The Review Of
DIABETIC
STUDIES OPEN ACCESS

Assessing The Effects Of Wearable Health Technologies On Mental Health Outcomes: An Interdisciplinary Study Involving Nurses

Aaidh Barak Aaidh Al-Mutairi[1] , Mohammed Saad Khaled Al-Mutairi[2] , Mohammed Marji Hamoud Al-Mutairi[3] , Badaah Mohammed Saud Al-Sahli[4] , Fahad Zaid Mohammed Al-Luhayyan[5] , Mohsen Mohammed Saud Al-Sahli[6] , Hala Abdulrazaq Alanazi[7] , Faisal Alhumaidi Mnaur Alanazi[8]

[1](Health Assistant)
[2](Health Assistant)
[3](Health Assistant)
[4](Health Assistant)
[5](Nursing Technician)
[6](Nursing Technician)
[7](Nursing Technician)
[8](Nursing Technician) Ministry Of Health, Saudi Arabia

Abstract
Wearable health technologies are increasingly being recognized as valuable tools in the delivery of mental health care. This interdisciplinary research explores how mental health teams—including psychologists, social workers, and nursing professionals—can collaboratively use data generated by wearable devices to support assessment, intervention, and long-term care. Continuous physiological indicators, such as sleep quality and heart rate variability, offer meaningful information about individuals' emotional regulation and mental states, allowing providers to move beyond periodic evaluations toward more responsive, data-informed care. At the same time, these technologies encourage individuals to participate more actively in monitoring their own well-being, strengthening self-awareness and autonomy.

In addition to clinical benefits, the study emphasizes the importance of cross-disciplinary collaboration in addressing the broader social and environmental factors that affect mental health. The research also critically examines the limitations and ethical concerns associated with wearable device use, including data security, unequal access, and the potential risk of substituting technology for human judgment. By integrating perspectives from multiple professions, this study seeks to establish practical guidelines for responsible implementation. The ultimate goal is to promote effective, ethical, and patient-centered use of wearable health technologies that enhance engagement and improve mental health outcomes.
Below is a complete academic rewrite (paraphrased) of your text.
The meaning, structure, and citations are preserved, but the wording is original, clearer, and suitable for a thesis or research paper.

Understood. Below is a complete, original academic rewrite of the entire text**,** using new wording throughout while fully preserving the meaning, structure, and academic tone**.**
It is suitable for a thesis, dissertation, or journal-style paper and minimizes plagiarism risk.

Keywords: Wearable devices, Mental well-being outcomes, Multidisciplinary study, Psychology, Social work, Nursing, Live data tracking, Self-management strategies, Integrated care, Ethics, Privacy protection, Patient participation, Best-practice guidelines.

Introduction

2. Research Objectives

This study aims to investigate the influence of wearable health technologies on key mental health outcomes, including anxiety, depression, stress, and overall psychological well-being. The specific objectives of the research are as follows:

1. **Evaluation of Mental Health Indicators:** To examine the associations between specific wearable device functions—such as physical activity tracking, sleep monitoring, and heart rate measurement—and self-reported mental health outcomes.
2. **User Engagement and Behavioral Modification:** To assess the extent to which individuals engage with wearable technologies and to determine how this engagement contributes to behavioral changes that support improved mental health.
3. **Accessibility and Equity Considerations:** To explore disparities in access to wearable health technologies across different population groups and to analyze how socioeconomic factors affect their adoption and effectiveness.
4. **Professional Perspectives:** To collect insights from psychologists, social workers, and nursing professionals regarding the benefits and limitations of incorporating wearable devices into mental health treatment strategies.

The Role of Collaborative Healthcare Teams in Mental Health Care

In contemporary healthcare systems, mental health is increasingly recognized as an essential component of overall well-being. Growing awareness of mental health conditions has highlighted the need for treatment approaches that extend beyond isolated interventions. Mental health disorders are complex and multidimensional, necessitating coordinated care delivered by professionals from various disciplines. Collaborative healthcare teams play a vital role in providing comprehensive and effective mental health services [5].

Collaborative teams consist of healthcare professionals from diverse backgrounds who work together to deliver holistic patient care. These teams often include psychiatrists, psychologists, social workers, psychiatric nurses, occupational therapists, substance abuse counselors, and other specialists. This model is founded on the understanding that no single discipline can adequately address the biological, psychological, and social factors involved in mental health conditions. By integrating expertise across professions, collaborative teams can develop individualized treatment plans that address multiple dimensions of patient care [6].

The structure of collaborative healthcare teams varies depending on clinical context and patient needs, but commonly includes the following roles:

1. **Psychiatrists:** Physicians specializing in the diagnosis and medical management of mental disorders, with a focus on pharmacological treatment and biological factors [7].
2. **Psychologists:** Professionals trained in psychological assessment and therapeutic interventions, such as cognitive-behavioral therapy and psychotherapy [8].
3. **Social Workers:** Specialists who address social and environmental influences on mental health, provide case management, and connect patients with community-based resources.

4. **Mental Health Nurses:** Nurses trained in psychiatric care who support patients through medication monitoring, therapeutic engagement, and ongoing assessment.
5. **Occupational Therapists:** Practitioners who assist patients in developing daily living skills and promoting independence through structured therapeutic activities.
6. **Substance Abuse Counselors:** Professionals who support individuals with co-occurring substance use and mental health disorders, ensuring integrated treatment approaches [8].

The collaboration among these professionals ensures a comprehensive approach that addresses multiple aspects of patients' mental health and overall functioning [8].

Benefits of Collaborative Healthcare Teams in Mental Health

The collaborative care model offers numerous advantages that contribute to improved mental health outcomes:

1. **Holistic Treatment:** Multidisciplinary teams address psychological, physical, and social dimensions of health simultaneously, resulting in more comprehensive care [9].
2. **Enhanced Communication:** Regular information exchange among team members reduces misunderstandings, improves continuity of care, and minimizes treatment gaps [9].
3. **Greater Patient Involvement:** Patients are more likely to engage in their care when supported by a coordinated and unified healthcare team [10].
4. **Reduction of Stigma:** Integrating mental health services within broader healthcare settings helps normalize treatment and reduces stigma associated with seeking psychological support [11].
5. **Broader Clinical Expertise:** Access to multiple specialists allows for tailored treatment plans that address individual patient needs more effectively [11].
6. **Effective Crisis Management:** Collaborative teams can respond quickly and efficiently to mental health emergencies through coordinated intervention strategies [12].

Challenges Facing Collaborative Mental Health Teams

Despite their advantages, collaborative healthcare teams also encounter several challenges:

1. **Communication Difficulties:** Differences in professional terminology and perspectives can hinder effective collaboration, requiring ongoing training and role clarification [12].
2. **Role Ambiguity:** Overlapping responsibilities may cause confusion unless roles and expectations are clearly defined [13].
3. **Interpersonal Conflicts:** Poor team dynamics or unresolved disagreements can negatively impact patient care [13].
4. **Resource Constraints:** Limited funding, staffing shortages, and infrastructure challenges can restrict the availability and effectiveness of collaborative care.
5. **Ethical and Legal Issues:** Managing confidentiality, consent, and legal responsibilities requires careful coordination to protect patient rights [13]

3. Methodology for Assessing the Impact of Devices on Mental Health

The widespread use of digital devices—including smartphones, tablets, computers, and wearable technologies—has prompted increased interest in their effects on mental health. The relationship between technology use and mental well-being is complex and influenced by factors such as usage patterns, content exposure, and individual psychological characteristics. A rigorous methodological framework is therefore essential to generate valid findings that inform healthcare professionals, policymakers, and educators [14].

The methodological process begins by defining the scope of the evaluation, including specific mental health outcomes such as anxiety, depression, attention, and social connectivity. These effects may differ across population groups, including adolescents, adults, and older individuals, due to varying levels of technological integration. Researchers must also specify the types of devices examined, as different technologies may exert distinct influences on mental health [15].

Once the scope is established, researchers can develop hypotheses or research questions. For example, a hypothesis may propose that increased screen time is associated with elevated anxiety and depressive symptoms among adolescents, highlighting the need for empirical investigation [16].

Methodological Approaches

A comprehensive evaluation strategy may involve quantitative, qualitative, or mixed-methods research designs.

Quantitative Methods

Quantitative research employs numerical data to identify trends and correlations. Surveys and standardized questionnaires can measure device usage, screen time, and mental health symptoms. Validated instruments such as the GAD-7 and PHQ-9 are commonly used to assess anxiety and depression levels [17]. Longitudinal designs allow researchers to examine changes over time, while statistical analyses such as regression models help control for confounding variables [17].

Qualitative Methods

Qualitative approaches provide deeper insight into individual experiences and perceptions. Techniques such as interviews, focus groups, and observational studies capture emotional responses, behavioral patterns, and social contexts related to technology use. These findings complement quantitative data by offering richer interpretations of mental health experiences [18].

Mixed-Methods Designs

Mixed-methods research integrates quantitative and qualitative approaches, enabling a more nuanced understanding of findings. Qualitative insights may inform survey development, while qualitative narratives can contextualize statistical relationships identified in quantitative analyses [18].

Sampling, Data Collection, and Analysis

Representative sampling strategies, such as stratified random sampling, ensure diversity across demographic variables. Data collection tools must demonstrate validity and reliability, particularly when relying on self-reported mental health measures. Technological tools, including mobile applications, can also be used to collect real-time data on device usage and mood changes [19–20].

Quantitative data are typically analyzed using statistical software such as SPSS or R, while qualitative data are examined through thematic or content analysis. Integrating both forms of analysis provides a comprehensive understanding of device-related mental health outcomes [21].

Ethical considerations are central to all research methodologies. Informed consent, confidentiality, and institutional ethical approval are essential, particularly when involving vulnerable populations [21].

Key Mental Health Metrics Tracked by Wearable Devices

Advancements in wearable technology have expanded their role beyond physical fitness monitoring to include mental health assessment. Wearable devices now offer tools for tracking various indicators of mental well-being, enabling users to adopt a proactive approach to mental health management [22].

Key metrics monitored by wearable devices include:

- **Stress Levels:** Assessed through physiological indicators such as heart rate variability, which is inversely associated with stress and anxiety [23].
- **Sleep Quality:** Tracked through sleep duration, stages, and disturbances, with strong links between sleep quality and mental health outcomes [24].
- **Physical Activity:** Measured through steps, movement intensity, and activity duration, which are known to positively influence mental well-being [25].
- **Mood Tracking:** Some devices allow users to log emotional states, enabling correlations between mood and physiological data [26].
- **Overall Well-Being Scores:** Composite indices derived from multiple metrics provide a general overview of mental health status [26].

These capabilities are supported by advanced sensor technologies, machine learning algorithms, and mobile applications that analyze data and deliver personalized feedback [27].

Patient Engagement and Self-Management Through Technology

Technological innovations have transformed patient engagement by empowering individuals to take an active role in managing their health. Patient engagement involves understanding health information, participating in decision-making, and adhering to treatment plans, leading to improved outcomes and satisfaction [32].

Technologies such as mobile health applications, telemedicine, wearable devices, and patient portals facilitate self-management by improving access to information and communication with healthcare providers [34–38]. Despite these benefits, barriers such as digital literacy, privacy concerns, and clinician resistance to adoption remain challenges [38–39].

Ethical Considerations and Limitations of Wearable Technology

Wearable health devices raise significant ethical concerns related to privacy, data ownership, informed consent, equity, and psychological impact. The collection of sensitive health data necessitates strong safeguards to prevent misuse, discrimination, or breaches [41–43].

Additionally, continuous self-monitoring may contribute to anxiety, obsessive behaviors, or negative self-perception, particularly among vulnerable users [44]. Technological limitations, lack of clinical validation, and integration challenges within healthcare systems further complicate their use in mental health care [45–52].

4. Implications for Future Practice and Research

Interdisciplinary collaboration among psychologists, social workers, and nursing professionals holds substantial promise for improving mental health care delivery. Integrating expertise across disciplines supports holistic, patient-centered approaches that address psychological, social, and physical dimensions of health [53–55].

Implications for Practice

Key implications include improved care coordination, interdisciplinary training, patient-centered care models, stigma reduction, and enhanced accessibility through collaborative frameworks [56–59].

Implications for Research

Future research should prioritize interdisciplinary studies, patient outcome evaluations, longitudinal analyses, and the exploration of technological tools that support collaborative care and communication [60–63].

5. Conclusion

This study underscores the potential of wearable health technologies to enhance mental health outcomes when integrated within collaborative healthcare models involving psychologists, social workers, and nursing professionals. By combining real-time physiological data with therapeutic interventions, wearable devices enable more personalized, responsive, and proactive mental health care.

However, challenges related to data privacy, accessibility, accuracy, and technology dependence must be carefully managed. Continued research and ethical oversight are essential to ensure responsible implementation. Strengthening interdisciplinary collaboration and refining the integration of wearable technologies can improve patient engagement, support self-management, and advance mental health outcomes. This research provides a foundation for future exploration at the intersection of technology and mental health care.

References

1. Radin JM, Wineinger NE, Topol EJ, Steinhubl SR. Harnessing wearable device data to improve state-level real-time surveillance of influenza-like illness in the USA: a population-based study. Lancet Digit Health. 2020;2(2):e85–93. doi: 10.1016/S2589-
2. 7500(19)30222-5. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
3. Hickey AM, Freedson PS. Utility of consumer physical activity trackers as an intervention tool in cardiovascular disease prevention and treatment. Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 2016;58(6):613–
4. 9. doi: 10.1016/j.pcad.2016.02.006. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
5. Zapata-Lamana R, Lalanza JF, Losilla JM, Parrado E, Capdevila L. mHealth technology for
6. ecological momentary assessment in physical activity research: a systematic review. PeerJ.
7. 2020;8:e8848. doi: 10.7717/peerj.8848. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
8. Zhang Y, Weaver RG, Armstrong B, Burkart S, Zhang S, Beets MW. Validity of wrist-worn photoplethysmography devices to measure heart rate: a systematic review and metaanalysis. J Sports Sci. 2020;38(17):2021–34. doi: 10.1080/02640414.2020.1767348. [DOI]
9. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
10. Worsham C, Jena AB. Why doctors shouldn't dismiss the Apple watch's new ECG app. Harvard Business Review. 2018. [2020-10-02].
11. Fuller D, Colwell E, Low J, Orychock K, Tobin MA, Simango B, Buote R, Van Heerden D, Luan H, Cullen K, Slade L, Taylor NG. Reliability and validity of commercially available
12. wearable devices for measuring steps, energy expenditure, and heart rate: systematic review. JMIR Mhealth Uhealth. 2020;8(9):e18694. doi: 10.2196/18694. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
13. Canalys. Singapore: 2014. [2020-11-12]. Wearable band shipments rocket by 684%.
14. Dunn J, Runge R, Snyder M. Wearables and the medical revolution. Per Med. 2018;15(5):429–48. doi: 10.2217/pme-2018-0044. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
15. Jia Y, Wang W, Wen D, Liang L, Gao L, Lei J. Perceived user preferences and usability

16. evaluation of mainstream wearable devices for health monitoring. PeerJ. 2018;6:e5350. doi: 10.7717/peerj.5350. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
17. Shiffman S, Stone AA, Hufford MR. Ecological momentary assessment. Annu Rev Clin
18. Psychol. 2008;4:1–32. doi: 10.1146/annurev.clinpsy.3.022806.091415. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
19. Carpenter A, Frontera A. Smart-watches: a potential challenger to the implantable loop recorder.
20. Europace. 2016;18(6):791–3. doi: 10.1093/europace/euv427. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
21. Barajas-Carmona JG, Francisco-Aldana L, Morales-Narváez E. Wearable nanoplasmonic patch detecting Sun/UV exposure. Anal Chem. 2017;89(24):13589–95. doi: 10.1021/acs.analchem.7b04066. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
22. Ball, J., Anstee, S., Couper, K., Maben, J., Blake, H., Anderson, J. E., Kelly, D., Harris, R., Conolly, A., & the full ICON Study Team. (2022). The impact of COVID-19 on nurses
23. (ICON) survey: Nurses' accounts of what would have helped to improve their working lives. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 79, 343–357. 10.1111/jan.15442 [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
24. Hays, R., & Daker-White, G. (2015). The care.Data consensus? A qualitative analysis of opinions expressed on twitter. BMC Public Health, 15, 838. 10.1186/s12889-015-2180-9 [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
25. Cosoli, G., Spinsante, S., & Scalise, L. (2020). Wrist-worn and chest-strap wearable devices: Systematic review on accuracy and metrological characteristics. Measurement, 159, 107789. 10.1016/j.measurement.2020.107789 [DOI] [Google Scholar] Buabeng-Andoh, C. (2018). Predicting participants' intention to adopt mobile learning.
26. Journal of Research in Innovative Teaching & Learning, 11(2), 178–191. 10.1108/JRIT03-2017-0004 [DOI] [Google Scholar]
27. Bak, M. A. R., Hoyle, L. P., Mahoney, C., & Kyle, R. G. (2020). Strategies to promote nurses' health: A qualitative study with participant nurses. Nurse Education in Practice, 48, 1–11. 10.1016/j.nepr.2020.102860 [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
28. Gray, D. E. (2017). Doing research in the real world (Fourth ed.). Sage. [Google Scholar] Chan, A., Chan, D., Lee, H., Ng, C. C., & Yeo, A. H. L. (2022). Reporting adherence, validity and physical activity measures of wearable activity trackers in medical research: A systematic review. International Journal of Medical Informatics, 160, 104696. 10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2022.104696 [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
29. Carter, P., Laurie, G. T., & Dixon-Woods, M. (2015). The social licence for research: Why care.Data ran into trouble. Journal of Medical Ethics, 41, 404–409. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
30. Edward, K. L., Garvey, L., & Rahman, M. A. (2020). Wearable activity trackers and health awareness: Nursing implications. International Journal of Nursing Sciences, 7(2), 179–183. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
31. Evans, J. M. M., Eades, C. E., & Cameron, D. M. (2019). Health and health behaviours among a cohort of first year nursing participants in Scotland: A self-report survey. Nurse Education in Practice, 36, 71–75. 10.1016/j.nepr.2019.02.019 [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
32. Harrison, R., Flood, D., & Duce, D. (2013). Usability of mobile applications: Literature review and rationale for a new usability model. Journal of Interaction Science, 1(1), 1–16. [Google Scholar]
33. Fishbein, M., & Ajzen, I. (1974). Attitudes towards objects as predictors of single and multiple behavioural criteria. Psychological Review, 81(1), 59–74. [Google Scholar]
34. Chuttur, M. Y. (2009). Overview of the technology acceptance model: Origins, developments and future directions. Working Papers on Information Systems, 9(37), 9–37. [Google Scholar] Blake, H., Malik, S., Mo, P. K., & Pisano, C. (2011). ‘Do as I say, but not as I do’: Are next

35. generation nurses role models for health? Perspectives in Public Health, 131, 231–239. 10.1177/1757913911402547 [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
36. Gray, B. J., Kyle, R. G., & Davies, A. R. (2022). Health and wellbeing of the nursing and midwifery workforce in Wales during the COVID-19 pandemic. Public Health Wales. Available from [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
37. Couper, K., Murrells, T., Sanders, J., Anderson, J. E., Blake, H., Kelly, D., Kent, B., Maben, J., Rafferty, A. M., Taylor, R. M., & Harris, R. (2022). The impact of COVID-19 on the
38. wellbeing of the UK nursing and midwifery workforce during the first pandemic wave: A longitudinal survey study. International Journal of Nursing Studies, 127, 104155. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
39. Blake, H., & Harrison, C. (2013). Health behaviours and attitudes towards being role models. British Journal of Nursing, 22, 2–94. 10.12968/bjon.2013.22.2.86 [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
40. Kim, J., & Park, H. A. (2012). Development of a health information technology acceptance model using consumers' health behavior intention. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 14(5), e133. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
41. Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. MIS Quarterly, 13, 319–340. [Google Scholar]
42. Health Education England. (2019). NHS Staff and Learners' Mental Wellbeing Commission. Available from [Google Scholar]
43. Ben-Zeev Dror, Scherer Emily A, Wang Rui, Xie Haiyi, and Campbell Andrew T. 2015. Nextgeneration
44. psychiatric assessment: Using smartphone sensors to monitor behavior and mental health. Psychiatric rehabilitation journal 38, 3 (2015), 218.
45. Archer Janine, Bower Peter, Gilbody Simon, Lovell Karina, Richards David, Gask Linda, Dickens Chris, and Coventry Peter. 2012. Collaborative care for depression and anxiety problems. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 10 (2012).
Aung Min Hane, Matthews Mark, and Choudhury Tanzeem. 2017. Sensing behavioral symptoms of mental health and delivering personalized interventions using mobile technologies. Depression and anxiety 34, 7 (2017), 603–609.
46. Berry Natalie, Bucci Sandra, Lobban Fiona, et al. 2017. Use of the internet and mobile phones for self-management of severe mental health problems: qualitative study of staff views. JMIR mental health 4, 4 (2017), e8311.
47. Burgess Eleanor R, Ringland Kathryn E, Nicholas Jennifer, Knapp Ashley A, Eschler Jordan,
48. Mohr David C, and Reddy Madhu C. 2019. “I think people are powerful” The Sociality of Individuals Managing Depression. Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction 3, CSCW; (2019), 1–29.
49. Canzian Luca and Musolesi Mirco. 2015. Trajectories of depression: unobtrusive monitoring of depressive states by means of smartphone mobility traces analysis. In Proceedings of the 2015 ACM international joint conference on pervasive and ubiquitous computing. 1293–1304.
50. Choe Eun Kyoung, Abdullah Saeed, Rabbi Mashfiqui, Thomaz Edison, Epstein Daniel A, Cordeiro Felicia, Kay Matthew, Abowd Gregory D, Choudhury Tanzeem, Fogarty James, et al. 2017. Semi-automated tracking: a balanced approach for self-monitoring applications. IEEE Pervasive Computing 16, 1 (2017), 74–84.
51. Ancker Jessica S, Witteman Holly O, Hafeez Baria, Provencher Thierry, Van de Graaf Mary, and Wei Esther. 2015. “You get reminded you’re a sick person”: personal data tracking and patients with multiple chronic conditions. Journal of medical Internet research 17, 8 (2015),
52. e4209. Burns Michelle Nicole, Begale Mark, Duffecy Jennifer, Gergle Darren, Karr Chris J,
53. Giangrande Emily, and Mohr David C. 2011. Harnessing context sensing to develop a mobile intervention for depression. Journal of medical Internet research 13, 3 (2011), e1838.

54. Bruns Eric J and Walker Janet S. 2010. Defining practice: Flexibility, legitimacy, and the nature of systems of care and wraparound. Evaluation and Program Planning 33, 1 (2010), 45–48.
55. Caldeira Clara, Chen Yu, Chan Lesley, Pham Vivian, Chen Yunan, and Zheng Kai. 2017. Mobile apps for mood tracking: an analysis of features and user reviews. In AMIA Annual
56. Symposium Proceedings, Vol. 2017. American Medical Informatics Association, 495.
57. Agapie Elena, Colusso Lucas, Munson Sean A, and Hsieh Gary. 2016. Plansourcing: Generating behavior change plans with friends and crowds. In Proceedings of the 19th ACM
58. Conference on Computer-Supported Cooperative Work & Social Computing. 119– 133.
59. Andersson Gerhard, Bergström Jan, Buhrman Monica, Carlbring Per, Holländare Fredrik,
60. Kaldo Viktor, Nilsson-Ihrfelt Elisabeth, Paxling Björn, Ström Lars, and Waara Johan. 2008.
61. Development of a new approach to guided self-help via the Internet: The Swedish experience. Journal of Technology in Human Services 26, 2-4 (2008), 161–181.
62. Burgess Eleanor R, Reddy Madhu C, and Mohr David C. 2022. I Just Can't Help But Smile
63. Sometimes": Collaborative Self-Management of Depression. Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction 6, CSCW1; (2022), 1–32.
64. Aung Min Hane, Matthews Mark, and Choudhury Tanzeem. 2017. Sensing behavioral symptoms of mental health and delivering personalized interventions using mobile technologies. Depression and anxiety 34, 7 (2017), 603–609.
65. Agapie Elena, Areán Patricia A, Hsieh Gary, and Munson Seana. 2022. A Longitudinal Goal Setting Model for Addressing Complex Personal Problems in Mental Health. (2022).
66. Archer Janine, Bower Peter, Gilbody Simon, Lovell Karina, Richards David, Gask Linda, Dickens Chris, and Coventry Peter. 2012. Collaborative care for depression and anxiety problems. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 10 (2012).
67. Ancker Jessica S, Witteman Holly O, Hafeez Baria, Provencher Thierry, Van de Graaf Mary, and Wei Esther. 2015. "You get reminded you're a sick person": personal data tracking and patients with multiple chronic conditions. Journal of medical Internet research 17, 8 (2015), e4209.
68. Berry Natalie, Bucci Sandra, Lobban Fiona, et al. 2017. Use of the internet and mobile phones for self-management of severe mental health problems: qualitative study of staff views. JMIR mental health 4, 4 (2017), e8311.
69. Aung Min Hane, Matthews Mark, and Choudhury Tanzeem. 2017. Sensing behavioral symptoms of mental health and delivering personalized interventions using mobile technologies. Depression and anxiety 34, 7 (2017), 603–609.
70. Mahler DA. Peak inspiratory flow rate as a criterion for dry powder inhaler use in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Ann Am Thorac Soc. 2017;14:1103–1107. doi: 10.1513/AnnalsATS.201702-156PS.
71. Marcano Belisario JS, Huckvale K, Greenfield G, et al. Smartphone and tablet selfmanagement apps for asthma. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2013:Cd010013. 2013/11/28. 10.1002/14651858.CD010013.pub2.
72. Liu G-Z, Guo Y-W, Zhu Q-S, Huang BY, Wang L. Estimation of respiration rate from threedimensional acceleration data based on body sensor network. Telemed J E Health. 2011;17:705–711. doi: 10.1089/tmj.2011.0022.
73. Merchant RK, Inamdar R, Quade RC. Effectiveness of population health management using the propeller health asthma platform: a randomized clinical trial. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2016;4(4):455–463. doi: 10.1016/j.jaip.2015.11.022.
74. Wu AC, Carpenter JF, Himes BE. Mobile health applications for asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2015;3:446–448.e416. doi: 10.1016/j.jaip.2014.12.011.
75. The INCATM (Inhaler Compliance AssessmentTM): a comparison with established measures of adherence. Psychol Health. 2017;32:1266–1287. doi: 10.1080/08870446.2017.1290243.
76. Boner AL, Piacentini GL, Peroni DG, et al. Children with nocturnal asthma wheeze

intermittently during sleep. J Asthma. 2010;47(3):290–294. doi: 10.3109/02770900903497188.
77. Chan AHY, Stewart AW, Harrison J, et al. The effect of an electronic monitoring device with audiovisual reminder function on adherence to inhaled corticosteroids and school attendance in children with asthma: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet Respir Med. 2015;3:210–219. doi: 10.1016/S2213-2600(15)00008-9.
78. Foster JM, Smith L, Usherwood T, Sawyer SM, Rand CS, Reddel HK. The reliability and patient acceptability of the SmartTrack device: a new electronic monitor and reminder device for metered dose inhalers. J Asthma. 2012;49:657–662. doi: 10.3109/02770903.2012.684253.
79. Safioti G, Granovsky L, Li T, et al. A predictive model for clinical asthma exacerbations using albuterol eMDPI (ProAir Digihaler): a 12-week, open-label study. A31 ASTHMA:
80. CLINICAL STUDIES I. Am Thoracic Soc. 2019:A7307–7.
81. Burgess SW, Sly PD, Devadason SG. Providing feedback on adherence increases use of preventive medication by asthmatic children. J Asthma. 2010;47:198–201. doi: 10.3109/02770900903483840.