CoV-2 underscores the dynamic challenges infection control faces in rapidly evolving clinical landscapes. Novel infectious agents often present with unknown transmission modes, diagnostic challenges, and treatment uncertainties that strain existing IPC frameworks. Healthcare settings, including novel or expanded clinical environments such as telemedicine, outpatient infusion centers, and long-term care facilities, require tailored infection control strategies reflecting their specific risk profiles. Moreover, antimicrobial resistance compounds the complexity, driving the need for vigilant surveillance and antimicrobial stewardship integrated into IPC programs. Advances in epidemiology, pathogen characterization, and innovative prevention tools, including AI-assisted surveillance, organoid models for pathogen study, and enhanced diagnostics, offer promising avenues to anticipate and counteract emerging infectious threats effectively (Wang et al., 2024).

Future Directions

The field of microbial ecology is increasingly recognized as central to understanding the dynamics of infection transmission and resistance. The World Health Organization's (WHO) 2024 global research agenda for antimicrobial resistance (AMR) in human health identifies 40 research priorities, emphasizing the need for multidisciplinary, people-centered approaches that span prevention, diagnosis, treatment, and care, as well as the broader epidemiology, burden, and drivers of AMR. These priorities highlight the importance of investigating the impact of water, sanitation, and hygiene (WASH) interventions on reducing AMR in both community and healthcare settings, as well as the effectiveness of multimodal IPC strategies such as hand hygiene, contact precautions, and patient isolation. Research is also urgently needed to assess the effects of vaccines on preventing colonization and infection by drug-resistant pathogens, and to optimize antimicrobial stewardship interventions in diverse healthcare environments, especially in low-resource settings. The agenda underscores the need for robust surveillance methods to generate accurate, disaggregated data on AMR prevalence and burden, and for studies that evaluate the impact of structural and health system factors on the spread of resistant pathogens. These research priorities are designed to bridge critical knowledge gaps and inform evidence-based policies and interventions that can mitigate the global burden of AMR and enhance IPC effectiveness (Bertagnolio et al., 2024).

The integration of advanced technologies is revolutionizing infection control. Molecular diagnostics, including polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and next-generation sequencing (NGS), have dramatically improved the speed and accuracy of pathogen detection, enabling early diagnosis and rapid response to outbreaks. CRISPR-based tools such as SHERLOCK and DETECTR offer unprecedented sensitivity and specificity for identifying infectious agents, while lateral flow assays and rapid antigen tests facilitate pointof-care diagnostics in diverse settings, from remote clinics to airports. The advent of multiplex PCR and portable PCR machines further enhances the ability to detect multiple pathogens simultaneously, reducing the time to treatment and improving clinical outcomes. Artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning are transforming diagnostics by analyzing large datasets, including electronic health records and medical imaging, to identify patterns indicative of infection and to guide timely interventions. AI-driven surveillance systems have demonstrated high predictive accuracy for healthcare-associated infections (HAIs), outperforming traditional methods in identifying infection rates and enhancing compliance with IPC protocols. Digital tools, such as electronic surveillance systems and open-source infection control platforms, enable real-time monitoring, tracking, and response to infection risks, optimizing IPC efforts and facilitating data-driven decision-making. These technological innovations are not only improving the precision and efficiency of infection control but also expanding access to diagnostics and surveillance in resource-limited settings, thereby strengthening global health security (Pecora & Milner, 2018).

Conclusions

Effective infection control demands an ecological paradigm recognizing the interconnectedness of microbial communities, human hosts, healthcare systems, and their environments. Traditional eradication models are insufficient amidst antimicrobial resistance, complex hospital ecosystems, and evolving infectious threats.

Sustainable infection control integrates multidisciplinary collaboration, combining horizontal and vertical interventions, antimicrobial stewardship, behavioral science, and environmental management to reduce HAI risks while preserving beneficial microbiota. Emerging technologies in molecular diagnostics and AI-driven surveillance enhance early detection and outbreak management, supporting adaptive responses.

Leadership commitment and organizational culture are critical drivers of IPC program success, as is ongoing global cooperation for standardized guidelines and equitable resource distribution. Environmental sustainability has become a core component, aiming to minimize healthcare's ecological footprint without compromising safety.

Overall, infection control must evolve continuously through innovation, education, and ecological stewardship to safeguard patient health, optimize resource use, and address the challenges of a changing microbial and healthcare landscape.

References

1. Abbas, S., & Stevens, M. P. (2024). Horizontal versus vertical strategies for infection prevention: Current practices and controversies. Current Opinion in Infectious Diseases, 37(4), 282–289. https://doi.org/10.1097/QCO.0000000000001027
2. Alrebish, S. A., Yusufoglu, H. S., Alotibi, R. F., Abdulkhalik, N. S., Ahmed, N. J., & Khan, A. H. (2022). Epidemiology of Healthcare-Associated Infections and Adherence to the HAI Prevention Strategies. Healthcare (Basel, Switzerland), 11(1), 63. https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare11010063
3. AlSaiari, A. K. A., Alonazi, M. S., Alotaibi, N. M., AlQahtani, H., Alotaibi, W. M., Yhyha, A. S. S. A., Aldarorah, A., Alaklabi, N. M., Al-Obayli, T. A. S., Alsaud, O. A. S., Alosaimi, L. A., & Beshi, M. G. A. (2024). Bioengineering Innovations in Global Dental Infection Control: Applications and Adaptations in Clinical Settings. International Dental Journal, 75(3), 2222–2238. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.identj.2024.12.011
4. Barrow, J. M., & Annamaraju, P. (2022). Change Management In Health Care. In StatPearls [Internet]. StatPearls Publishing. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK459380/
5. Belkaid, Y., & Hand, T. (2014). Role of the Microbiota in Immunity and inflammation. Cell, 157(1), 121–141. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.03.011
6. Bertagnolio, S., Dobreva, Z., Centner, C. M., Olaru, I. D., Donà, D., Burzo, S., Huttner, B. D., Chaillon, A., Gebreselassie, N., Wi, T., Hasso-Agopsowicz, M., Allegranzi, B., Sati, H., Ivanovska, V., Kothari,

K. U., Balkhy, H. H., Cassini, A., Hamers, R. L., & Weezenbeek, K. V. (2024). WHO global research priorities for antimicrobial resistance in human health. The Lancet. Microbe, 5(11), None. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2666-5247(24)00134-4

7. Bonadonna, L., Briancesco, R., & Coccia, A. M. (2017). Analysis of Microorganisms in Hospital Environments and Potential Risks. Indoor Air Quality in Healthcare Facilities, 53–62. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-49160-8_5
8. Bottery, M. J., Pitchford, J. W., & Friman, V.-P. (2021). Ecology and evolution of antimicrobial resistance in bacterial communities. The ISME Journal, 15(4), 939–948. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-020-00832-7
9. Browne, K., & Mitchell, B. G. (2023). Multimodal environmental cleaning strategies to prevent healthcare-associated infections. Antimicrobial Resistance and Infection Control, 12, 83. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13756-023-01274-4
10. Cottle, J., Drozdik, A. L., & Rimes, K. A. (2024). The Impact of Role Models and Mentors on the Mental and Physical Wellbeing of Sexual and Gender Minorities. Behavioral Sciences, 14(5), 417. https://doi.org/10.3390/bs14050417
11. Emmanuel, U., Osondu, E. D., & Kalu, K. C. (2020). Architectural design strategies for infection prevention and control (IPC) in health-care facilities: Towards curbing the spread of Covid-19. Journal of Environmental Health Science & Engineering, 18(2), 1699–1707. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40201-020-00580-y
12. Ge, Z.-Y., Yang, L.-M., Xia, J.-J., Fu, X.-H., & Zhang, Y.-Z. (2020). Possible aerosol transmission of COVID-19 and special precautions in dentistry. Journal of Zhejiang University. Science. B, 21(5), 361–368. https://doi.org/10.1631/jzus.B2010010
13. Greene, C., & Wilson, J. (2022). The use of behaviour change theory for infection prevention and control practices in healthcare settings: A scoping review. Journal of Infection Prevention, 23(3), 108–117. https://doi.org/10.1177/17571774211066779
14. Guarente, L., Mosconi, C., Cicala, M., De Santo, C., Ciccacci, F., Carestia, M., Emberti Gialloreti, L., Palombi, L., Quintavalle, G., Di Giovanni, D., Buonomo, E., Moramarco, S., Riccardi, F., & Orlando, S. (2024). Device associated healthcare associated infection (DA-HAI): A detailed analysis of risk factors and outcomes in a university hospital in Rome, Italy. Infection Prevention in Practice, 6(4), 100391. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infpip.2024.100391
15. Huang, D., Li, H., Lin, Y., Lin, J., Li, C., Kuang, Y., Zhou, W., Huang, B., & Wang, P. (2022). Antibiotic-induced depletion of Clostridium species increases the risk of secondary fungal infections in preterm infants. Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology, 12, 981823. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2022.981823
16. Kim, C. H. (2018). Immune regulation by microbiome metabolites. Immunology, 154(2), 220–229. https://doi.org/10.1111/imm.12930
17. Kim, S. O., & Sim, M. S. (2025). Fostering sustainable infection prevention behaviors through organizational culture and psychological resources in healthcare settings. Medicine, 104(40), e45013. https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.0000000000045013
18. Lee, L.-H., Wong, S. H., Chin, S.-F., Singh, V., & Ab Mutalib, N.-S. (2021). Editorial: Human Microbiome: Symbiosis to Pathogenesis. Frontiers in Microbiology, 12, 605783. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.605783
19. Lim, O., Chua, W. Y., Wong, A., Ling, R. R., Chan, H. C., Quek, S. C., Wu, S., & Somani, J. (2024). The environmental impact and sustainability of infection control practices: A systematic scoping review. Antimicrobial Resistance and Infection Control, 13, 156. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13756-024-01507-0
20. Lin, X., Lin, C., Li, X., Yao, F., Guo, X., Wang, M., Zeng, M., Yuan, Y., Xie, Q., Huang, X., & Jiao, X. (2024). Gut Microbiota Dysbiosis Facilitates Susceptibility to Bloodstream Infection. Journal of Microbiology (Seoul, Korea), 62(12), 1113–1124. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12275-024-00190-5

21. Ling, H., Lou, X., Luo, Q., He, Z., Sun, M., & Sun, J. (2022). Recent advances in bacteriophage-based therapeutics: Insight into the post-antibiotic era. Acta Pharmaceutica Sinica. B, 12(12), 4348–4364. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsb.2022.05.007
22. Lowe, H., Woodd, S., Lange, I. L., Janjanin, S., Barnet, J., & Graham, W. (2021). Challenges and opportunities for infection prevention and control in hospitals in conflict-affected settings: A qualitative study. Conflict and Health, 15(1), 94. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13031-021-00428-8
23. Mangalea, M. R., Halpin, A. L., Haile, M., Elkins, C. A., & McDonald, L. C. (2024). Decolonization and Pathogen Reduction Approaches to Prevent Antimicrobial Resistance and Healthcare-Associated Infections. Emerging Infectious Diseases, 30(6), 1069–1076. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid3006.231338
24. Merchak, A., & Gaultier, A. (2020). Microbial metabolites and immune regulation: New targets for major depressive disorder. Brain, Behavior, & Immunity - Health, 9, 100169. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbih.2020.100169
25. Nadi, Z. B., Raisali, F., Jafari, N., & Bayramzadeh, S. (2024). The influence of physical environment on health care-associated infections: A literature review. American Journal of Infection Control, 52(2), 229–242. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2023.06.010
26. Patiño-Marín, N., Villa García, L. D., Aguirre López, E. C., Medina-Solís, C. E., Martínez Zumarán, A., Martínez Rider, R., Márquez Preciado, R., Rosales García, P., & Salas Orozco, M. F. (2025). Sterilization and Disinfection: Ensuring Infection Control in Dental Practices. Cureus. https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.79041
27. Pecora, N., & Milner, D. A. (2018). New Technologies for the Diagnosis of Infection. Diagnostic Pathology of Infectious Disease, 104–117. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-44585-6.00006-0
28. Pezzani, M. D., Mazzaferri, F., Compri, M., Galia, L., Mutters, N. T., Kahlmeter, G., Zaoutis, T. E., Schwaber, M. J., Rodríguez-Baño, J., Harbarth, S., & Tacconelli, E. (2020). Linking antimicrobial resistance surveillance to antibiotic policy in healthcare settings: The COMBACTE-Magnet EPI-Net COACH project. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy, 75(Suppl 2), ii2–ii19. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkaa425
29. Raziq, K., Saleem, R., Zafar, S., Sanaullah, T., Nazir, M. M., Ummara, U. E., & Abbasi, A. (2025). Environmental resistomes and antimicrobial resistance: Integrating the One Health framework. Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Archives of Pharmacology. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00210-025-04639-1
30. Sakai, T., & Morimoto, Y. (2022). The History of Infectious Diseases and Medicine. Pathogens (Basel, Switzerland), 11(10), 1147. https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens11101147
31. Samreen, null, Ahmad, I., Malak, H. A., & Abulreesh, H. H. (2021). Environmental antimicrobial resistance and its drivers: A potential threat to public health. Journal of Global Antimicrobial Resistance, 27, 101–111. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgar.2021.08.001
32. Sedghi, L., DiMassa, V., Harrington, A., Lynch, S. V., & Kapila, Y. L. (2021). The oral microbiome: Role of key organisms and complex networks in oral health and disease. Periodontology 2000, 87(1), 107–131. https://doi.org/10.1111/prd.12393
33. Smith, V. H., Rubinstein, R. J., Park, S., Kelly, L., & Klepac-Ceraj, V. (2015). Microbiology and ecology are vitally important to premedical curricula. Evolution, Medicine, and Public Health, 2015(1), 179–192. https://doi.org/10.1093/emph/eov014
34. Sood, G., & Perl, T. M. (2016). Outbreaks in Health Care Settings. Infectious Disease Clinics of North America, 30(3), 661–687. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idc.2016.04.003
35. Teoh, L., Löffler, C., Mun, M., Agnihotry, A., Kaur, H., Born, K., & Thompson, W. (2025). A Systematic Review of Dental Antibiotic Stewardship Interventions. Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology, 53(3), 245–255. https://doi.org/10.1111/cdoe.13009
36. Threats, N. A. of S., Engineering, and Medicine; Health and Medicine Division; Board on Global Health; Forum on Microbial. (2024). Assessing the Burden of and Potential Strategies to Address Antimicrobial Resistance. National Academies Press (US).
37. Wang, S., Li, W., Wang, Z., Yang, W., Li, E., Xia, X., Yan, F., & Chiu, S. (2024). Emerging and reemerging infectious diseases: Global trends and new strategies for their prevention and control. Signal Transduction and Targeted Therapy, 9(1), 223. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41392-024-01917-x

38. Wißmann, J. E., Kirchhoff, L., Brüggemann, Y., Todt, D., Steinmann, J., & Steinmann, E. (2021). Persistence of Pathogens on Inanimate Surfaces: A Narrative Review. Microorganisms, 9(2), 343. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms9020343
39. Zemouri, C., Awad, S. F., Volgenant, C. M. C., Crielaard, W., Laheij, A. M. G. A., & de Soet, J. J. (2020). Modeling of the Transmission of Coronaviruses, Measles Virus, Influenza Virus, Mycobacterium tuberculosis, and Legionella pneumophila in Dental Clinics. Journal of Dental Research, 99(10), 1192–1198. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022034520940288