Reprint from The Review of DIABETIC STUDIES

The Review Of
DIABETIC
STUDIES OPEN ACCESS

# Interprofessional Collaboration In Diagnostic Imaging And Patient Care: Role Integration, Communication Frameworks, And Quality Improvement Outcomes

**Ahmed Abdoulah Mohammed Almaliky[1], Emad Abdullah Alamri[2], Faisal Fahad Albishri[3], Ali Fehid Algarni[4], Nemer Mohammed Alsharif[4], Nawaf Hossain Khabrani[2], Yazeed Sair Fahad Aljuaid[3], Abdulelah Awad Awwad Althobaiti[5]**

*[1]Radiology technology specialist, Radiology Department, Children's Hospital, Taif Health Cluster, Saudi Arabia.*
*[2]Radiology Technology Specialist, Radiology Department, King Faisal Medical Complex, Taif Health Cluster, Taif , Saudi Arabia*
*[3]Radiology Technician, Radiology Department, King Faisal Medical Complex, Taif Health Cluster, Taif, Saudi Arabia*
*[4]Medical Physics Specialist, Medical Physics Department, King Faisal Medical Complex, Taif Health Cluster, Taif , Saudi Arabia*
*[5]Radiology Technologist, Radiology Department, King Faisal Medical Complex, Taif Health Cluster, Taif , Saudi Arabia*

## Abstract

Diagnostic imaging services represent a critical component of modern healthcare delivery, requiring seamless coordination among multiple healthcare professionals including radiological technologists, health informatics specialists, nurses, psychologists, and administrative personnel. This comprehensive review examines the evidence surrounding interprofessional collaboration (IPC) in diagnostic imaging environments, focusing on role integration, communication frameworks, and their impact on patient outcomes and healthcare quality. A systematic review of peer-reviewed literature published between 2015 and 2025 was conducted using multiple databases to identify studies examining interprofessional teams in medical imaging settings, communication effectiveness, patient safety, and quality improvement initiatives. The review identified 47 relevant studies addressing various dimensions of interprofessional practice in radiology and imaging services. Evidence demonstrates that structured interprofessional collaboration significantly improves patient care quality, reduces diagnostic errors, enhances patient satisfaction, and optimizes workflow efficiency in imaging departments. Key findings indicate that effective communication protocols, clearly defined role responsibilities, and shared decision-making processes are essential elements of successful interprofessional teams. Furthermore, the integration of health informatics systems facilitates information sharing and coordination among team members. Implementation of interprofessional education, training programs, and collaborative practice models shows promising results in enhancing team performance and patient outcomes. This review highlights the critical importance of fostering a culture of collaboration in diagnostic imaging services and provides recommendations for healthcare administrators, educators, and policy makers to support interprofessional teamwork. Continued investment in interprofessional training, supportive organizational structures, and technology infrastructure is essential to optimize diagnostic imaging services and enhance the overall quality of patient care.

**Keywords** Interprofessional collaboration, diagnostic imaging, radiological technologists, health informatics, communication frameworks, patient outcomes, quality improvement, healthcare teams, diagnostic accuracy, workflow optimization.

## Introduction

### Background

The provision of high-quality diagnostic imaging services requires coordination and collaboration among diverse healthcare professionals with distinct but complementary roles and responsibilities. Diagnostic imaging departments typically employ radiological technologists who acquire and process images, radiologists who interpret findings, nurses who provide patient care coordination, health informatics specialists who manage information systems, administrative personnel who coordinate operations, and increasingly, psychologists and social care specialists who address patient psychosocial needs and ensure equitable access to services (1, 2). The complexity of modern imaging workflows, combined with increasing patient volumes, technological advancement, and heightened expectations for patient-centered care, necessitates effective interprofessional collaboration (3).

Interprofessional collaboration has been defined as the process whereby members of different healthcare professions and occupational groups work together cooperatively and interdependently to solve problems or provide services (4). In the context of diagnostic imaging, interprofessional collaboration encompasses coordination of clinical activities, communication about patient needs and diagnostic findings, shared responsibility for patient safety, and collective contribution to quality improvement initiatives (5). Historical models of healthcare delivery often emphasized unidirectional communication, hierarchical decision-making, and fragmented care processes. Contemporary evidence strongly supports a paradigm shift toward integrated, team-based approaches that leverage the expertise of all team members (6, 7).

The radiological technologist plays a central role in diagnostic imaging, responsible for positioning patients, operating imaging equipment, monitoring patient safety during procedures, and ensuring appropriate image quality (8, 9). However, the technologist's role extends beyond technical image acquisition. Radiological technologists are often the first and sometimes only healthcare provider with whom patients have direct contact in imaging departments, making them essential participants in patient communication and satisfaction (10). Health informatics specialists contribute specialized knowledge in electronic health records (EHR) systems, picture archiving and communication systems (PACS), radiation dose management systems, and clinical decision support tools that facilitate information sharing and workflow optimization (11, 12). Nursing professionals in imaging departments provide patient assessment, education, monitoring, and emotional support, particularly for anxious or medically complex patients (13). Psychologists contribute expertise in understanding patient anxiety, communication strategies, and behavioral approaches to improving patient experience and compliance with imaging protocols (14). Social care specialists ensure equitable access to services and address social determinants of health that may impact patient outcomes (15).

## Literature Review

### The Evidence Base for Interprofessional Collaboration in Healthcare

Extensive research over the past two decades has established the positive relationship between interprofessional collaboration and improved patient outcomes across various healthcare settings. A landmark systematic review by Zwarenstein and colleagues examining interprofessional collaboration in healthcare delivery found that well-coordinated multidisciplinary teams were associated with reduced mortality, shorter hospital stays, fewer adverse events, and improved patient satisfaction compared to siloed, discipline-specific approaches (16). Similarly, research synthesizing outcomes from interprofessional interventions demonstrated that structured team-

based care models lead to improved clinical outcomes, particularly in complex chronic disease management and acute care settings (17).

The World Health Organization's position on interprofessional education and collaborative practice emphasizes that effective collaboration is essential for addressing complex health challenges and improving health service delivery globally (18). Furthermore, research on healthcare quality and safety demonstrates that breakdowns in interprofessional communication and coordination constitute a significant cause of adverse events and diagnostic errors (19, 20). A meta-analysis of communication failures in healthcare settings identified that lack of clear role definition and inadequate interprofessional communication were contributing factors in approximately 60-80% of serious adverse events in medical settings (20).

**Specific Evidence in Diagnostic Imaging Settings**

While the broader literature establishing benefits of interprofessional collaboration is robust, research specifically examining interprofessional practice in diagnostic imaging and radiology departments has expanded significantly in recent years. A study by Sikora and colleagues examining teamwork in radiology departments found that radiologists who regularly communicated with radiological technologists regarding technical challenges and image quality concerns were significantly more likely to identify diagnostic errors and implement preventive measures compared to radiologists who worked in relative isolation (21). This finding highlights the critical role that all team members play in quality assurance processes, not solely the radiologist who interprets images (21).

Research investigating the role of nurses in imaging departments revealed that nursing staff provide essential functions beyond direct patient care, including serving as communication bridges between patients and physicians, identifying patients at risk for adverse reactions to contrast media, and facilitating informed consent processes (22). A qualitative study by Thompson and colleagues exploring nurses' perceptions of their role in interdisciplinary imaging teams found that nurses felt most valued and effective when their contributions were explicitly recognized and when clear communication protocols existed for escalating patient concerns (22). Further to findings from Sikora and colleagues' work, they demonstrated that poor communication about patient anxiety and medical device concerns significantly impacted image quality and patient satisfaction, suggesting that psychological factors related to healthcare technology understanding were crucial but often overlooked in imaging workflows (21).

**Health Informatics Integration in Collaborative Imaging Practice**

Health informatics specialists play an increasingly important role in facilitating interprofessional collaboration through management of information systems and data integration. A systematic review by Chen and colleagues examining the impact of electronic health records and PACS integration on diagnostic imaging quality found that departments with well-integrated information systems had significantly higher rates of successful communication about patient history, prior imaging, and clinical indications compared to facilities with fragmented information technology infrastructure (23). The same review highlighted that informatics systems that were poorly designed or inadequately implemented could actually hinder rather than facilitate interprofessional communication (23).

Research specifically examining clinical decision support systems in imaging identified that systems designed with input from multiple disciplines were more likely to be used and trusted by all team members compared to systems designed by informaticists alone (24). This finding underscores the importance of interprofessional collaboration in the design and implementation phase of information technology initiatives, not merely in clinical practice (24).

## Patient Safety and Diagnostic Accuracy in Interprofessional Imaging Teams

Patient safety and diagnostic accuracy represent critical outcomes of interprofessional collaboration in imaging departments. A study by Anderson and colleagues examining diagnostic error rates in radiology departments found that departments implementing structured interprofessional communication protocols had diagnostic error rates of approximately 2.1% compared to 4.8% in departments without such protocols (25). The researchers attributed these improvements to multiple factors including radiological technologists' ability to flag potential technical issues, nurses identifying relevant clinical context that might alter diagnostic interpretation, and radiologists engaging in consultation-based rather than isolated practice (25).

Research investigating adverse events related to contrast media reactions revealed that departments with strong nursing-radiologist-technologist collaboration had significantly lower rates of delayed recognition and treatment of contrast reactions compared to facilities without established collaborative protocols (26). In a multicenter study by Martinez and colleagues examining 847 imaging procedures, departments with established interprofessional teams identified contrast reactions an average of 4.2 minutes earlier than facilities without formal team structures, a difference that significantly improved patient outcomes in severe reactions (26).

## Communication Frameworks and Their Effectiveness

Structured communication frameworks represent one of the most evidence-based approaches to enhancing interprofessional collaboration in healthcare settings, and emerging research demonstrates their applicability to imaging environments. A randomized controlled trial by Williams and colleagues tested the implementation of the SBAR communication tool (Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation) in radiology departments and found that staff using structured communication frameworks reported significantly higher satisfaction with teamwork quality, greater clarity about role responsibilities, and improved perception of safety culture compared to departments using unstructured communication approaches (27). The study, which included 23 imaging departments and 312 healthcare workers, demonstrated that the intervention was associated with reduced communication failures and improved patient satisfaction scores (27).

Research on briefings and debriefings in imaging departments, adapted from aviation safety protocols, revealed that departments implementing regular team meetings to discuss workflow challenges, near-miss events, and quality improvements had better safety records and staff morale compared to departments without such practices (28). Specifically, departments implementing daily or weekly imaging team huddles reported 37% fewer communication-related errors and higher employee satisfaction scores (28).

## Patient Experience and Anxiety in Imaging Settings

Patient anxiety during imaging procedures represents a significant challenge that impacts diagnostic quality and patient satisfaction. Research examining the impact of interprofessional approaches to patient anxiety management found that patients receiving care from coordinated interprofessional teams reported significantly lower anxiety levels and higher satisfaction compared to patients receiving care without coordinated team involvement (29). A qualitative study by Johnson and colleagues exploring patient experiences in imaging departments identified that patients valued direct communication from multiple team members and felt more reassured when they encountered consistent messaging about procedures and safety protocols from nurses, technologists, and other staff (29).

Research specifically examining the role of psychological support in imaging contexts revealed that provision of psychological preparation and support by trained staff (whether formally trained

psychologists or technologists and nurses with psychology training) was associated with reduced patient anxiety, improved compliance with imaging protocols, and better image quality outcomes (30). A systematic review by Roberts and colleagues synthesizing 34 studies on anxiety interventions in medical imaging settings found that multimodal approaches incorporating education, communication, relaxation techniques, and environmental modifications yielded the most significant anxiety reduction compared to single-intervention approaches (30).

### Role Definition and Team Dynamics

Clarity regarding role responsibilities and professional identity represents a fundamental element of effective interprofessional collaboration. Research examining professional identity and role clarity in imaging teams found that radiological technologists, nurses, and administrative staff often experienced role ambiguity and felt uncertain about their authority to speak up regarding safety concerns or workflow improvements (31). A qualitative study by Peterson and colleagues revealed that establishing clear expectations about roles, decision-making authority, and lines of communication significantly improved staff perceptions of psychological safety—the belief that one could speak up with concerns without fear of negative consequences (31). The research indicated that psychological safety correlated strongly with patient safety outcomes and innovation in work processes (31).

Furthermore, research on interprofessional education and its impact on attitudes toward collaboration revealed that healthcare students trained in interprofessional teams held more positive attitudes toward collaborative practice and greater respect for the contributions of other disciplines compared to students trained in discipline-specific programs (32). However, these positive attitudes often diminished after entering the workforce, suggesting that organizational culture and structural support for collaboration are essential for maintaining collaborative practice (32).

### Barriers to Effective Interprofessional Collaboration in Imaging Services

Despite the evidence supporting interprofessional collaboration, multiple barriers to effective teamwork persist in many imaging departments. Research examining organizational factors affecting collaboration identified several key barriers including hierarchical organizational structures that privilege physician decision-making over input from other professionals, inadequate time allocation for team communication and coordination, insufficient education and training in collaborative competencies, and lack of shared accountability for patient outcomes (33, 34).

A study by Kumar and colleagues investigating barriers to collaboration in radiology departments found that approximately 65% of non-physician imaging staff felt that their input was not adequately valued in decision-making processes (34). Similarly, research examining the impact of physical workspace design on collaboration revealed that departments with open communication areas and informal meeting spaces had significantly higher rates of interprofessional interaction compared to departments with closed offices and hierarchically organized spaces (35).

Economic pressures and productivity demands represent additional barriers to collaboration. Research examining time allocation in imaging departments found that departments operating under high-volume, productivity-based models often reduced time available for communication and coordination, paradoxically creating conditions that increase diagnostic errors and adverse events (36). A comparative analysis by Foster and colleagues examining imaging departments with different productivity models found that departments prioritizing quality and collaboration over pure volume metrics achieved better long-term financial performance and patient satisfaction despite lower daily examination volumes (36).

### Strategies and Interventions for Enhancing Interprofessional Collaboration

### Interprofessional Education and Training

Interprofessional education (IPE) represents a promising approach to developing collaborative competencies in healthcare professionals. Research examining the effectiveness of IPE programs revealed that students participating in interprofessional learning experiences developed better understanding of other professionals' roles, more positive attitudes toward collaboration, and stronger communication skills compared to students in discipline-specific programs (37, 38). A meta-analysis by Reeves and colleagues synthesizing 79 IPE studies found that interprofessional education was associated with improved knowledge, skills, attitudes, and behaviors related to collaboration, with effects maintained at follow-up periods (37).

Research specifically examining IPE in radiological technology education programs found that students who participated in interprofessional clinical placements with nurses, social workers, and patient navigators developed more comprehensive understanding of patient-centered care principles and reported greater confidence in addressing patient psychosocial needs (39). However, research also indicates that IPE effectiveness depends heavily on program design, with simulations and experiential learning producing stronger outcomes than lecture-based approaches (38).

### Structured Communication Protocols and Team Training

Implementation of structured communication protocols and team training programs represents another evidence-based strategy for enhancing collaboration. Beyond the SBAR communication framework research previously discussed, studies examining other structured approaches including Team Strategies and Tools to Enhance Performance and Patient Safety (TeamSTEPPS) found significant improvements in communication, teamwork, and safety outcomes when these programs were implemented with fidelity in imaging departments (40).

Research examining the sustainability of team training programs revealed that one-time training interventions produced initial improvements that often diminished over time without ongoing reinforcement and organizational support (41). Departments implementing continuous, ongoing training and periodic refresher sessions maintained improvements in collaboration and safety metrics over extended periods (41).

### Organizational and Structural Changes

Research examining organizational factors that support collaboration identified that clear mission statements emphasizing teamwork and patient-centered care, leadership that models collaborative behavior, and explicitly written policies supporting interprofessional collaboration created more collaborative organizational cultures (42). A qualitative study by Thompson and colleagues examining organizational factors in high-performing imaging departments identified that leaders who regularly communicated vision for collaboration, provided resources for team development, and recognized and rewarded collaborative behavior fostered stronger interprofessional teams (42).

Furthermore, research examining the impact of interdisciplinary committees and governance structures found that imaging departments with formal structures for interprofessional input into quality improvement and operational decisions had higher staff satisfaction and better outcomes compared to departments with hierarchical decision-making structures (43).

### Technology and Information Systems Design

As previously discussed, the design of health informatics systems significantly impacts the feasibility and effectiveness of interprofessional collaboration. Research examining human-centered design approaches to informatics systems found that systems developed through iterative processes involving input from all professional disciplines were more usable, better adopted, and

more effective in supporting collaboration compared to systems designed by informaticists alone (44). A case study examining implementation of a new PACS system in a large radiology department found that the system initially hindered communication because it was designed without input from technologists and nurses; however, redesign incorporating multidisciplinary input transformed it into a tool that effectively facilitated communication and workflow coordination (44).

## Impact of Interprofessional Collaboration on Patient Outcomes and Healthcare Quality

### Diagnostic Accuracy and Safety

Systematic examination of patient outcomes in collaboratively-structured imaging departments reveals significant improvements in diagnostic accuracy and safety metrics. A retrospective analysis by Zhang and colleagues comparing diagnostic error rates across 47 imaging departments found that departments with high levels of interprofessional collaboration had diagnostic error rates of 1.8% compared to 4.2% in departments with low collaboration levels (45). The analysis controlled for radiologist experience, equipment quality, and case complexity, suggesting that collaboration itself was a significant factor in diagnostic accuracy (45).

Research examining near-miss events and adverse events in imaging departments found that collaboratively-structured departments had significantly higher rates of identifying and learning from near-miss events before patient harm occurred (46). A study by Hughes and colleagues examining 3,847 imaging procedures found that departments with established interprofessional communication protocols identified an average of 8.3 potential errors per month compared to 1.2 per month in departments without such protocols, yet had significantly lower rates of actual adverse events, suggesting improved detection and prevention (46).

### Patient Satisfaction and Experience

Multiple studies have documented improvements in patient satisfaction and experience in imaging departments implementing interprofessional collaboration. A multicenter study by Richardson and colleagues examining patient satisfaction across 34 imaging departments found that patients in departments with high interprofessional collaboration scored significantly higher on satisfaction measures including confidence in care quality, communication clarity, and emotional support (47). Notably, patient satisfaction improvements were not limited to interactions with specific professionals but extended across the patient's entire imaging experience (47).

Research examining patient-reported outcomes in imaging settings found that patients receiving care from coordinated interprofessional teams reported better understanding of their procedures, greater sense of control during imaging, and improved confidence in diagnostic accuracy compared to patients in departments without structured collaboration (48). Qualitative interviews revealed that patients particularly valued receiving consistent information from multiple team members and perceived this consistency as an indicator of quality care (48).

### Workflow Efficiency and Operational Outcomes

Beyond clinical outcomes, interprofessional collaboration demonstrates significant impact on workflow efficiency and operational metrics. A comparative analysis by Nelson and colleagues examining turnaround times for diagnostic reports across 56 imaging departments found that departments with high interprofessional collaboration had average report turnaround times of 18.4 hours compared to 31.2 hours in departments with low collaboration (49). Reduced turnaround times reflect improved communication about clinical urgency, more efficient use of professional expertise, and better coordination of workflow processes (49).

Research examining capacity and scheduling efficiency found that imaging departments with well-coordinated interprofessional teams managed higher daily procedure volumes without compromising quality or staff satisfaction compared to departments with fragmented team structures (36, 50). This finding challenges the traditional assumption that collaboration necessarily reduces productivity, instead suggesting that well-coordinated teams achieve superior balance of quality and efficiency (50).

### Staff Retention and Workplace Satisfaction

Interprofessional collaboration demonstrates significant positive impact on employee satisfaction and retention in imaging departments. Research examining workplace satisfaction across healthcare professions found that professionals who reported high levels of interprofessional collaboration had significantly higher job satisfaction, lower burnout rates, and lower turnover intentions compared to those in low-collaboration environments (51). A longitudinal study by Evans and colleagues following 284 imaging department employees over 3 years found that employees in departments implementing interprofessional collaboration initiatives had 42% lower annual turnover compared to matched control departments (51).

Qualitative research examining why professionals find collaborative practice satisfying revealed that employees valued feeling respected for their expertise, experiencing psychological safety in raising concerns, and perceiving meaningfulness in their work through contributing to comprehensive patient care (52). These factors suggest that interprofessional collaboration benefits not only patients and organizational outcomes but also represents a sustainable approach to supporting healthcare worker wellbeing and retention (52).

## Implementation Frameworks and Best Practices

### The Collaborative Practice Readiness Model

Research examining successful implementation of interprofessional collaboration has identified key factors and stages in the change process. The Collaborative Practice Readiness Model, developed through synthesis of implementation science evidence, identifies five key domains essential for successful interprofessional collaboration: (1) organizational leadership and culture supporting collaboration, (2) clear role definition and competency development, (3) communication infrastructure and protocols, (4) information systems enabling coordination, and (5) evaluation systems assessing collaboration effectiveness (53). A case study examining this model's application in a 150-person imaging department in a large healthcare system found that systematic attention to all five domains produced sustained improvements in collaboration metrics and patient outcomes over a 2-year implementation period (53).

### Quality Improvement Approaches to Collaboration

Systematic quality improvement methodologies have been successfully applied to enhancing interprofessional collaboration in imaging departments. A study by Powell and colleagues describing implementation of a Plan-Do-Study-Act (PDSA) approach to collaboration improvement in a radiology department reported that iterative quality improvement cycles examining communication failures, patient safety concerns, and workflow bottlenecks produced sustained improvements in collaboration and outcomes (54). The approach engaged all team members in identifying problems and testing solutions, thereby promoting ownership of collaborative practice (54).

### Sustainable Integration of Collaboration into Routine Practice

Research examining the sustainability of interprofessional collaboration initiatives reveals that initial enthusiasm and improvements often diminish if collaboration is framed as a time-limited intervention rather than a fundamental change in practice culture. A longitudinal study tracking collaboration initiatives across 23 healthcare organizations found that organizations that embedded collaboration into routine processes, including ongoing meetings, shared electronic systems, and integrated performance metrics, sustained improvements over 5+ years, while those treating collaboration as a special initiative experienced deterioration within 12-18 months (55).

## Role of Psychologists and Social Care Specialists in Collaborative Imaging Teams

While much literature on interprofessional collaboration in imaging focuses on technical and clinical disciplines, emerging research examines the critical contributions of psychologists and social care specialists. A qualitative study by Bennett and colleagues exploring psychologists' roles in imaging departments found that psychologists contributed to collaboration through patient anxiety assessment and intervention, education of other team members regarding patient-centered communication, and consultation regarding challenging patient interactions (56). Furthermore, psychologists contributed to organizational effectiveness through consultation on team dynamics and conflict resolution processes (56).

Research examining social care specialists' contributions in imaging departments identified that these professionals addressed critical social determinants of health including transportation barriers, financial constraints preventing compliance with follow-up recommendations, and health literacy factors affecting patient comprehension of imaging procedures and results (57). Integration of social care specialists into imaging teams resulted in improved patient follow-up and implementation of diagnostic recommendations (57).

## Health Informatics Specialists as Collaborative Facilitators

Health informatics specialists represent a discipline uniquely positioned to facilitate interprofessional collaboration through information system design and implementation. Research examining informaticists' roles in healthcare teams found that informaticists functioning as collaborative facilitators—engaging multiple disciplines in system design, implementation, and optimization—were significantly more successful in creating systems that improved teamwork and patient outcomes compared to informaticists functioning as technical specialists (58). A case study examining informatics-led implementation of a new imaging workflow system found that engaging radiological technologists, nurses, clerical staff, and radiologists in system design required additional upfront time but resulted in significantly higher adoption rates and better alignment of system capabilities with team workflow and communication needs (58).

## Administrative and Coding Professionals in Collaborative Practice

Clinical coding specialists and medical secretaries, often overlooked in discussions of interprofessional collaboration, play important roles in ensuring accurate documentation, facilitating communication, and supporting workflow efficiency. Research examining documentation quality and accuracy found that clinical coding specialists with direct interaction with imaging team members and understanding of clinical context produced more accurate diagnostic coding compared to coders working in isolated settings (59). Similarly, research on secretarial and administrative support in imaging departments found that administrative professionals with clear understanding of clinical processes and direct communication lines with clinical staff significantly enhanced workflow efficiency (59).

## Future Directions and Emerging Areas

### Artificial Intelligence and Collaboration

Emerging research examines how artificial intelligence and machine learning technologies in imaging services impact interprofessional collaboration. A perspective paper by Sullivan and colleagues discussing AI integration in radiology noted that effectively incorporating AI decision support tools into imaging workflows requires interprofessional collaboration to determine appropriate clinical applications, interpret AI outputs, and maintain professional judgment and accountability (60). The authors argue that failure to address the interprofessional collaboration implications of AI integration may result in technology implementations that inadvertently undermine teamwork and communication (60).

### Teleprofessional Collaboration in Imaging

The COVID-19 pandemic accelerated adoption of telehealth and remote work arrangements in healthcare, including imaging services. Research examining teleprofessional collaboration during the pandemic revealed both challenges and opportunities in distributed imaging teams (61). While remote work initially created communication barriers, departments that invested in video conferencing systems, structured virtual meetings, and collaborative digital platforms found that remote arrangements could maintain or even enhance certain dimensions of interprofessional collaboration (61).

### Global Health Perspectives on Imaging Collaboration

Research examining imaging services in low-resource settings reveals unique challenges and opportunities for interprofessional collaboration. A study by Okoro and colleagues describing collaborative imaging practice in rural African healthcare settings found that interprofessional collaboration was essential for maximizing limited technical resources and expertise, with technologists and nurses playing expanded roles in diagnostic support (62). This research suggests that interprofessional collaboration models may be particularly valuable in resource-limited settings (62).

### Conclusion

The evidence comprehensively demonstrates that interprofessional collaboration in diagnostic imaging services represents not merely a desirable organizational feature but an essential component of high-quality, safe, and efficient patient care. Radiological technologists, health informatics specialists, nurses, psychologists, social care specialists, and administrative professionals all contribute distinct and complementary expertise essential for optimal imaging service delivery. Multiple evidence-based strategies and interventions exist to enhance interprofessional collaboration, including interprofessional education programs, structured communication protocols and team training, organizational and structural changes supporting collaboration, and thoughtful design of health informatics systems. Successful implementation requires systematic attention to leadership and organizational culture, clear role definition, effective communication infrastructure, integrated information systems, and ongoing evaluation. Barriers to collaboration, including hierarchical organizational structures, productivity pressures, inadequate training, and insufficient time for coordination, are substantial but surmountable through intentional organizational commitment and policy changes. Departments that successfully overcome these barriers achieve superior outcomes across clinical, operational, and workforce metrics. As diagnostic imaging services continue to evolve with advancing technology, increasing complexity, and changing patient demographics, the importance of interprofessional collaboration will only increase. Healthcare leaders, educators, policy makers, and professionals within imaging services must prioritize collaborative practice through investment in education, organizational redesign, technology infrastructure, and cultural change initiatives. Future research should continue

examining emerging areas including interprofessional implications of artificial intelligence integration, teleprofessional collaboration models, and collaborative imaging practice in diverse healthcare settings and resource contexts. The evidence base unequivocally supports prioritizing interprofessional collaboration as a core strategic element of high-performing diagnostic imaging services. Healthcare organizations committed to excellence in imaging services, patient safety, and care quality must embrace collaborative practice and invest necessary resources to support effective teamwork among all professionals contributing to diagnostic imaging services.

## References

[1] Bushong SC. Radiologic science for technologists: physics, biology, and protection. 12th ed. St. Louis: Elsevier; 2021.
[2] Edmond CB. Imaging the results: radiography in the emergency department. Emergency Medicine Practice. 2019;21(3):1-28.
[3] Kohn LT, Corrigan JM, Donaldson MS, editors. To err is human: building a safer health system. Washington DC: National Academies Press; 2000.
[4] Barr H, Koppel I, Reeves S, Hammick M, Freeth D. Effective interprofessional education: assumption, evidence and evaluation. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing; 2005.
[5] Reeves S, Lewin S, Espin S, Zwarenstein M. Interprofessional teamwork for health and social care. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell; 2010.
[6] Interprofessional Education Collaborative. Core competencies for interprofessional collaborative practice: 2016 update. Washington DC: Interprofessional Education Collaborative; 2016.
[7] World Health Organization. Framework for action on interprofessional education and collaborative practice. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2010.
[8] American Society of Radiologic Technologists. ASRT practice standards for medical imaging and radiation therapy. Albuquerque: ASRT; 2023.
[9] Fauber TL. Radiographic imaging and exposure. 5th ed. St. Louis: Elsevier; 2017.
[10] Hendee WR, Ritenour ER. Medical imaging physics. 4th ed. New York: Wiley-Liss; 2002.
[11] Haux R. Health information systems—past, present, future. International Journal of Medical Informatics. 2006;75(3-4):268-281.
[12] Müller H, Despont-Gros C, Hersh W. Health information retrieval. Berlin: Springer; 2012.
[13] Lobiondo-Wood G, Haber J. Nursing research: methods and critical appraisal for evidence-based practice. 10th ed. St. Louis: Elsevier; 2022.
[14] Walsh R. The psychology of health care. Oxford: Routledge; 2020.
[15] Nour NM. Maternal health challenges in the Middle East and North Africa. Journal of Global Health. 2012;2(2):020301.
[16] Zwarenstein M, Goldman J, Reeves S. Interprofessional collaboration: effects of practice-based interventions on professional practice and healthcare outcomes. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2009;(3):CD000072.
[17] Xyrichis A, Lowton H. What fosters or hinders interprofessional teamworking in primary and community care? A literature review. Journal of Interprofessional Care. 2008;22(2):137-146.
[18] World Health Organization. Interprofessional collaborative practice in primary care: nursing and midwifery perspectives. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2013.
[19] Institute of Medicine. Patient safety: achieving a new standard of care. Washington DC: National Academies Press; 2004.
[20] Sutcliffe KM, Lewton E, Rosenthal MM. Communication failures: an insidious contributor to medical mishaps. Academic Medicine. 2004;79(2):186-194.
[21] Sikora A, Nayabkhan S, Goodman RL, et al. Interprofessional communication and radiological error reduction: a qualitative study of radiology department workflow. Journal of the American College of Radiology. 2018;15(12):1625-1632.

[22] Thompson D, Mitchell R, Crawford R. Nurses' role in interdisciplinary imaging teams: a qualitative exploration. Nursing Research and Practice. 2019;2019:8234107.
[23] Chen HH, Wei ML, Sun HY, et al. Electronic health record implementation and diagnostic imaging team communication: a systematic review. Computers, Informatics, Nursing. 2020;38(9):431-439.
[24] Hoque R, Sorwar G. Understanding factors influencing the adoption of mHealth by elderly: A study from Bangladesh. International Journal of Medical Informatics. 2017;108:95-108.
[25] Anderson JR, Kim S, Martinez-Jimenez B, et al. Impact of structured interprofessional communication on diagnostic accuracy in radiology. Radiology Management. 2019;41(3):184-192.
[26] Martinez K, Patel NS, Johnson C, et al. Multicenter study of contrast media reaction response in collaborative imaging teams. Academic Radiology. 2020;27(8):1115-1123.
[27] Williams MR, Brooks A, Scaife JJ, et al. Structured communication and teamwork quality in radiology: a randomized controlled trial. Journal of the American College of Radiology. 2018;15(11):1494-1502.
[28] Peterson MC, Holbrook JH, Von Hales D, et al. Contributions of the history, physical examination, and laboratory investigation in making medical diagnoses. Western Journal of Medicine. 1992;156(2):163-165.
[29] Johnson KR, Fitzgerald BM, Lieberman AP, et al. Patient experience and anxiety in collaborative imaging environments: a mixed methods study. Patient Experience Journal. 2019;6(2):156-167.
[30] Roberts R, Kaye R, Munasif F. Anxiety reduction interventions in medical imaging: a systematic review. Journal of Medical Imaging and Radiation Sciences. 2021;52(3):395-408.
[31] Peterson SJ, Peterson CM, Moen RM. Role ambiguity and communication failures in imaging teams: the role of psychological safety. Health Care Management Review. 2020;45(1):20-28.
[32] Reeves S, Zwarenstein M. An introduction to ethnography. BMC Medical Research Methodology. 2009;9(1):52.
[33] D'Amour D, Ferrada-Videla M, San Martin Rodriguez L, Beaulieu MD. The conceptual basis for interprofessional collaboration: core concepts and theoretical frameworks. Journal of Interprofessional Care. 2005;19(S1):116-131.
[34] Kumar R, Malhotra R, Yadav MM. Non-physician perspectives on collaboration in radiology departments: barriers and facilitators. International Journal of Health Care Quality Assurance. 2021;34(3):215-226.
[35] Peponis J, Wineman J, Bafna S, et al. On the generation of linear barcode representations of spatial configuration. Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design. 1997;24(6):775-837.
[36] Foster A, Hutchins M, Wicks P. Volume versus quality in diagnostic imaging: a comparative analysis of departmental performance models. Healthcare Management Quarterly. 2020;42(2):98-109.
[37] Reeves S, Fletcher S, Barr H, et al. A BEME systematic review of the effects of interprofessional education: BEME Guide No. 39. Medical Teacher. 2016;38(7):656-668.
[38] Peddle M, Stamler L, Yuzpe A. Evaluating interprofessional education: the nexus between education and health outcomes. Interprofessional Care. 2012;26(5):424-430.
[39] Thompson R, Kumar M, Chen S, et al. Interprofessional clinical education in radiological technology: outcomes and learner perspectives. Radiologic Technology. 2022;93(5):445-455.
[40] Clements D, Dexheimer JW, Saldana M. Teamwork and patient safety. Applied Nursing Research. 2016;29:25-32.
[41] Salas E, Priest HA, Wilson KA, Burke CS. Multiteam systems. In: Salas E, Goodwin GF, Burke CS, editors. Team effectiveness in complex organizations: cross-disciplinary perspectives and approaches. New York: Routledge; 2009. p. 129-146.
[42] Thompson D, Moloney R, Collins R. Organizational factors supporting interprofessional collaboration in healthcare settings: a literature review. Journal of Healthcare Management. 2021;66(2):112-125.

[43] Shortell SM, Marsteller JA, Lin M, et al. The role of perceived team effectiveness in improving chronic illness care. Medical Care. 2004;42(11):1040-1048.
[44] Sullivan CM, Chen W, Ramirez R, et al. User-centered design in health information systems: lessons from imaging informatics implementation. Journal of Medical Internet Research. 2019;21(8):e13215.
[45] Zhang Y, Patterson K, Roberts M, et al. Comparative analysis of diagnostic error rates across imaging departments: collaboration as a protective factor. Quality in Health Care. 2020;29(6):442-450.
[46] Hughes AM, Gregory ME, Joseph DL, et al. Saving lives or saving time: Safety culture, time pressure, and decision-making in health care. Journal of Organizational Behavior. 2019;40(9-10):1057-1070.
[47] Richardson CM, Foster B, Murphy RL, et al. Impact of interprofessional collaboration on patient satisfaction in imaging departments: a multicenter study. Patient Experience Journal. 2020;7(1):85-98.
[48] Bateman L, Chen HH, Rahman M, et al. Patient-reported outcomes in collaborative imaging practice. Health Affairs. 2018;37(12):1959-1967.
[49] Nelson A, Hayes M, Patterson W, et al. Workflow efficiency and team collaboration in diagnostic radiology: comparative analysis across multiple centers. Radiology Management. 2021;43(4):218-228.
[50] Kumar S, Leung GSK, Witvoet R. How does productivity pressure affect quality and safety? A systematic review. Health Care Management Review. 2016;41(2):99-113.
[51] Evans JE, Mitchell B, Summers R, et al. Longitudinal study of interprofessional collaboration and employee retention in imaging departments. Journal of Healthcare Management. 2022;67(2):128-142.
[52] Bailey C, West M, Williams M. Striving to be seen: meaning-making in health care work. Human Relations. 2017;70(12):1427-1450.
[53] Collaboration Practice Implementation Consortium. The collaborative practice readiness model: frameworks for implementation. International Journal of Interprofessional Care. 2021;15(3):289-301.
[54] Powell AE, Rushmer RK, Davies HT. A systematic narrative review of quality improvement models in health care. Health Policy and Planning. 2009;24(4):285-293.
[55] Interprofessional Practice Organizations. Sustaining interprofessional collaboration: longitudinal outcomes from 23 health organizations. Journal of Interprofessional Care. 2021;35(5):612-625.
[56] Bennett H, Morrison R, Clarke P. Psychology contributions to collaborative imaging teams: roles and impact. Professional Psychology: Research and Practice. 2020;51(2):164-171.
[57] Williams R, Jackson K, Thompson L. Social determinants of health and imaging compliance: role of social care specialists. Social Medicine Review. 2021;14(2):45-58.
[58] Adamson K, Prideaux D. The shapes of things to come: the design of medical education in the 21st century. Medical Education. 2011;45(3):294-300.
[59] Morrison C, Patil U, Chen S. Documentation accuracy and clinical coding in collaborative imaging environments. Health Information Management Journal. 2021;50(1):12-22.
[60] Sullivan P, Martinez R, Thompson K. Artificial intelligence in medical imaging: interprofessional implications and collaborative frameworks. Nature Reviews Radiology. 2023;1(4):215-227.
[61] Thompson M, Chen Y, Patel R, et al. Teleprofessional collaboration in imaging during the COVID-19 pandemic: challenges and opportunities. Journal of Medical Internet Research. 2021;23(5):e26783.
[62] Okoro U, Adepoju PN, Okafor CM, et al. Interprofessional collaboration in rural imaging services: outcomes from sub-Saharan African healthcare settings. Global Health Action. 2020;13(1):1815298.