The Review Of
DIABETIC
STUDIES
OPEN ACCESS

Transforming Infection Control Through Automation, Digital Technologies, And Analytics

Muayed Mahmoud Ali Albalawi[1], Awadh Mutlaq Alanazi[2], Ebtesam Ali Mohammed Magrashi[3], Hussain Mohammed Alrowaili[4], Ali Sharaf Alshullah[5], Fawaz Jumah Dakhilallah Al Atawi[6], Asia Faris Mohammed Alanazi[7], Ghunaim Muqbil Ghunaim Aldhafeeri[8], Modhi Alwsh Alsubaie[9], Mohammed Abdullah Jarallah[10], Afrah Khalid ALZhrani[11], Hana Abdulhameed Fitn Alsayed[12], Bader Jari Mohammed Alshamrani[13], Abeer Ali Alkhaibari[14], Dalal Fahead Alonazi[15]

[1]*Er Registrar, King Salman Hospital, First Health Cluster, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.*
[2]*T Xray, King Khalid Hospital in Al-Kharj, First Health Cluster, Riyadh, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.*
[3]*Nurse, Audah PHC Tiaf, Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.*
[4]*Assistant Pharmacist, First Health Cluster, Riyadh, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.*
[5]*Emergency Medical Technician, Eradh Dammam, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.*
[6]*Laboratory Technician, Al Faisalia south PHC, Primary Health care administration, Tabuk Health cluster, Tabuk, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia*
[7]*Nursing Technician, Tabuk King Khalid Hospital – Al-Faisaliah South Primary Health Care Center, Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.*
[8]*Dental technician, Specialized Dental center, Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.*
[9]*Dental Assistant, King Khaled Hospital and Prince Sultan Center for Health Care, C1 Riyadh Health Cluster, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.*
[10]*Imam Abdulrahman Al Faisal Hospital, Emergency medical services, First Health Cluster, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.*
[11]*Radiology Technologist, East Jeddah General Hospital, First Health cluster, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.*
[12]*Health Security, Alsawari Medical Center, king Abdullah medical center, Second Health Cluster, Jeddah, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.*
[13]*Health Security, Alsawari Health Center, king Abdullah Medical Center, Second Health Cluster, Jeddah, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.*
[14]*Nurse Assistant, Al-iman General Hospital, First Health Cluster, Riyadh, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.*
[15]*Nursing Technician, Al-iman General Hospital, First Health Cluster, Riyadh, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.*

Abstract

Background
Traditional infection control relies on manual surveillance, which suffers from underreporting (30-50%), delays, and resource limitations, contributing to 1.7 million annual HAIs in the US alone, with global costs exceeding $30 billion.
Methods
This narrative review synthesizes peer-reviewed evidence from 2015-2026 on automation (e.g., UV robots), digital technologies (e.g., IoT sensors, EHR triggers), and analytics (e.g., AI/ML prediction) sourced from PubMed, Scopus, and Cochrane. RCTs, cohorts, and systematic reviews (n>50) were prioritized, excluding pre-2015 or non-healthcare studies.
Results
Technologies reduced HAIs by 20-40% in ICUs via AI surveillance and UV disinfection (96% microbial kill); IoT improved hand hygiene compliance to 72-85%; predictive ML achieved 90-95% accuracy in early detection. LMIC pilots, including Egypt, showed scalable mobile AI for outbreaks.
Conclusions
Automation, digital tools, and analytics surpass manual methods, enabling proactive HAI prevention despite barriers like legacy systems. Phased adoption with training and policies is essential for equitable, sustainable implementation toward zero-HAI goals.

Keywords infection control automation, digital technologies, AI analytics, HAIs, surveillance systems, machine learning, IoT sensors.

Introduction

The evolution of infection control practices has profoundly shaped modern healthcare, transitioning from rudimentary observations to sophisticated technological integrations that promise to mitigate longstanding challenges in preventing healthcare-associated infections (HAIs). This section traces the historical foundations, underscores the immense global burden of HAIs, highlights the pivotal shift toward technology-driven solutions accelerated by the COVID-19 pandemic, and delineates the specific aims and scope of this review, which synthesizes evidence from 2015 to 2026 on automation, digital technologies, and analytics in transforming infection control paradigms (Torriani & Taplitz, 2010).

Ignaz Semmelweis's groundbreaking 1847 observations in Vienna's General Hospital maternity wards marked the inception of modern infection control, where he identified that handwashing with chlorinated lime solution drastically reduced puerperal fever mortality from 18% to under 2%, yet his findings faced vehement opposition from the medical establishment due to entrenched miasma theories and reluctance to acknowledge practitioner-mediated transmission, leading to his professional ostracism and eventual institutionalization; this resistance exemplified early barriers to evidence-based practices, as surgeons continued relying on unsterile techniques amid rising postoperative sepsis rates until Joseph Lister's 1867 adoption of carbolic acid antisepsis began shifting paradigms, though widespread implementation lagged due to logistical challenges and skepticism. By the early 20th century, the germ theory solidified through Koch and Pasteur's work prompted incremental advancements like aseptic surgery and basic isolation protocols, but World War I battlefields exposed persistent vulnerabilities, with infection rates soaring despite rudimentary antiseptics, culminating in the 1918 influenza pandemic that killed millions and underscored airborne transmission risks previously dismissed. Post-World War II, the antibiotic era ushered perceived invincibility, diminishing urgency for rigorous control until the 1950s staphylococcal epidemics in nurseries revived focus, leading to the CDC's 1963 establishment of hospital infection surveillance programs; however, these relied on voluntary manual reporting, plagued by under-detection, inconsistent definitions, and resource constraints, as evidenced by variable compliance where only 20-30% of HAIs were captured due to labor-intensive chart reviews and lack of standardized criteria. The 1970s-1980s saw formalization through CDC's National Nosocomial Infections Surveillance (NNIS) system in 1970 and Study on the Efficacy of Nosocomial Infection Control (SENIC) in 1976, which demonstrated that organized programs reduced rates by 30%, yet manual methodologies persisted, involving prospective audits by infection preventionists (IPs) who manually abstracted data from microbiology logs, patient charts, and nursing notes a process consuming 20-40 hours weekly per IP, prone to inter-observer variability (kappa 0.6-0.8), retrospective biases, and failure to detect early-onset or asymptomatic cases, as highlighted in critiques of CDC's 1980s guidelines emphasizing paper-based surveillance manuals that overburdened understaffed teams (one IP per 250-300 beds recommended but rarely met). Into the 1990s-2000s, mandates like the 1997 JCAHO requirement for HAI tracking and CMS's 2008 non-payment for select HAIs intensified scrutiny, yet manual limitations endured: subjectivity in case ascertainment (e.g., ventilator-associated pneumonia criteria yielding 20% false positives), delayed feedback loops (weeks to months for trend analysis), scalability issues amid rising patient volumes, and human error amplified by fatigue, with studies showing 15-25% underreporting in high-burden ICUs; CDC's evolving surveillance manuals, from the 1982 Baseline Infection Rates to the 2007 Isolation Precautions Guideline, provided frameworks but relied on cumbersome paper or early electronic forms lacking real-time integration, perpetuating inefficiencies where IPs spent 70% of time on surveillance versus intervention, as staffing shortages (national ratio 1:333 beds by 2010) compounded delays in outbreak responses like MRSA surges. These historical manual paradigms, while foundational in reducing crude HAI rates from 10% pre-1970 to 4-5% by 2000s, exposed systemic frailties paving the way for digital revolutions amid escalating AMR threats (Torriani & Taplitz, 2010).

Healthcare-associated infections afflict 4-10% of hospitalized patients worldwide, translating to over 7% prevalence in high-income countries and up to 15-20% in low-middle-income settings, with the US alone reporting approximately 1.7 million cases annually as per landmark 2007 CDC estimates encompassing 722,000 traditional HAIs plus 183,000 device-linked, associated with 99,000 attributable deaths figures persisting into recent years despite interventions, as 2020 analyses reaffirm 1.7-2 million incidents yearly leading to 99,000-150,000 fatalities, surpassing motor vehicle crashes and ranking among top 10 US killers. Economically, HAIs impose a staggering toll exceeding $30-45 billion annually in direct US costs alone (2010-2020 adjusted figures), factoring prolonged stays (average 4-7 extra days per case, ICU 10-21), escalated resource use (2-3x antimicrobials, imaging), and indirect losses like productivity decrements ($194 billion globally from excess mortality); recent 2025 projections amplify this to $693 billion in global hospital costs for ABR-linked HAIs, with E. coli ($246B) and S. aureus ($135B) dominant, plus $66-159 billion yearly AMR-attributable healthcare escalation by 2050 absent interventions, while LMICs bear disproportionate per-case burdens (e.g., $2,000+ extra in China studies mirroring US $20-40K). Mortality compounds tragedy, with HAI-attributable fractions 10-30% (pneumonia 20%, BSIs 15-25%), odds ratios 1.5-5.0 for death, disproportionately impacting vulnerable cohorts while AMR escalates lethality (e.g., CRE mortality 40-50% vs. 10% susceptible), fueling vicious cycles where 30% of HAIs now involve MDR organisms, projecting 10 million annual deaths by 2050 per WHO, with economic ripple effects stunting GDPs by trillions; post-2020 data reveal COVID-exacerbated surges (e.g., 20-50% HAI rate hikes in overwhelmed ICUs), underscoring unmitigated manual surveillance failures amplifying transmission of C. difficile (500K US cases, $3-5B), CLABSIs (30K, $1-2B), and SSIs (150K, $4B) (Almeida, 2015). The imperative for technology-driven infection control arises from manual methods' retrospective audits yielding delayed, incomplete insights versus real-time data streams enabling proactive interventions, as electronic health records (EHRs), IoT sensors, and AI analytics process petabytes of structured/unstructured data (microbiology, vital signs, compliance logs) for instantaneous anomaly detection, e.g., ML models achieving 90-95% HAI prediction accuracy days pre-onset, slashing response times from weeks to hours. Post-COVID acceleration propelled this shift, with 2020-2025 surges overwhelming manual IPs (ratios 1:500+), spurring IAM/SSO for contact tracing (tracking badge proximity to positives, interrupting chains), digital dashboards for bed allocation, and AI for outbreak forecasting, as hospitals leveraged EHR automation to cut transmission 20-40% amid ventilator/CAUTI spikes; innovations like RFID-monitored hand hygiene (compliance 85% vs. 40% self-report), UV robots for room disinfection (99.9% pathogen kill), and predictive analytics (e.g., sepsis alerts reducing mortality 15%) transitioned paradigms from reactive checklists to dynamic ecosystems integrating wearables, blockchain for supply tracking, and NLP for chart mining, evidenced by 2023-2026 reviews showing automated surveillance sensitivity/specificity 85-100% surpassing manual 70-80%, with ROI via 30% HAI reductions ($ billions saved) (Gellert et al., 2022).

This review synthesizes peer-reviewed evidence from 2015-2026 on automation (robotic disinfection, automated dispensing), digital technologies (EHR surveillance, IoT sensors, tele-IPC), and analytics (AI/ML prediction, big data dashboards) transforming infection control, appraising efficacy, implementation barriers/facilitators, and outcomes in HAI reduction/AMR mitigation across settings. Exclusions encompass non-peer-reviewed sources (grey literature, preprints sans peer review), non-healthcare contexts, and pre-2015 studies predating modern EHR ubiquity, prioritizing RCTs, prospective cohorts, and systematic reviews from PubMed/Scopus/Cochrane (n>50 anticipated) to guide scalable deployments amid 2026 priorities like post-pandemic resilience (Alhusain, 2025).

Limitations of Traditional Infection Control

Traditional infection control methods, reliant on manual processes and human oversight, face profound systemic flaws that undermine their efficacy in preventing healthcare-associated infections (HAIs), particularly in resource-constrained environments where underreporting, delayed responses, and inequities exacerbate outbreak risks. These limitations manifest across surveillance, detection strategies, and resource allocation, contributing to persistent high HAI rates globally, with studies estimating that manual systems capture only a fraction of actual incidents due to inherent inefficiencies and human factors. In low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) like Egypt, these challenges are amplified by infrastructural deficits, making a compelling case for transformative digital interventions (Gibbons et al., 2014).

Manual surveillance in infection control, characterized by labor-intensive chart reviews, prospective audits, and clinician notifications, suffers from chronic underreporting rates estimated between 30-50% or higher, as healthcare workers often fail to document or recognize HAIs amid overwhelming workloads, leading to substantial underestimation of true incidence and misguided resource allocation. This underreporting stems from multiple interconnected issues, including diagnostic misclassifications coupled with human errors like slips in personal protective equipment (PPE) removal, where personnel subconsciously touch faces with contaminated gloves or mishandle gowns due to automatic behaviors under time pressure. Labor intensity further compounds these problems, as infection preventionists dedicate up to 45% of their time to surveillance activities that yield inconsistent accuracy (ranging 16-87% in case studies), fostering burnout, unsustainable workloads, and overlooked self-contamination risks from poor planning, such as storing items in pockets during patient care or logistical struggles with in-room computers. Human error permeates every layer, from knowledge deficits in precaution protocols to environmental mismatches like inadequate facilities and ambiguous guidelines, resulting in violations, mistakes, and routine failures that perpetuate transmission despite signage in over 325 observed patient rooms. These shortcomings not only inflate perceived safety but also hinder evidence-based improvements, as unreliable data obscures true HAI burdens and delays targeted interventions in high-stakes settings like ICUs (Wang et al., 2025).

Reactive infection control paradigms, which prioritize response post-outbreak confirmation, inherently delay detection by an average of 2-4 weeks or more, allowing unchecked pathogen spread, evident in diseases like pertussis requiring at least 5-week reductions in reporting delays for substantial control, or shigellosis needing over 10 days faster timelines to curb transmission. This lag arises from prolonged symptom-to-notification intervals, where interventions on index cases alone prove inefficient (preventing <20% of secondary infections even with same-day reporting), compounded by failures in contact tracing and verification that permit secondary waves, as modeled across hepatitis A/B, measles, mumps, and others. Outbreak analyses underscore that current delays render proactive measures moot, with post-detection verification and response often exceeding 7-1-7 targets (detection in ≤7 days, notification in ≤1 day, response in ≤7 days), leading to attack rates soaring to 80% instead of near-zero with 1-day diagnostics. In practice, this reactivity manifests in escalated morbidity, as seen in protracted epidemics where failing to calibrate surveillance for variable delays understates projections and misguides decisions, ignoring asymptomatic transmission phases that amplify PIR1 (post-infection reporting index) beyond 90% for most pathogens. Such approaches also overlook surveillance gaps, like inconsistent post-discharge tracking or misaligned early warning systems, perpetuating cycles of escalation rather than prevention, particularly in under-resourced systems where manual verification bottlenecks amplify every delay (Marinović et al., 2015).

Resource disparities in infection control starkly divide high-income countries (HICs) from LMICs, where HAI rates are disproportionately higher due to infrastructural voids, understaffing, and absent surveillance, exemplified by Egypt's challenges in scaling programs amid administrative, financial, and motivational hurdles despite national expansions. In LMICs, HIC advantages like dedicated infection prevention teams, real-time IT, and robust training contrast with shortages of personnel (e.g., infectious disease specialists, microbiologists), funding deficits, and suboptimal labs lacking antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST), resulting in elevated multidrug-resistant organism (MDRO) prevalence and blood-borne transmission. Egypt-specific gaps include time constraints, awareness deficits, guideline shortages, and weak enforcement, mirroring regional Eastern Mediterranean issues like poor generic medicine quality, antimicrobial shortages, and overcrowded facilities that undermine even basic hand hygiene and device bundles. These inequities foster higher HAI burdens, with LMICs facing poverty-related overcrowding, understaffing, and ritualistic practices diverting scarce resources from evidence-based priorities like isolation and early detection. Bridging requires reallocating from wasteful habits to low-cost education and bundles, yet persistent barriers like sanctions, migration, and leadership gaps in places like Egypt perpetuate cycles, demanding tailored, cost-effective models over HIC replicas (Alp & Damani, 2015).

Core Technologies

Core technologies form the backbone of modern infection control, integrating automation, digital tools, and analytics to proactively mitigate healthcare-associated infections (HAIs). These innovations leverage real-time data processing, machine learning, and interconnected devices to surpass traditional manual methods, enabling hospitals to reduce transmission risks, optimize resource allocation, and predict outbreaks with unprecedented accuracy. By embedding these systems into clinical workflows, healthcare facilities achieve scalable, evidence-based strategies that address both environmental and behavioral factors in infection prevention (Shenoy & Branch-Elliman, 2023).

Automation tools revolutionize infection control by minimizing human error and ensuring consistent execution of disinfection protocols in high-risk environments like intensive care units and operating theaters. These systems employ intelligent algorithms and robotic hardware to perform tasks such as surface decontamination and surveillance triggering, often operating autonomously during off-hours to avoid disrupting patient care. Implementation challenges, including initial costs and integration with legacy infrastructure, are offset by long-term reductions in HAI rates and operational efficiencies, as demonstrated in multiple clinical trials across diverse healthcare settings (Casini et al., 2023).

Electronic Health Record (EHR)-integrated triggers represent a pivotal advancement in real-time infection surveillance, automatically generating alerts based on laboratory results, vital signs, and clinical pathways to flag potential HAIs before they escalate. These systems scan structured data elements like microbiology reports, readmission flags, and vital sign anomalies to initiate trigger-based screening, prompting manual review only for high-risk cases and thereby streamlining workflows for infection preventionists. In practice, EHR triggers have unearthed adverse events that manual audits miss, with studies showing improved detection of sepsis and device-related infections through integration with machine learning for predictive flagging, ultimately enhancing patient outcomes in emergency departments and ICUs by enabling timely interventions such as isolation or antimicrobial adjustments. Furthermore, combining triggers with spatiotemporal analysis amplifies outbreak detection by clustering high-confidence predictions geographically, reducing false positives and supporting proactive resource deployment during surges (Branch-Elliman et al., 2023).

Robotic disinfection systems, particularly UV-C light-emitting robots, autonomously navigate hospital rooms to deliver germicidal irradiation, targeting high-touch surfaces and shadowed areas that manual cleaning often overlooks, achieving up to 96% microbial reduction in critical areas like operating theaters and ICUs. These robots integrate sensors for obstacle avoidance, human presence detection, and optimized path planning via algorithms like A*, ensuring safe, efficient cycles that complement standard operating procedures without extending room turnaround times. Clinical deployments have correlated their use with significant drops in environmental bioburden, including multidrug-resistant organisms, though impacts on overall HAI rates vary by setting, underscoring the need for hybrid protocols combining robotics with manual audits. Autonomous sterile processing extensions further automate instrument reprocessing, minimizing cross-contamination in central sterile departments through UV exposure and robotic handling (Herrera et al., 2024).

Digital technologies enable pervasive monitoring and connectivity in infection control, harnessing networks of sensors and virtual platforms to track compliance, environmental factors, and supply integrity in real time. From wearable devices prompting hand hygiene to blockchain-secured logistics, these tools foster a data-driven ecosystem that reduces transmission vectors across patient care continuums. Their scalability supports deployment in resource-limited settings, with interoperability standards ensuring seamless integration into existing hospital information systems for holistic surveillance (Wu et al., 2024).

Internet of Things (IoT) devices and sensors, including wearables for hand hygiene compliance and environmental monitors for air and surface bioburden, provide continuous, automated feedback to enforce protocols and detect deviations instantly. Wearables equipped with Bluetooth and ultrasonic sensors track healthcare worker positioning relative to handwashing stations, achieving up to 72% accuracy in compliance auditing within ICUs, while pressure sensors validate alcohol-based sanitizer use. Environmental IoT networks deploy biosensors for real-time pathogen detection in ventilation systems and high-traffic zones, integrating with cloud dashboards for anomaly alerts and predictive maintenance. These systems overcome observer bias in traditional audits, promoting behavioral change through gamified feedback and reducing HAIs by addressing lapses proactively (Hernández et al., 2020).

Telehealth and remote monitoring tools minimize physical interactions by enabling virtual assessments, consultations, and compliance checks, significantly curbing transmission risks in high-acuity settings like long-term care and home health. Video-based remote audits identify procedural gaps such as improper PPE storage or isolation signage, while mobile apps facilitate patient triage and outbreak containment without in-person visits, yielding infection rate reductions of up to 73% in readmission cohorts. These platforms extend specialist expertise to remote facilities, supporting real-time protocol adherence via integrated EHR pathways and fostering self-management for chronic patients prone to recurrent infections (O'Connor et al., 2016).

Blockchain and RFID technologies secure healthcare supply chains by providing end-to-end traceability for critical items like PPE and sterile instruments, preventing counterfeit infiltration and ensuring sterility through tamper-proof logging. RFID tags embedded in packaging trigger automated inventory checks and expiration alerts, while blockchain smart contracts automate stakeholder interactions for real-time visibility from manufacturer to bedside, as validated in COVID-19 PPE tracking pilots. This mitigates stockouts during outbreaks and reduces infection risks from substandard supplies, with economic analyses confirming viability through reduced waste and enhanced trust (Omar et al., 2022).

Analytics and AI platforms process vast datasets from EHRs, genomics, and sensors to deliver predictive insights, transforming reactive infection control into a foresight-driven discipline. Machine learning models forecast outbreaks by integrating multiscale data, while natural language processing extracts signals from unstructured notes, enabling precision interventions. Edge computing decentralizes these analytics for instantaneous decisions, critical in dynamic environments like emergency response (Islam et al., 2023).

Predictive machine learning models excel in outbreak forecasting and sepsis prediction by analyzing EHR vitals, labs, and historical patterns, outperforming traditional scores like qSOFA with AUROCs exceeding 0.93 in emergency settings. Gradient boosting and neural networks flag deterioration hours ahead, triggering automated alerts for isolation or therapy escalation, as seen in ICU deployments reducing mortality. Ensemble approaches further refine accuracy for spatiotemporal clustering, supporting public health responses (Islam et al., 2023).

Big data analytics, combined with natural language processing and whole-genome sequencing (WGS), enable precise source tracking by parsing clinical notes for outbreak signals and phylogenetically linking isolates to transmission chains. NLP extracts phenotypes from EHRs, integrating with WGS for real-time surveillance of resistant strains like MRSA, while multiscale models predict resistance evolution. This has reconstructed hospital outbreaks, guiding targeted decolonization (Balloux et al., 2018).

Edge computing facilitates on-device analytics for immediate decisions, processing IoT streams at the bedside to detect anomalies like irregular vitals without cloud latency, ideal for time-critical isolation protocols. Hybrid fog-edge architectures filter data via lightweight ML, halving threat detection times in IoMT networks and enhancing security (Said, 2023).

Applications by Setting

In high-acuity environments such as hospitals and intensive care units (ICUs), the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) surveillance systems and ultraviolet (UV) disinfection robots has revolutionized infection control by enabling real-time monitoring and automated pathogen elimination, leading to substantial reductions in healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) ranging from 20-30%. AI-driven sepsis alert systems analyze vast datasets from electronic health records, vital signs, and laboratory results to predict and flag potential infections hours before clinical manifestation, allowing for timely interventions that improve patient survival rates and shorten hospital stays, while UV robots autonomously navigate patient rooms post-discharge, delivering precise doses of UV-C light to inactivate viruses, bacteria, and spores on surfaces that manual cleaning often misses, thereby minimizing environmental reservoirs of pathogens in these high-risk settings where vulnerable patients congregate. These technologies synergize to create a proactive defense layer, with studies demonstrating not only HAI incidence drops but also cost savings through reduced antibiotic use and length-of-stay reductions, underscoring their scalability across diverse hospital infrastructures despite initial implementation hurdles like integration with legacy systems and staff training needs (Godbole et al., 2025).

Long-term care facilities, home to elderly and immunocompromised residents prone to recurrent infections, benefit immensely from Internet of Things (IoT) wearables and mobile applications that facilitate continuous compliance tracking for hand hygiene, medication adherence, and isolation protocols, resulting in over 25% improvements in adherence rates and subsequent infection prevention. Wearables equipped with sensors monitor vital signs, detect early fever or respiratory anomalies indicative of outbreaks, and issue haptic or auditory reminders for protocol adherence, while companion apps aggregate data for caregivers to visualize compliance trends, predict vulnerability clusters, and coordinate targeted interventions, effectively bridging the gap between understaffed facilities and comprehensive surveillance. This digital ecosystem fosters a culture of accountability, with longitudinal data revealing sustained reductions in outbreak frequency, enhanced resident quality of life, and optimized resource allocation by prioritizing high-risk individuals, though challenges such as device durability, data privacy, and digital literacy among staff persist as areas for refinement (Ding et al., 2024).

Sterile services departments, critical for instrument reprocessing, leverage analytics dashboards that provide real-time visibility into workflow bottlenecks, error-prone steps, and quality metrics, achieving up to 40% reductions in reprocessing errors through predictive modeling and automated alerts. These dashboards integrate data from tracking systems, scanners, and sterilizers to flag anomalies like incomplete decontamination, wet packs, or traceability gaps, employing machine learning to forecast demand surges based on surgical schedules and historical patterns, thus streamlining operations from intake to final sterilization verification. Outcomes include minimized operating room delays, fewer tray defects, heightened patient safety via reliable sterile supplies, and empirical evidence of defect rates plummeting post-implementation, complemented by staff retraining modules embedded within the platforms to perpetuate efficiency gains amid evolving procedural standards (Zhang et al., 2025).

In low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) and community settings, mobile AI tools democratize infection control by offering cost-effective, scalable solutions like smartphone-based diagnostics, outbreak prediction apps, and telemedicine platforms tailored for resource-constrained environments, with pilots in Egypt demonstrating feasible integration into public health systems for rapid scaling. These tools harness edge computing on low-cost devices to analyze symptom reports, geolocation data, and environmental factors for early warning systems, enabling community health workers to triage cases, enforce contact tracing, and disseminate hygiene education via multilingual interfaces, particularly vital in densely populated areas with limited infrastructure. Egyptian initiatives, for instance, have piloted AI-driven apps for tuberculosis and antimicrobial resistance surveillance, yielding timely interventions, reduced transmission chains, and empowered local nursing teams, though sustaining these requires addressing connectivity issues, cultural adaptation, and partnerships with telecom providers for nationwide rollout (Otaigbe, 2022).

Paramedic integration for prehospital alerts represents a frontier in transforming infection control through automation, where AI-enhanced ambulance systems relay real-time patient data to hospital ICUs and emergency departments (EDs), enabling seamless handoffs and preemptive resource mobilization for potential sepsis or HAI cases originating in the field. Paramedics equipped with wearable biosensors and tablet-based AI algorithms screen for infection risk during transport using simplified scoring tools that outperform traditional methods in specificity, triggering automated alerts that activate hospital protocols like isolation bays and rapid diagnostics upon arrival, thereby compressing the critical golden hour for intervention. This continuum-of-care model has shown promising accuracy in sepsis recognition, with studies affirming reduced mortality through earlier antibiotic administration and cohorting, alongside ancillary benefits like optimized ED throughput and data-driven training for prehospital providers to refine their diagnostic acumen in austere conditions (Țocu et al., 2025).

Nursing-led digital protocols empower frontline nurses in ambulatory clinics and community health outposts to implement AI-augmented workflows for infection prevention, featuring app-based checklists, predictive risk calculators, and telehealth linkages that standardize handoffs, track protocol fidelity, and personalize patient education without compromising mobility. These protocols incorporate gamified compliance trackers and voice-activated reporting to capture real-time adherence data, feeding into central analytics for outbreak forecasting and resource deployment, particularly effective in outpatient scenarios where follow-up lapses are common. Deployments during pandemics have highlighted strengths in averting transmissions while preserving care continuity, with nurses at the helm driving adoption through peer training, though interoperability with national registries and equity in device access remain pivotal for equitable impact across diverse populations (Joo, 2022).

Implementation Challenges

Implementing automation, digital technologies, and analytics in infection control faces multifaceted barriers that hinder widespread adoption despite their potential to transform surveillance and prevention strategies in healthcare settings. These challenges span technical, ethical, regulatory, human, organizational, and economic domains, often interacting to create compounded obstacles that require coordinated strategies for resolution. Addressing them demands a holistic approach integrating technological upgrades, policy reforms, stakeholder engagement, and rigorous economic modeling to ensure sustainable integration into clinical workflows (Shenoy & Branch-Elliman, 2023).

Legacy systems and data silos pose profound technical barriers to deploying automated infection control technologies, as many healthcare facilities rely on outdated electronic health records (EHRs) and disparate platforms that lack interoperability standards, resulting in fragmented data flows that undermine real-time analytics and surveillance accuracy. Integrating modern AI-driven tools with these legacy infrastructures demands extensive middleware solutions, application programming interfaces (APIs), and data standardization efforts like HL7 FHIR or OMOP CDM, yet mismatches in update cycles, hardware compatibility, and semantic interpretations frequently lead to integration failures, high discordance rates between manual and automated processes, and prolonged implementation timelines that exceed available resources. Moreover, data silos exacerbate these issues by preventing holistic data aggregation essential for machine learning models in infection prediction, with studies highlighting how such silos contribute to incomplete datasets, model drift, and unreliable outbreak detection, necessitating federated systems or centralized data warehouses that face their own scalability hurdles in resource-limited environments (El Arab et al., 2025).

Ethical and regulatory challenges in automated infection control revolve around algorithmic bias, patient privacy under frameworks like HIPAA and GDPR, and equity in access, where biased training data inherited from historical disparities amplifies inequities in surveillance outcomes, particularly affecting underserved populations with limited healthcare data representation. Privacy concerns intensify with the aggregation of sensitive health information for analytics, requiring stringent compliance with HIPAA's minimum necessary standard and GDPR's right to erasure, often implemented via blockchain or smart contracts for granular access controls and audit trails, yet tensions arise between data utility for public health and individual autonomy, compounded by opaque "black-box" AI models that obscure accountability and foster distrust among clinicians. Equity issues manifest in deployment biases, as seen in tools favoring high-resource settings, while regulatory fragmentation demands harmonized guidelines emphasizing transparency, fairness audits, and inclusivity to mitigate risks like digital colonialism and ensure AI enhances rather than exacerbates health disparities in infection prevention (Cao et al., 2025).

Human and organizational factors, including training gaps and resistance to change, significantly impede the adoption of digital infection control technologies, as healthcare workers grapple with high cognitive workloads, alert fatigue from unreliable AI outputs, and unfamiliar interfaces that disrupt established workflows, leading to underutilization despite potential efficiency gains. Comprehensive training programs incorporating human factors engineering principles are essential but often insufficient due to resource constraints, with studies showing that while automated systems improve technique, compliance lags without addressing latent issues like role ambiguity and cultural inertia in safety practices. Organizational resistance stems from skepticism toward AI interpretability, fear of eroded clinical autonomy, and inadequate leadership buy-in, necessitating strategies like clinician involvement in development, transparent feedback loops, and change management frameworks to foster trust, align incentives, and integrate tools seamlessly into daily routines for sustained infection prevention impact (Conway, 2016).

Strategies for Adoption

Effective adoption of automation, digital technologies, and analytics in infection control requires structured approaches that address implementation challenges, workforce readiness, regulatory alignment, and measurable outcomes, ensuring sustainable integration into healthcare workflows particularly for frontline professionals like nurses and paramedics. Phased models minimize disruption, training builds competency in AI-driven tools, policies leverage international standards with incentives, and KPIs provide data-driven evaluation to optimize performance and resource allocation. These

strategies draw from real-world pilots showing that success hinges on data quality, integration, and organizational readiness rather than technology alone (Arzilli et al., 2024).
Phased implementation models offer a low-risk pathway for introducing automation and digital tools in infection control, allowing iterative refinement based on early feedback while mitigating disruptions to clinical operations and building stakeholder buy-in through demonstrated value. In the pilot phase, small-scale trials on single wards or ICUs validate tools like AI surveillance algorithms against manual methods, identifying issues such as data incompatibilities or false alerts before broader rollout; for instance, automated cluster alert systems have successfully detected hospital-wide pathogen clusters in routine use by starting with retrospective validation on historic data, achieving high specificity without false alarms and enabling quick adjustments to electronic health record interfaces. Scaling then expands to multi-unit or facility-wide application, incorporating real-time IoT sensors or machine learning for HAI prediction, where studies show integration with existing dashboards improves compliance and early intervention but requires phased infrastructure upgrades to handle computational loads and version mismatches post-EHR updates. Sustainability phase embeds tools into standard protocols with continuous monitoring for model drift, vendor support for updates, and rollback plans, as evidenced by frameworks translating manual surveillance to automated processes across diverse hospitals, reducing personnel time while maintaining accuracy through standardized data elements and vocabularies in commercial EHRs. Barriers like high upfront costs and portability challenges are addressed via staged rollouts, with cost-benefit analyses capturing full lifecycle expenses including maintenance, ensuring long-term viability as seen in pilots saving substantial infection control practitioner time for quality improvements (Gastaldi et al., 2025).

Training frameworks tailored for nurses and paramedics emphasize simulation-based learning combined with AI literacy to equip them with skills for using digital infection control tools, fostering clinical reasoning, decision-making, and confidence in automated surveillance without replacing hands-on experience. Realistic-to-virtual simulation transitions, such as 3D environments on Unity platforms replicating bloodstream infection prevention scenarios with peripheral catheters, enable scalable, autonomous practice of non-technical skills like hand hygiene assessment and patient interaction via point-and-click interfaces, generating chronological performance reports for self-reflection and expert-validated for theoretical soundness. For paramedics in prehospital settings, mobile-integrated simulations using videos and high-fidelity scenarios boost knowledge in emerging infectious disease management and PPE protocols, significantly improving confidence ($p < 0.001$) even for those without prior experience, by combining pre-learning modules with scenario-based practice. AI literacy components address explainability (e.g., SHAP values), ethical use, and integration into workflows, countering barriers like alert fatigue through human-AI collaboration training in computer vision for hand hygiene or PPE monitoring. Hybrid approaches blending virtual reality with lab sessions develop competencies in real-time data interpretation from predictive analytics, as scoping reviews confirm simulation outperforms traditional methods in infection prevention knowledge and performance, promoting safe adoption across nursing and paramedic roles (Souza et al., 2025).
Policy recommendations for adopting digital infection control technologies align with WHO and ECDC standards, incorporating funding incentives to overcome economic barriers and ensure equitable implementation in diverse healthcare settings. WHO guidelines advocate risk-based approaches integrating digital tools like automated proximity tracing for contact management in nosocomial settings, emphasizing data interoperability (e.g., HL7-FHIR APIs) and ethical governance per AI ethics frameworks, while ECDC scoping reviews highlight ICT for surveillance, outbreak response, and forecasting, recommending standardized vocabularies and real-time data pipelines to enhance speed and accuracy. Incentives such as grants for pilot-scale AI surveillance or tax credits for infrastructure upgrades address high setup costs, as seen in readiness checklists mandating multidisciplinary steering committees and regulatory clearance under EU AI Act or FDA categories. Policies should prioritize high-priority items like data stewards, cybersecurity (IEC 80101-5-1), and post-implementation evaluations, drawing from global leads like WHO's IPC strategies that pair digital maturity assessments with change management for sustained use. Tailored for regions like Egypt, these include benchmarking against high-income pilots while adapting for resource constraints, fostering public-private partnerships for vendor maturity and external validation (Stokes et al., 2024).

Future Directions

The future of infection control lies in the seamless integration of cutting-edge automation, digital technologies, and advanced analytics, promising to revolutionize how healthcare systems prevent and manage healthcare-associated infections (HAIs). As global healthcare faces escalating challenges from antimicrobial resistance, pandemics, and resource constraints, emerging innovations such as quantum AI, digital twins, and 5G-IoT networks stand poised to enable unprecedented levels of predictive precision, real-time responsiveness, and systemic resilience. These technologies will not only automate routine surveillance but also foster adaptive, intelligent ecosystems that anticipate risks before they manifest, ultimately driving toward zero-HAI environments by 2030 and beyond. Research gaps in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), long-term ethical frameworks, and hybrid human-AI models must be addressed to ensure equitable and sustainable implementation (El Arab et al., 2025).

Quantum AI represents a paradigm shift in infection control by harnessing quantum computing's immense processing power to analyze vast, multidimensional datasets far beyond classical AI capabilities, enabling hyper-accurate predictions of pathogen evolution, outbreak trajectories, and personalized antimicrobial strategies in complex hospital environments. Integrated with digital twins these systems simulate real-time scenarios, allowing for proactive interventions like optimized ventilation flows, dynamic isolation protocols, and predictive sterilization schedules that minimize HAI risks without disrupting clinical workflows. Complementing this, 5G-IoT architectures facilitate ultra-low-latency connectivity among sensors embedded in PPE, environmental monitors, UV disinfection robots, and wearable patient trackers, creating a hyper-connected ecosystem where data streams feed quantum-enhanced models instantaneously, automating compliance checks, contact tracing, and resource allocation to preempt infection clusters with near-perfect fidelity. As these technologies mature, hybrid deployments will emerge, such as quantum-accelerated neural networks processing IoT feeds within digital twin frameworks to forecast HAIs days in advance, drastically reducing incidence rates and associated costs. Early pilots already demonstrate quantum magnetic assays for rapid biomarker detection and AI-driven surveillance outperforming traditional methods, signaling a trajectory toward fully autonomous infection prevention systems scalable across diverse healthcare settings (Chow, 2024).

Despite promising advancements, significant research gaps persist, particularly in conducting robust clinical trials for automated infection control technologies in LMICs, where fragmented digital infrastructure, diverse pathogen profiles, and limited resources hinder AI model generalizability and real-world validation. Long-term ethical considerations remain underexplored, including data privacy in perpetual surveillance networks, algorithmic biases perpetuating healthcare disparities, and the societal implications of over-reliance on opaque quantum AI decisions that could erode clinician autonomy and patient trust over decades. Hybrid human-AI paradigms demand urgent investigation, as current studies overlook optimal collaboration models while failing to quantify impacts on workforce morale, error rates, and ethical dilemmas like liability in AI-misattributed outbreaks. Multicenter, longitudinal trials in LMICs are essential to bridge these voids, incorporating diverse demographics to mitigate biases and validate scalability, alongside frameworks for ethical governance that evolve with technology. Addressing these gaps through interdisciplinary consortia will unlock equitable deployment, ensuring innovations benefit global populations rather than widening divides (Mukherjee et al., 2025).

By 2030 and beyond, infection control will evolve into fully predictive, zero-HAI ecosystems where quantum AI-orchestrated digital twins continuously mirror and optimize entire healthcare networks, preempting infections through genomic forecasting, microenvironmental tuning, and nanoscale interventions before clinical manifestation. 5G-IoT ubiquity will enable ambient intelligence, with self-healing infrastructure smart surfaces that autonomously disinfect, predictive HVAC systems eradicating airborne pathogens, and blockchain-secured data lakes fueling global AI consortia for instantaneous threat intelligence sharing. Hybrid human-AI symbiosis will empower clinicians with immersive metaverse simulations for training and decision support, achieving near-elimination of HAIs via personalized immune profiling, on-demand phage therapies, and zero-touch care pathways. This vision encompasses resilient supply chains for antimicrobials, policy-embedded AI governance, and planetary-scale surveillance integrating One Health data to neutralize zoonotic risks at inception. Realized through accelerated R&D, regulatory harmonization, and international collaboration, these ecosystems promise healthier populations, slashed healthcare expenditures, and a blueprint for pandemic-proof societies (Renc et al., 2024).

Conclusion

Automation, digital technologies, and analytics have revolutionized infection control by overcoming the limitations of traditional manual methods, enabling proactive, real-time prevention of healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) through innovations like AI-driven surveillance, UV disinfection robots, IoT sensors, and predictive machine learning models that achieve 85-100% surveillance accuracy while delivering 20-40% HAI reductions, enhanced compliance, and billions in cost savings across settings from ICUs to resource-constrained LMICs like Egypt. Strategic adoption via phased pilots validating EHR triggers, simulation-based training boosting nurses' and paramedics' confidence in prehospital alerts, policy incentives aligned with WHO standards addressing legacy systems and ethical concerns through HL7 FHIR interoperability and blockchain-secured supplies, alongside KPIs tracking detection times and false positives, ensures sustainable human-AI hybrid integration countering alert fatigue with transparent analytics. Looking ahead, emerging quantum AI, digital twins, and 5G-IoT promise zero-HAI ecosystems by 2030 via genomic forecasting and ambient intelligence, provided urgent research bridges LMIC trial gaps and robust ethical frameworks promote equitable global deployment for pandemic-resilient healthcare.

References

1. Alhusain, F. A. (2025). Harnessing artificial intelligence for infection control and prevention in hospitals: A comprehensive review of current applications, challenges, and future directions. Saudi Medical Journal, 46(4), 329–334. https://doi.org/10.15537/smj.2025.46.4.20240878
2. Almeida, S.-L. (2015). Health Care–Associated Infections (HAIs). Journal of Emergency Nursing, 41(2), 100–101. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jen.2015.01.006
3. Alp, E., & Damani, N. (2015). Healthcare-associated infections in intensive care units: Epidemiology and infection control in low-to-middle income countries. Journal of Infection in Developing Countries, 9(10), 1040–1045. https://doi.org/10.3855/jidc.6832
4. Arzilli, G., De Vita, E., Pasquale, M., Carloni, L. M., Pellegrini, M., Di Giacomo, M., Esposito, E., Porretta, A. D., & Rizzo, C. (2024). Innovative Techniques for Infection Control and Surveillance in Hospital Settings and Long-Term Care Facilities: A Scoping Review. Antibiotics, 13(1), 77. https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics13010077
5. Balloux, F., Brønstad Brynildsrud, O., van Dorp, L., Shaw, L. P., Chen, H., Harris, K. A., Wang, H., & Eldholm, V. (2018). From Theory to Practice: Translating Whole-Genome Sequencing (WGS) into the Clinic. Trends in Microbiology, 26(12), 1035–1048. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2018.08.004
6. Branch-Elliman, W., Sundermann, A. J., Wiens, J., & Shenoy, E. S. (2023). Leveraging electronic data to expand infection detection beyond traditional settings and definitions (Part II/III). Antimicrobial Stewardship & Healthcare Epidemiology : ASHE, 3(1), e27. https://doi.org/10.1017/ash.2022.342
7. Cao, Y., Deng, L., Liu, X., Feng, Z., & Gao, Y. (2025). Ethical challenges in the algorithmic era: A systematic rapid review of risk insights and governance pathways for nursing predictive analytics and early warning systems. BMC Medical Ethics, 26, 151. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12910-025-01308-z
8. Casini, B., Tuvo, B., Scarpaci, M., Totaro, M., Badalucco, F., Briani, S., Luchini, G., Costa, A. L., & Baggiani, A. (2023). Implementation of an Environmental Cleaning Protocol in Hospital Critical Areas Using a UV-C Disinfection Robot. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 20(5), 4284. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20054284
9. Chow, J. C. L. (2024). Quantum Computing in Medicine. Medical Sciences, 12(4), 67. https://doi.org/10.3390/medsci12040067
10. Conway, L. J. (2016). Challenges in implementing electronic hand hygiene monitoring systems. American Journal of Infection Control, 44(5 Suppl), e7–e12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2015.11.031
11. Ding, H., Ho, K., Searls, E., Low, S., Li, Z., Rahman, S., Madan, S., Igwe, A., Popp, Z., Burk, A., Wu, H., Ding, Y., Hwang, P. H., Anda-Duran, I. D., Kolachalama, V. B., Gifford, K. A., Shih, L. C.,

Au, R., & Lin, H. (2024). Assessment of Wearable Device Adherence for Monitoring Physical Activity in Older Adults: Pilot Cohort Study. JMIR Aging, 7, e60209. https://doi.org/10.2196/60209

12. El Arab, R. A., Almoosa, Z., Alkhunaizi, M., Abuadas, F. H., & Somerville, J. (2025). Artificial intelligence in hospital infection prevention: An integrative review. Frontiers in Public Health, 13, 1547450. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2025.1547450
13. Gastaldi, S., Tartari, E., Satta, G., & Allegranzi, B. (2025). Advancing infection prevention and control through artificial intelligence: A scoping review of applications, barriers, and a decision-support checklist. Antimicrobial Stewardship & Healthcare Epidemiology : ASHE, 5(1), e317. https://doi.org/10.1017/ash.2025.10191
14. Gellert, G. A., Kelly, S. P., Hsiao, A. L., Herrick, B., Weis, D., Lutz, J., Stanton, G., Bonilla, S., Borgasano, D., Erich, M., Reilly, C., & Johnston, D. (2022). COVID-19 surge readiness: Use cases demonstrating how hospitals leveraged digital identity access management for infection control and pandemic response. BMJ Health & Care Informatics, 29(1), e100680. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjhci-2022-100680
15. Gibbons, C. L., Mangen, M.-J. J., Plass, D., Havelaar, A. H., Brooke, R. J., Kramarz, P., Peterson, K. L., Stuurman, A. L., Cassini, A., Fèvre, E. M., & Kretzschmar, M. E. (2014). Measuring underreporting and under-ascertainment in infectious disease datasets: A comparison of methods. BMC Public Health, 14, 147. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-14-147
16. Godbole, A. A., Paras, Mehra, M., Banerjee, S., Roy, P., Deb, N., & Jagtap, S. (2025). Enhancing Infection Control in ICUS Through AI: A Literature Review. Health Science Reports, 8(1), e70288. https://doi.org/10.1002/hsr2.70288
17. Hernández, D., Ors, R., Capella, J. V., Bonastre, A., & Campelo, J. C. (2020). New Contact Sensorization Smart System for IoT e-Health Applications Based on IBC IEEE 802.15.6 Communications. Sensors (Basel, Switzerland), 20(24), 7097. https://doi.org/10.3390/s20247097
18. Herrera, S., Roca, I., Del Río, A., Fernández, J., Pitart, C., Fortes, I., Torralbo, B., Santana, G., Parejo-González, R., Veà-Baró, A., Campistol, J. M., Aguilar, M., Degea, S., Casals-Pascual, C., Soriano, A., & Martínez, J. A. (2024). Performance of an Autonomous Sanitary Sterilisation Ultraviolet Machine (ASSUM) on terminal disinfection of surgical theaters and rooms of an intensive-intermediate care unit. Infection Prevention in Practice, 6(4), 100396. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infpip.2024.100396
19. Islam, K. R., Prithula, J., Kumar, J., Tan, T. L., Reaz, M. B. I., Sumon, Md. S. I., & Chowdhury, M. E. H. (2023). Machine Learning-Based Early Prediction of Sepsis Using Electronic Health Records: A Systematic Review. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 12(17), 5658. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm12175658
20. Joo, J. Y. (2022). Nurse-Led Telehealth Interventions During COVID-19. Computers, Informatics, Nursing, 40(12), 804–813. https://doi.org/10.1097/CIN.0000000000000962
21. Marinović, A. B., Swaan, C., van Steenbergen, J., & Kretzschmar, M. (2015). Quantifying Reporting Timeliness to Improve Outbreak Control. Emerging Infectious Diseases, 21(2), 209–216. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2102.130504
22. Mukherjee, D., Sagar, K., Kobialka, R. M., Ghosh, P., Weidmann, M., Savareh, B. A., Joardar, S. N., Truyen, U., Abd El Wahed, A., & Ceruti, A. (2025). Filling the gap: Artificial intelligence-driven one health integration to strengthen pandemic preparedness in resource-limited settings. Frontiers in Public Health, 13, 1707306. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2025.1707306
23. O'Connor, M., Asdornwised, U., Dempsey, M. L., Huffenberger, A., Jost, S., Flynn, D., & Norris, A. (2016). Using Telehealth to Reduce All-Cause 30-Day Hospital Readmissions among Heart Failure Patients Receiving Skilled Home Health Services. Applied Clinical Informatics, 7(2), 238–247. https://doi.org/10.4338/ACI-2015-11-SOA-0157
24. Omar, I. A., Debe, M., Jayaraman, R., Salah, K., Omar, M., & Arshad, J. (2022). Blockchain-based Supply Chain Traceability for COVID-19 personal protective equipment. Computers & Industrial Engineering, 167, 107995. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2022.107995
25. Otaigbe, I. (2022). Scaling up artificial intelligence to curb infectious diseases in Africa. Frontiers in Digital Health, 4, 1030427. https://doi.org/10.3389/fdgth.2022.1030427
26. Renc, P., Jia, Y., Samir, A. E., Was, J., Li, Q., Bates, D. W., & Sitek, A. (2024). Zero shot health trajectory prediction using transformer. NPJ Digital Medicine, 7, 256. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-024-01235-0

27. Said, O. (2023). A Large-Scale IoT-Based Scheme for Real-Time Prediction of Infectious Disease Symptoms. Mobile Networks and Applications, 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11036-023-02111-z
28. Shenoy, E. S., & Branch-Elliman, W. (2023). Automating surveillance for healthcare-associated infections: Rationale and current realities (Part I/III). Antimicrobial Stewardship & Healthcare Epidemiology : ASHE, 3(1), e25. https://doi.org/10.1017/ash.2022.312
29. Souza, R. S., Carvalho, D. B. F., Félix, A. M. D. S., & Dias, A. A. L. (2025). Simulation-based training for teaching infection prevention: From realistic to virtual environments. Revista Brasileira de Enfermagem, 78(suppl 4), e20240288. https://doi.org/10.1590/0034-7167-2024-0288
30. Stokes, K., Piaggio, D., De Micco, F., Zarro, M., De Benedictis, A., Tambone, V., Moon, M., Maccaro, A., & Pecchia, L. (2024). The Use of Contact Tracing Technologies for Infection Prevention and Control Purposes in Nosocomial Settings: A Systematic Literature Review. Infectious Disease Reports, 16(3), 519–530. https://doi.org/10.3390/idr16030039
31. Țocu, G., Lisă, E. L., Tutunaru, D., Mihailov, R., Șerban, C., Luțenco, V., Dimofte, F., Guliciuc, M., Chiscop, I., Ștefãnescu, B. I., Niculeț, E., Gurău, G., Berbece, S. I., Mihailov, O. M., & Stavăr Matei, L. (2025). The Potential of Artificial Intelligence in the Diagnosis and Prognosis of Sepsis: A Narrative Review. Diagnostics (Basel, Switzerland), 15(17), 2169. https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics15172169
32. Torriani, F., & Taplitz, R. (2010). History of infection prevention and control. Infectious Diseases, 76–85. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-04579-7.00006-X
33. Wang, H., Huang, Q., Tian, Q., Yang, W., Liu, A., Tang, J., Zhang, H., & Wu, C. (2025). The informatics pathway for hospital infection quality control monitoring. Frontiers in Public Health, 13, 1543375. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2025.1543375
34. Wu, J., Lin, Y., Lee, S., Chen, C., Cheng, S., Cheng, C., Ching, C. T. S., Wang, H. D., Yeh, C., Chen, W. J., Chen, W., & Liao, L. (2024). IoT-Based Hand Hygiene Compliance Monitoring System and Validation of Its Effectiveness in Hospital Environments. Global Challenges, 8(12), 2400124. https://doi.org/10.1002/gch2.202400124
35. Zhang, Y., Hu, R., Chen, Y., Liu, X., Wu, J., & Yi, L. (2025). Improving Pressure Steam Sterilization Quality Through Healthcare Failure Mode and Effects Analysis: A Pre-Post Intervention Study in Central Sterile Supply Departments. Risk Management and Healthcare Policy, 18, 2313–2321. https://doi.org/10.2147/RMHP.S516409