

Clearing The Air: The Role Of Advanced HVAC And HEPA Filtration In Mitigating Respiratory Pathogens

Nawaf Saeed Salem Alshehri¹, Hamad Saleh Nasser Al Mustaneer², Fatimah Kuways Alanazi³, Faisal Kadimis Dahimish Al-Otaibi⁴, Bejad Sattam Sultan Alotaibi⁵, Bandar Muthaib Saleh Al-Otaibi⁶, Abdullah Saad Muslim Al-Otaibi⁷, Olayan Eid Olayan Alruwaili⁸, Ali Abdullah Mohammed Alasmari⁹, Sami Ayed Alsenani¹⁰, Suha Ahmadini Mahaeli¹¹, Noura Abdullah Al Juraid¹², Mahdi Mana Mohd Alzamannan¹³, Yahya Abdulhadi Moreh Al Regheeb¹⁴, Nader Shari Mazyad Alotaibi¹⁵

¹Medical Laboratory, Armed Forces Military Hospital Southern Region, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

²Radiology Technologist, Hawat Bani Tamim General Hospital, Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

³Nursing, Branch of Ministry of Health in Riyadh Region- infection control department, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

⁴Health Informatics Technician, Alrafaya General Hospital, Third Health Cluster, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

⁵Nursing Technician Alrafaya General Hospital, Third Health Cluster, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

⁶Health Informatics Technician, Alrafaya General Hospital, Third Health Cluster, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

⁷Health Informatics Technician, Alrafaya General Hospital, Third Health Cluster, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

⁸Assistant Pharmacist, King Abdulaziz Specialist Hospital, Aljouf health cluster.

⁹Technician-Health Informatics, Alsuwaidi Western Phc, Riyadh First Health Cluster.

¹⁰Radiologist, medina prison health center, Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

¹¹Nursing Specialist, King Salman Hospital, First Health Cluster, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

¹²Nurse, Healthy Al-Ha'ir First Cluster, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

¹³Nursing technician, King khalied hospital, Najran health cluster, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

¹⁴Technician and epidemiologist, new najran general hospital, Najran health cluster, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

¹⁵Health Information Technician, King Khalid Hospital and Prince Sultan Center in Al-Kharj, First Cluster, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

Abstract

Background

Airborne transmission of respiratory pathogens, such as SARS-CoV-2 and influenza, poses significant risks in healthcare settings, contributing to millions of healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) annually, with economic burdens exceeding \$200 billion in high-income countries. Advanced HVAC systems integrated with HEPA filtration (H13-H14, >99.95% efficiency at 0.3 μm) emerge as key interventions to capture aerosols and dilute contaminants, addressing historical gaps exposed by pandemics like 1918 influenza and COVID-19.

Methods

This narrative review synthesizes evidence from pre- and post-COVID studies, including RCTs, CFD models, Wells-Riley simulations, and bioaerosol chamber experiments, scoping HVAC-HEPA efficacy across hospitals, schools, and low-resource settings. It examines mechanisms (impaction, interception, diffusion), performance data (log10 reductions), and standards (ASHRAE 170, EN 1822).

Results

HEPA filtration achieves 2-5 log10 reductions in viral aerosols, clearing SARS-CoV-2 >99.97% after 7 air volumes; HVAC-HEPA combos with 6-12 ACH reduce infection risks 40-90%, outperforming standard ventilation. Real-world deployments lowered HAIs and bioaerosols by 70-90% in ICUs and wards.

Conclusions

Advanced HVAC-HEPA systems provide robust, cost-effective mitigation against respiratory pathogens, warranting widespread adoption despite challenges like filter loading. Future longitudinal RCTs and AI-nanofilter innovations will optimize implementation.

Keywords: HEPA filtration, HVAC systems, Respiratory pathogens, Aerosol transmission.

Introduction

Airborne transmission of respiratory pathogens has long posed a significant challenge in healthcare and public health settings, with advanced HVAC systems and HEPA filtration emerging as critical interventions to reduce pathogen spread by capturing aerosols and improving indoor air quality. This review explores the historical context, global impact, and scientific rationale for deploying these technologies to mitigate risks from viruses like influenza and SARS-CoV-2. By synthesizing evidence on efficacy and mechanisms, it aims to guide implementation in clinical environments (Hutton et al., 2024).

The concept of airborne transmission gained prominence during the 1918 influenza pandemic, where the H1N1 virus spread rapidly through respiratory droplets and smaller aerosols, causing an estimated 50 million deaths worldwide amid limited understanding of viral aerosols, as initial theories debated droplet versus airborne routes without modern filtration solutions. Early 20th-century research, including studies on influenza pneumonia patterns involving secondary bacterial infections from upper respiratory pathogens like *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, highlighted aerosol-mediated spread in crowded settings, yet public health responses relied on rudimentary measures like quarantine rather than engineered air controls. By the COVID-19 era, definitive evidence from bioaerosol studies confirmed aerosol transmission as a dominant mode, with SARS-CoV-2 persisting in fine aerosols (<5 (Hutton et al., 2024)) capable of long-range transport, paralleling 1918 patterns but accelerated by global air travel; this shift prompted renewed focus on HVAC upgrades, as historical resistance to aerosol acknowledgment delayed interventions until superspreader events underscored the need (Hutton et al., 2024).

Healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) afflict millions annually, with global estimates exceeding 4.8 million cases in regions like sub-Saharan Africa alone, leading to 500,000 deaths and imposing health-related economic losses of US\$13 billion yearly, equivalent to 1.14% of combined GDP or US\$15.7 per capita, where costs per HAI average US\$500 in direct medical expenses. In high-income settings like the US, HAIs contribute to nearly 100,000 deaths and societal costs surpassing \$200 billion annually, with individual cases incurring \$20,000–\$40,000 due to extended stays, antimicrobial resistance, and productivity losses; ICU prevalence reaches 9–37% with mortality up to 80%. These burdens disproportionately affect low-resource areas, amplifying disability-adjusted life years (7.44 million DALYs globally in 2021) and straining systems, as HAIs represent 5.6% of total health expenditures while preventable through air quality enhancements (Hutton et al., 2024).

This review scopes the efficacy of advanced HVAC integrated with HEPA filtration (MERV 13–16 or higher) in capturing respiratory pathogen aerosols, detailing mechanisms like particle interception and airflow dilution that reduce infection risks by 50–90% in modeled scenarios, outperforming ventilation alone in cost-effectiveness. Targeted at hospital, ICU, and high-risk settings, it examines real-world reductions in bioaerosols and HAIs from studies showing HEPA systems clearing pathogens fivefold faster than standard air. Objectives include quantifying risk reductions via Wells-Riley modeling, comparing technologies, and recommending protocols to cut HAI burdens amid rising antimicrobial threats (Hutton et al., 2024).

Fundamentals

Respiratory pathogens, encompassing viruses, bacteria, and fungi, are primarily transmitted through aerosols generated by respiratory activities such as breathing, talking, coughing, and sneezing, with particle sizes ranging from 0.01 to 500 μm in healthy individuals and 0.05 to 500 μm in infected ones, where smaller particles (<5–10 μm) remain airborne longer, enabling distant spread, while larger droplets (>10 μm) settle quickly within 1–2 meters. Viability of these pathogens in aerosols is influenced by factors like relative humidity, temperature, pathogen load, and desiccation, with viruses such as influenza and SARS-CoV-2 maintaining infectivity in fine aerosols (<5 μm) for extended periods due to lower evaporation rates in humid conditions, whereas bacteria like *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (~3 μm) and fungi like *Aspergillus* spores (2–3 μm) exhibit resilience in both droplet and airborne forms, often requiring sufficient viral/bacterial concentration per particle for infection establishment. Transmission dynamics follow a continuum rather than strict droplet/airborne dichotomy, as particles evaporate post-expulsion (e.g., 100 μm droplet shrinks to <10 μm equilibrium size), influenced by mucus properties, aggregation, and respiratory event frequency coughing produces 0.1–10 μm particles

frequently in infections, sneezing generates high numbers (up to 40,000) of 7-100 μm particles, and even quiet breathing emits 0.3-0.5 μm virus-laden aerosols from lower airways, penetrating deep into recipient lungs and heightening severity for lower respiratory infections. In healthcare settings, this underscores the need for interventions targeting submicron to 10 μm range, as deposition models show <10 μm particles evading upper airway impaction to reach alveoli, with super-spreader events linked to high particle emitters from vocal cord vibration or airway film bursting (Gralton et al., 2011).

Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning (HVAC) systems in healthcare comprise outdoor air intake/exhaust ducts with dampers and louvers, air handling units (AHUs) integrating fans, heat exchangers/chillers, compressors, filters, drains, UVGI emitters, and noise attenuators, plus distribution networks delivering conditioned air via supply/return ducts to maintain indoor air quality (IAQ) through dilution, filtration, and directional flow. Air Changes per Hour (ACH) quantifies ventilation efficacy as $\text{ACH} = (60 \times Q) / \text{Vol}$, where Q is airflow rate in cubic feet/min (cfm) and Vol is room volume in cubic feet, with total ACH (fresh + recirculated) recommended at 6-12 for general ICUs (2 fresh), ≥ 12 -20 for high-risk/isolation, and up to 450 for ultra-clean areas, ensuring rapid contaminant dilution e.g., higher ACH shortens particulate removal time, with 12 ACH reducing infection risk by optimizing removal effectiveness. Ventilation modes include full fresh air/single-pass (displacement, 100% exhaust, low energy, laminar-like flow from clean-to-dirty) versus recirculating mixing (turbulent, cost-effective with MERV 13+ filters/UVGI), where displacement excels in contaminant removal (CRE >1) at 6-15 ACH but requires precise inlet placement to avoid turbulence, while guidelines vary: ASHRAE/AIA/CDC advocate 6 total ACH neutral pressure, HTM 2025 prefers 100% fresh air neutral, and ISCCM/QHFG suggest 6 ACH positive pressure with progressive filtration. Components like centrifugal supply fans balance pressure drops (e.g., 20-50 mm WG across filters), with monitoring via IAQ tests (IMA ≤ 25 for ICUs), DOP scans, and differential pressure alarms ensuring positive pressure (+2.5-8 Pa) protects vulnerable patients, preventing cross-contamination as seen in Aspergillus outbreaks from unmaintained ducts (Izadyar & Miller, 2022).

High-Efficiency Particulate Air (HEPA) filters, classified under EN 1822/ISO 29463 as H13 ($\geq 99.95\%$ efficiency at 0.3 μm MPPS, $\leq 0.05\%$ penetration) and H14 ($\geq 99.995\%$, $\leq 0.005\%$ penetration), capture particles via impaction (inertial collision of $>1 \mu\text{m}$ particles on fibers), interception (van der Waals adhesion for 0.3-1 μm following streamlines), diffusion (Brownian motion of $<0.1 \mu\text{m}$ hitting fibers), plus electrostatic effects, achieving peak challenge at 0.3 μm while excelling across 0.01-10 μm via complementary mechanisms. Standards mandate H13/H14 for critical areas (e.g., ISO 5-6 cleanrooms, isolation), with local efficiency $\geq 99.75\%$ (H13)/ $\geq 99.975\%$ (H14), tested via scanning DOP/PAO aerosols at nominal flow, ensuring no leaks $>0.25\%$ (H13)/ 0.025% (H14), and inline pre-filters (MERV ≤ 8 /G4) extend life by arrestance of coarse dust (Zacharias et al., 2021).

Filter Type	0.3 μm Efficiency	Pressure Drop (Pa)	Log10 Reduction
MERV 13	$\geq 90\%$	100-200	2-3
HEPA H13	$\geq 99.95\%$	250-400	4-5
HEPA H14	$\geq 99.995\%$	300-500	>5

Performance data highlight trade-offs: MERV 13 suits general HVAC (50-90% at 0.3-1 μm , lower ΔP for energy efficiency), while H13/H14 excel in pathogen mitigation (e.g., 4.6-6.1 Log reduction for viruses, 99.997-99.999% SARS-CoV-2 aerosols), with H14 ideal for ORs/ICUs despite higher ΔP (150-350 Pa initial, 600 Pa final), reducing Aspergillus/MRSA by >99.9% when combined with ≥ 12 ACH. In practice, HEPA achieves >5 Log10 vs. MERV 13's 2-3 for 0.3 μm , crucial for viability decay in respiratory pathogens, though maintenance (6-month DOP/IMA tests) prevents bypass from wet coils/mold (Capparè et al., 2022).

Mitigation Mechanisms

Advanced HVAC systems integrated with HEPA filtration play a critical role in reducing airborne respiratory pathogens like SARS-CoV-2 by capturing viral aerosols and diluting contaminants through controlled airflow (Ueki et al., 2022).

High-efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filters demonstrate exceptional efficacy in capturing respiratory viruses, including SARS-CoV-2, primarily through mechanisms of interception, impaction, and diffusion that target particles in the 0.3 micrometer range with over 99.97% efficiency. Experimental studies in controlled chambers have quantified this performance using infectious SARS-CoV-2 aerosols, showing log reductions that increase with filtration duration and air volume processed; for instance, after processing one chamber volume (approximately 5 minutes at 48 L/min), capture ratios reached 85.38%, equivalent to roughly 0.74 log₁₀ reduction, escalating to 96.03% (about 1.5 log₁₀) after two volumes and exceeding 99.97% (>2.99 log₁₀) after 7.1 volumes (35.5 minutes), effectively reducing viral titers below detection limits via plaque assays. This time-dependent log reduction underscores HEPA's ability to continuously deplete airborne viral loads, with similar results observed for other enveloped viruses like influenza, where portable HEPA units cleared aerosols five times faster than baseline conditions, achieving multi-log reductions in respirable particle concentrations within minutes. Even antiviral-coated HEPA variants maintained comparable log reductions (e.g., >3 log₁₀ after extended filtration), indicating that physical capture dominates over chemical inactivation for aerosolized pathogens. These findings align with broader evidence from hospital settings, where HEPA deployment eliminated detectable SARS-CoV-2 in air samples during active filtration, contrasting with unfiltered periods, and portable units in classrooms or wards consistently lowered bioaerosol levels by 90-99% in under 30 minutes. Factors influencing efficacy include filter loading, airflow rates, and aerosol size distribution, with smaller virion-laden droplets (1-5 μm) being particularly amenable to HEPA capture despite initial evaporation dynamics. Overall, HEPA filtration achieves 2-4 log₁₀ reductions for SARS-CoV-2 under realistic indoor conditions, far surpassing lower-MERV filters and providing a robust barrier against airborne transmission in healthcare and community settings (Ueki et al., 2022).

Integrating HEPA filters into HVAC systems amplifies mitigation by combining high-efficiency particle removal with dilution via air changes per hour (ACH) and directional airflow through pressure gradients, creating multi-layered defenses against respiratory pathogens. Guidelines recommend minimum ACH thresholds of 6 for general wards and 12 for high-risk isolation areas, with studies showing inverse correlations between ACH and airborne SARS-CoV-2 concentrations; for example, positivity rates dropped significantly above 8 ACH, and hospital wards with 6-12 ACH exhibited lower viral loads than residential spaces with <2 ACH. Negative pressure gradients, typically -2.5 to -10 Pa relative to adjacent areas, prevent contaminant exodus by exhausting more air than supplied (e.g., 10% excess), as validated in pediatric ICUs where -10 Pa isolation rooms with 8-12 ACH and HEPA exhaust maintained containment during dual-patient simulations. Synergistic effects are evident in meta-analyses where HVAC with MERV-13+ filtration reduced infection risks by 40-50%, outperforming natural ventilation alone, and portable HEPA augmentation boosted effective ACH equivalents by 50% in legacy buildings. Computational fluid dynamics models confirm that optimal supply/exhaust configurations minimize recirculation, achieving 95% clearance in 15-30 minutes at 10-15 ACH, critical for pathogens like SARS-CoV-2 with half-lives of 1-2 hours in aerosols. Pressure differentials also enhance HEPA performance by directing flow through filters, reducing short-circuiting, though maintenance of fan capacity is essential to avoid pressure drops compromising ACH. In practice, ASHRAE standards advocate MERV-13 baselines upgradable to HEPA for surges, yielding building-wide log reductions when ACH exceeds 6 alongside 99.97% single-pass efficiency (Faulkner et al., 2022).

Comparative Analysis

Strategy	Strengths	Limitations
HEPA Only	High single-pass capture (>99.97% for 0.3 μm particles, >3 log ₁₀ for viruses like SARS-CoV-2 in portable units) ; rapid clearance in localized areas (e.g., 90% in 5 min) ; low infrastructure needs .	Energy-intensive due to high pressure drops reducing airflow if not fan-adjusted ; potential bioaerosol accumulation on filters requiring frequent replacement ; limited to room-scale without building integration .
HVAC+HEPA	Building-wide coverage with dilution (6-12 ACH reducing positivity >50%) ; pressure gradients (-5 to -10 Pa) preventing escape ; synergistic 42-50% infection risk drop vs. standard filters .	Higher maintenance costs for central systems and filter changes ; fan energy spikes with HEPA upgrades ; complexity in retrofitting older buildings .

HEPA-only systems excel in deployable, high-capture scenarios but falter in scale, while HVAC+HEPA offers comprehensive control at the expense of operational overhead.

Evidence Synthesis

Advanced HVAC systems equipped with HEPA filtration have demonstrated substantial efficacy in reducing nosocomial transmission of respiratory pathogens like tuberculosis (TB) and influenza in pre-COVID studies, primarily through particle capture and air recirculation enhancements that lower infectious aerosol concentrations in healthcare settings. Prior to 2020, research utilizing modified Wells-Riley models predicted that higher-efficiency filters, such as MERV 13-16, could achieve significant risk reductions for influenza in office-like environments by removing size-resolved infectious aerosols (0.3-10 μm), with filtration outperforming equivalent outdoor air ventilation in cost-effectiveness, as recirculated air filtration rates of 1.52 per hour yielded up to 85-95% removal efficiencies weighted by viral particle distributions observed in healthcare samplings. For TB control, studies emphasized ventilation's role alongside HEPA in high-risk nosocomial scenarios, noting that low air change rates and poor HVAC maintenance correlated with outbreaks, while HEPA-equipped systems in isolation rooms minimized long-range aerosol transport of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, with guinea pig exposure models confirming reduced transmission in negative-pressure facilities simulating clinical wards. Empirical data from urgent care clinics and hospital emergency rooms showed influenza virus predominantly in 1-4 μm and >4 μm fractions (up to 63% in larger bins), underscoring HVAC filters' targeted efficacy, as MERV 11 filters captured 68% on average and MERV 13 reached 86%, integrating deposition losses of 1.7 per hour to predict 42-50% infection probability drops in modeled spaces. These pre-COVID findings established a foundation for filtration as a passive, energy-efficient strategy over ventilation alone, with operational costs for MERV 13 filters estimated at lower annual expenses (\$ per removal rate) across U.S. climates compared to boosting outdoor air, particularly in heating-dominant regions like Chicago where HDDs amplified ventilation penalties (Azimi & Stephens, 2013).

During the COVID-19 pandemic, randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and modeling studies validated 70-90% aerosol reductions via advanced HVAC and portable HEPA units, with experimental chamber tests showing HEPA air cleaners capturing 85-99.97% of infectious SARS-CoV-2 aerosols over 1-7 ventilation volumes, demonstrating time-dependent viral removal superior to baseline systems. Systematic reviews of 23 studies confirmed filtration's association with decreased transmission, where upgrading to MERV 13 filters reduced virus concentrations and infection risks by 42-50%, with limited additional gains beyond MERV 13, as HEPA-coated variants matched conventional performance in quantitative air filtration simulations. Modeling in commercial offices integrated HEPA with UV or high ventilation, achieving the greatest risk drops, while hospital RCTs found portable HEPA units cleared SARS-CoV-2 RNA five times faster than HVAC alone, lowering positivity rates in patient

rooms and supporting 70-90% efficacy claims for aerosolized pathogens under real operating conditions. These RCTs extended pre-COVID Wells-Riley adaptations, incorporating SARS-CoV-2 size distributions akin to influenza (20-30% in 0.3-3 μm), predicting nosocomial risk halvings with MERV 13 upgrades in transit and wards, where HEPA purifiers added 50% infection probability reductions beyond standard filters (Marr & Samet, 2024).

Real-world implementations in hospitals and schools leveraged CFD simulations to optimize HVAC airflow, revealing that HEPA-enhanced systems confined SARS-CoV-2 dispersion to isolation rooms during winter but required solar radiation adjustments in summer to prevent cross-contamination to waiting areas, with negative-pressure setups at 11,500 m^3/h fresh air limiting viral spread from coughs. In hospital emergency departments, CFD modeled patient cough plumes under HVAC operations, showing aerosol travel beyond 2m without HEPA, but portable units uniformly reduced PM levels ward-wide, aligning with cases where filter addition eliminated detectable SARS-CoV-2 RNA post-implementation. School and office deployments via modeling predicted 50% infection drops with HEPA-MERV 13 combos, corroborated by hospital recoveries where double HVAC airflow enhanced turbulent mixing yet HEPA prevented broader contamination, as in recovery rooms lacking local exhaust. These cases, including shipping-container AI rooms achieving 438 ppm CO₂ at 48 ACH with laminar downward flow, highlighted CFD's role in validating 70-90% reductions, with public transit upgrades from MERV 8 to 13 proving most effective for clearance (Mohamadi & Fazeli, 2022).

Applications

Advanced HVAC systems integrated with HEPA filtration play a pivotal role in mitigating respiratory pathogens across diverse environments by enhancing air quality, reducing airborne viral loads, and minimizing transmission risks through standardized engineering controls. In healthcare facilities, these technologies adhere to rigorous standards like ASHRAE 170, which mandates specific ventilation rates and filtration efficiencies to protect vulnerable populations. Community settings leverage portable and hybrid solutions for everyday spaces, while low-resource areas adapt cost-effective hybrids to balance efficacy and affordability (Brimmo et al., 2024).

In healthcare environments, Airborne Infection Isolation Rooms (AIIRs) represent the cornerstone of infection control, designed per ASHRAE Standard 170 to maintain negative pressure differentials of at least 0.01 inches of water gauge relative to adjacent spaces, with a minimum of 6-12 air changes per hour (ACH), where at least 12 ACH are required for exhaust, often incorporating HEPA filtration to capture 99.97% of particles 0.3 microns and larger, effectively trapping respiratory pathogens like SARS-CoV-2, influenza, and tuberculosis bacilli before they can spread beyond the room. Operating Rooms (ORs) under the same ASHRAE 170 guidelines demand positive pressurization, a minimum of 20 total ACH with significant outdoor air fractions, and high-efficiency particulate air (HEPA) or equivalent MERV-13+ filters in HVAC systems to prevent surgical site infections from aerosolized microbes generated during procedures such as intubation or surgery on infectious patients, with studies demonstrating that upgraded filtration reduces viable pathogen concentrations by up to 90% in simulated scenarios. Beyond isolation and surgical spaces, general patient wards and clinics benefit from portable HEPA units augmenting central HVAC, as evidenced by trials showing significant drops in acute respiratory infection incidence through continuous air purification that complements source control measures like masking and hand hygiene, thereby lowering nosocomial transmission rates in high-occupancy areas. These interventions prove particularly vital during surges of airborne diseases, where combining increased ACH, UV irradiation, and HEPA filtration in HVAC retrofits has modeled reductions in transmission risk by over 80% compared to baseline ventilation alone, underscoring their role in protecting immunocompromised patients and staff in prolonged outbreaks. Implementation challenges include ensuring anteroom pressure cascades and dedicated exhaust to avoid recirculation, but compliance with ASHRAE 170 yields measurable improvements in air quality metrics like particle counts and bioaerosol sampling (Obitková et al., 2024).

Community settings such as schools, homes, and public transport demand adaptable HVAC and HEPA solutions to curb respiratory pathogen spread in high-density, non-specialized spaces where traditional infrastructure may fall short. In schools, portable HEPA air cleaners have demonstrated real-world efficacy by slashing particulate matter concentrations by over 50%, correlating with reduced coughing incidence and modeled decreases in respiratory infection absences through enhanced equivalent ACH

beyond standard mechanical ventilation, making them a scalable intervention for classrooms with variable occupancy and natural ventilation limitations. Homes benefit from standalone HEPA purifiers placed in primary living areas, with naturalistic studies during COVID-19 showing lowered SARS-CoV-2 RNA in air samples and reduced secondary household transmission risks by 70% when combined with isolation protocols, offering a plug-and-play upgrade for residences lacking central HVAC upgrades. Public transport vehicles, including buses and trains, employ hybrid systems integrating HEPA filtration with increased fresh air exchanges and window venting, which modeling indicates can dilute aerosol plumes from infected passengers by factors of 5-10, minimizing exposure in enclosed cabins despite rapid turnover of occupants. These applications highlight the versatility of portable units, which achieve clean air delivery rates (CADR) sufficient for room volumes up to 200 m³, outperforming low-MERV HVAC filters alone and proving cost-effective at under \$0.50 per day in electricity costs while averting infections equivalent to multiple quality-adjusted life years gained per deployment (Banholzer et al., 2024).

In low-resource settings, where full HVAC overhauls are infeasible due to high capital costs and maintenance demands, cost-effective hybrid systems blending passive ventilation, solar-powered fans, and standalone HEPA units emerge as pragmatic solutions for mitigating respiratory pathogens in clinics, schools, and homes. Economic modeling reveals that deploying portable HEPA filtration to achieve 12 ACH in small venues averts dozens of infections annually at net savings exceeding \$150,000 per site, with incremental cost-effectiveness ratios far below willingness-to-pay thresholds in developing contexts, prioritizing MERV 13-16 filters over pricier infrastructure. Hybrids incorporating nanotextile overlays on standard filters or low-energy air scrubbers further enhance capture of viruses like SARS-CoV-2 without grid dependency, as pilot studies in under-ventilated buildings report 80-95% reductions in airborne microbial loads at fractions of central system costs. These adaptations suit informal healthcare outposts and community shelters, integrating natural cross-ventilation with portable units to exceed WHO interim guidelines for airborne precautions, while scalability allows phased rollouts funded by averted treatment expenses. Long-term viability hinges on local filter production and training, but evidence from pandemic responses confirms hybrids outperform unmitigated natural airflow by orders of magnitude in pathogen clearance (Zafari et al., 2022).

Challenges

Advanced HVAC systems with HEPA filtration face significant technical challenges that can undermine their efficacy in mitigating respiratory pathogens, primarily revolving around filter loading and leaks. Filter loading occurs as airborne particles, including dust, allergens, and microbial aerosols, accumulate on the filter media over time, leading to increased pressure drop across the filter, reduced airflow rates, and diminished filtration efficiency. This phenomenon is particularly problematic in high-occupancy environments like hospitals or schools, where continuous exposure to respiratory pathogens such as SARS-CoV-2 or influenza viruses accelerates clogging; studies have shown that HEPA filters can experience up to 33% reduction in system capacity due to fouling, necessitating frequent monitoring and replacement to maintain pathogen capture rates above 99.97% for 0.3 μm particles. Moreover, as filters load, they risk becoming secondary reservoirs for microbial growth, especially fungi or bacteria colonizing trapped organic matter, which can then release contaminants downstream upon filter failure or bypass. Leaks exacerbate these issues, arising from manufacturing defects, improper installation, pleating imperfections, or frame-seal degradation under operational stress like elevated humidity or temperature fluctuations common in healthcare settings. Pinhole leaks or air bypass around filter edges allow unfiltered air laden with submicron viral particles to penetrate, as evidenced in aircraft transmission events where HEPA filters failed to fully contain SARS-CoV-1 despite high nominal efficiency; research confirms that 12-15% of HEPA filters fail leak tests, with viruses like adenovirus or coronavirus 229E occasionally detected post-filtration due to these vulnerabilities. Duct leakage in HVAC systems further compounds this, enabling pathogen recirculation and reducing overall clean air delivery rates (CADR), while poor filter fit in standard frames permits bypass flows that evade mechanical interception mechanisms like diffusion or impaction critical for nanoscale pathogens. These technical hurdles demand advanced diagnostics, such as real-time pressure sensors or model-based resistance estimation, to preempt failures and ensure sustained performance in pathogen control (Sublett, 2011).

Implementation challenges for advanced HVAC and HEPA systems in respiratory pathogen mitigation are multifaceted, dominated by prohibitive costs and stringent regulatory hurdles that hinder widespread adoption, particularly in resource-limited healthcare facilities. Initial capital expenses for upgrading to HEPA-compatible HVAC units, including high-MERV (13-16) or true HEPA filters, can exceed standard systems by factors of 2-5 times, with annual operational costs for energy-intensive fans compensating for pressure drops adding billions globally modeling in China estimates \$29.4 billion savings from suboptimal MERV 13 over full HEPA but at minor infection risk trade-offs. Recurring maintenance, such as filter replacements every 3-6 months in high-load settings, filter forensics for microbial validation, and professional HVAC servicing to address contamination, further inflate budgets; in U.S. hospitals, hospital-acquired infections (HAIs) cost \$35-88 billion yearly, yet HEPA interventions yield net savings only if scaled efficiently, as standalone units avert 54 infections per year at \$152,701 savings but require upfront investment. Regulatory compliance adds layers of complexity, with standards like ASHRAE 52.2, EN 1822, or CMS Hospital-Acquired Condition Reduction Program mandating specific MERV ratings, leak testing, and IAQ monitoring in healthcare, often clashing with building codes in older structures lacking ducted systems. In low-resource regions, absence of HVAC mandates hybrid solutions, but guidelines for portable HEPA integration demand validation against airborne transmission risks, complicating procurement and certification; moreover, workforce training for proper installation to prevent leaks or bypass, alongside energy codes balancing ventilation rates (e.g., 12 ACH equivalents), delays rollout. These barriers underscore the need for cost-effectiveness models prioritizing MERV upgrades over full HEPA where regulations permit, especially amid pandemics straining budgets (Chang et al., 2023).

Future Directions

Emerging innovations in HVAC systems are revolutionizing pathogen mitigation through AI-optimized airflow management and advanced nanofilter technologies that surpass traditional HEPA capabilities. AI-driven airflow optimization leverages computational fluid dynamics (CFD) simulations and real-time sensor data to dynamically adjust ventilation patterns, creating coherent upward airflow structures that rapidly surround and expel pathogen-laden aerosols from high-risk zones like patient areas. For instance, studies demonstrate that placing exhaust diffusers directly above aerosol sources reduces lateral particle spread by up to 40% in office environments, while AI algorithms predict and modulate air changes per hour (ACH) to achieve 99.95% reduction in infectious concentrations when combined with masks. This approach outperforms static systems by forming preferential upward plumes that minimize recirculation, as validated in negative-pressure units where optimized duct placements accelerate aerosol removal to under 1% of initial mass in minutes rather than hours. Nanofilters, including alumina nanofibers and nanotextile-enhanced HEPA composites, offer superior viral capture with lower pressure drops and humidity-independent performance; these filters retain over 99% of submicron viruses like SARS-CoV-2 and coronaviruses while enabling easy regeneration through liquid coatings or nano-treatments. Integration of AI with nanofilters enables predictive maintenance, where machine learning analyzes filter loading from particle sensors to preemptively boost airflow or activate UVGI, potentially reducing hospital-acquired infections (HAIs) by addressing re-aerosolization risks from high-velocity flows. Future hybrid systems could incorporate occupancy-aided ventilation, using AI to intermittently reduce room occupancy based on real-time pathogen detection, balancing infection control with productivity. Bionic multi-objective optimizations further enhance compact HVAC units by combining humidification, purification, and AI-controlled airflow for geriatric care settings. Ceiling fans augmented by nanofilters and AI modulation increase deposition of larger aerosols ($>40\text{ }\mu\text{m}$) while flushing smaller ones at higher ACH, demonstrating potential in non-hospital indoor spaces. Portable HEPA units with nano-coatings have shown negative SARS-CoV-2 RNA post-treatment in isolation rooms, paving the way for deployable, AI-monitored purifiers in clinics. These innovations promise scalable, energy-efficient solutions, with AI enabling adaptive responses to viral mutations via integrated genomic sensors in ventilation ducts (Park et al., 2022).

Despite advances, significant research gaps persist, particularly the absence of longitudinal randomized controlled trials (RCTs) evaluating HVAC and HEPA interventions against real-world respiratory pathogen transmission over extended periods. Current evidence relies heavily on CFD models and

short-term simulations, like 10-second cough dispersion analyses, which overlook chronic exposure dynamics in diverse settings such as hospitals, offices, and care homes. Longitudinal RCTs are urgently needed to quantify HAI reductions from nanofiltered HVAC versus standard systems, tracking outcomes like influenza incidence, SARS-CoV-2 persistence, and bronchiolitis recovery in at-risk populations over 24 weeks or more. Pragmatic cluster RCTs in care homes could assess portable HEPA units' cost-effectiveness in preventing symptomatic RTIs, addressing uncertainties around trip hazards and long-term adherence. Gaps also exist in validating AI airflow models beyond controlled simulations, including real-time integration with IoT sensors for multi-occupant scenarios and variable humidity effects on nanofilter efficacy. Few studies examine re-aerosolization from saturated filters under sustained high-velocity flows, nor the interaction of thermal plumes with AI-modulated ventilation during prolonged occupancy. Longitudinal data on HAI trends post-HVAC upgrades, like 12-year surveillance showing overall reductions but unspecified filtration roles, highlight the need for stratified RCTs by pathogen type and vulnerability group. Research must prioritize geriatric and immunocompromised cohorts, where HEPA with UVGI shows promise but lacks powered trials for aspergillosis or viral HAIs. Standardized protocols for nano-treatment durability and AI algorithm generalizability across building types remain unaddressed, as do economic analyses comparing filtration to ventilation increases. Bridging these gaps requires multi-site, blinded RCTs incorporating genomic sequencing to link air samples to infections, ensuring innovations translate to policy (Saad et al., 2025).

Conclusion

Advanced HVAC systems integrated with HEPA filtration represent a proven, multifaceted strategy for mitigating airborne respiratory pathogens, achieving 50-90% reductions in infection risks through aerosol capture, dilution via 6-12 ACH, and pressure-controlled airflow as demonstrated across pre- and post-COVID evidence. Despite challenges like filter loading, high costs, and implementation barriers, real-world applications in hospitals, schools, and low-resource settings yield substantial HAI reductions and cost savings, with portable units clearing pathogens 5-fold faster than standard ventilation. Future innovations in AI-optimized airflow and nanofilters, coupled with longitudinal RCTs, promise to overcome current gaps, solidifying these technologies as essential pillars of infection control in healthcare and beyond.

References

1. Azimi, P., & Stephens, B. (2013). HVAC filtration for controlling infectious airborne disease transmission in indoor environments: Predicting risk reductions and operational costs. *Building and Environment*, 70, 150–160. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2013.08.025>
2. Banholzer, N., Jent, P., Bittel, P., Zürcher, K., Furrer, L., Bertschinger, S., Weingartner, E., Ramette, A., Egger, M., Hascher, T., & Fenner, L. (2024). Air Cleaners and Respiratory Infections in Schools: A Modeling Study Based on Epidemiologic, Environmental, and Molecular Data. *Open Forum Infectious Diseases*, 11(4), ofae169. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofae169>
3. Brimmo, A. T., Glia, A., Barajas-Gamboa, J. S., Abril, C., Rodríguez, J., Kroh, M., & Qasaimeh, M. A. (2024). Ventilation-Based Strategy to Manage Intraoperative Aerosol Viral Transmission in the Era of SARS-CoV-2. *Life*, 14(3), 313. <https://doi.org/10.3390/life14030313>
4. Capparè, P., D'Ambrosio, R., De Cunto, R., Darvizeh, A., Nagni, M., & Gherlone, E. (2022). The Usage of an Air Purifier Device with HEPA 14 Filter during Dental Procedures in COVID-19 Pandemic: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(9), 5139. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19095139>
5. Chang, Y., Ai, Z., Ye, J., & Ma, G. (2023). A cost-effectiveness assessment of the operational parameters of central HVAC systems during pandemics. *Building Simulation*, 16(5), 667–682. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12273-023-1000-x>
6. Faulkner, C. A., Castellini, J. E., Zuo, W., Lorenzetti, D. M., & Sohn, M. D. (2022). Investigation of HVAC operation strategies for office buildings during COVID-19 pandemic. *Building and Environment*, 207, 108519. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2021.108519>
7. Gralton, J., Tovey, E., McLaws, M.-L., & Rawlinson, W. D. (2011). The role of particle size in aerosolised pathogen transmission: A review. *The Journal of Infection*, 62(1), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2010.11.010>

8. Hutton, G., Chase, C., Kennedy-Walker, R., & Hamilton, H. (2024). Financial and economic costs of healthcare-associated infections in Africa. *Journal of Hospital Infection*, 150, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2024.04.015>
9. Izadyar, N., & Miller, W. (2022). Ventilation strategies and design impacts on indoor airborne transmission: A review. *Building and Environment*, 218, 109158. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2022.109158>
10. Marr, L. C., & Samet, J. M. (2024). Reducing Transmission of Airborne Respiratory Pathogens: A New Beginning as the COVID-19 Emergency Ends. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 132(5), 055001. <https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP13878>
11. Mohamadi, F., & Fazeli, A. (2022). A Review on Applications of CFD Modeling in COVID-19 Pandemic. *Archives of Computational Methods in Engineering*, 29(6), 3567–3586. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11831-021-09706-3>
12. Obitková, D., Mráz, M., & Pavlík, E. (2024). Virus removal by high-efficiency air (HEPA) filters and filtration capacity enhancement by nanotextiles: A pilot study. *Folia Microbiologica*, 69(2), 459–464. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12223-024-01137-4>
13. Park, J., Lee, K. S., & Park, H. (2022). Optimized mechanism for fast removal of infectious pathogen-laden aerosols in the negative-pressure unit. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 435, 128978. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2022.128978>
14. Saad, M. A., Hassan, A., Hanafy, A., Salem, M., & William, M. (2025). Assessing HVAC airflow modulation strategies to reduce short-term aerosol transmission in office environments. *Scientific Reports*, 15, 23911. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-025-08394-4>
15. Sublett, J. L. (2011). Effectiveness of Air Filters and Air Cleaners in Allergic Respiratory Diseases: A Review of the Recent Literature. *Current Allergy and Asthma Reports*, 11(5), 395–402. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11882-011-0208-5>
16. Ueki, H., Ujie, M., Komori, Y., Kato, T., Imai, M., & Kawaoka, Y. (2022). Effectiveness of HEPA Filters at Removing Infectious SARS-CoV-2 from the Air. *mSphere*, 7(4), e0008622. <https://doi.org/10.1128/msphere.00086-22>
17. Zacharias, N., Haag, A., Brang-Lamprecht, R., Gebel, J., Essert, S. M., Kistemann, T., Exner, M., Mutters, N. T., & Engelhart, S. (2021). Air filtration as a tool for the reduction of viral aerosols. *The Science of the Total Environment*, 772, 144956. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.144956>
18. Zafari, Z., de Oliveira, P. M., Gkantonas, S., Ezeh, C., & Muennig, P. A. (2022). The cost-effectiveness of standalone HEPA filtration units for the prevention of airborne SARS CoV-2 transmission. *Cost Effectiveness and Resource Allocation : C/E*, 20, 22. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12962-022-00356-1>