The Review Of
DIABETIC
STUDIES OPEN ACCESS

Clinical Decision-Making And Risk Management In Nursing, Family Medicine, Anesthesia, And Public Health Settings

Aeshah Ayyadah Menwer[1] , Nawfaa Alhoumidi Hawas Alrashedi [2] , Fatimah Ahmed Mardum[3] , Ibtihaj Nasser Ibrahim Khalil[4] , Mohammed Turbush Almalki[5] , Nawaf Abdulrahman Albardi[6] , Areej Ahmed Almoosa[7] , Rahmah Faraj Alzahrani[8] , Hadeel Abdullah Alenazi[9] , Riyadh Mohammed Salaf Al-Ruwaili[10] , Mohammed Abdullah Saleh Alamri[11] , Turki Ahmed Alasmari[12]

[1] Nursing Staff , Alhayit Geniral Hospital , Saudi Arabia
[2] Nursing Staff , Alhayit Geniral Hospital , Saudi Arabia
[3] specialist nurse , Baish general hospital , Saudi Arabia
[4] specialist nurse , Baish general hospital , Saudi Arabia
[5] Family Medicine Consultant , Public Health Administration and Preventive Medicine in Taif , Saudi Arabia
[6] Nursing technician , General Directorate of Health Affairs in taif , Saudi Arabia
[7] Nursing Staff , king Fahad Hospital , Saudi Arabia
[8] Child mental health nursing , King Abdullah childern specialized hospital in National guard , Saudi Arabia
[9] Family medicine senior registrar, Executive Management of Primary Healthcare and Population Health, Hail Healthcare Cluster, Saudi Arabia
[10] Technician-Public Health , Ministry of Health branch in Al-Jawf , Saudi Arabia
[11]Anesthesia Specialist , Imam Abdulrahman Al-Faisal Hospital, Riyadh , Saudi Arabia
[12] Anesthesia Specialist , Imam Abdulrahman Al-Faisal Hospital, Riyadh , Saudi Arabia

Abstract

Introduction: Clinical decision-making and risk management are critical for ensuring patient safety and quality care across nursing, family medicine, anesthesia, and public health. Healthcare professionals face complex decisions under uncertainty, time pressure, and rapidly evolving clinical and technological environments.

Aim of Work: This study aims to examine how healthcare professionals manage uncertainty, integrate technological tools, and address ethical and organizational factors that influence patient outcomes and healthcare quality.

Methods: A descriptive–analytical approach was used based on a structured review of recent literature from 2020 to 2024, including observational studies, qualitative research, and systematic reviews focusing on clinical reasoning, risk assessment, informatics, and precision medicine.

Findings: Uncertainty is a pervasive challenge in clinical decision-making, particularly in high-risk settings such as anesthesia and emergency care. Structured clinical reasoning, interprofessional collaboration, and health informatics improve risk management and patient outcomes. However, challenges persist related to data quality, algorithmic bias, equity, and professional well-being, highlighting the need for ethical and organizational support.

Conclusion: Effective decision-making and risk management require an integrated approach combining technology, ethical principles, professional judgment, and supportive healthcare systems to enhance patient safety and overall quality of care.

Keywords: Clinical decision-making; Risk management; Nursing; Family medicine; Anesthesia; Public health; Health informatics; Precision medicine; Uncertainty

Introduction

Risk management and clinical decision-making are cornerstones of safe, effective, and high-quality healthcare provision in a variety of clinical and public health practices. The modern healthcare setting demands professionals in the nursing, family medicine, anesthesia, and public health fields to make complex decisions in conditions that are marked by uncertainty, a sense of time pressure, resource constraints, and quick changes in patient demands. Such decisions have not only short-term effects on clinical outcomes but also determine the long-term outcomes in patient safety, efficiency of healthcare, and population health outcomes. The combination of systematic clinical thought processes together with active risk management measures has also emerged as a critical professional skill in a healthcare environment that is increasingly complex with technological changes, population demographics, and global health threats.

Clinical decision-making is a dynamic and collaborative cognitive process that entails the combination of clinical knowledge, patient information, professional judgement, ethical concerns, and context. It has been revealed that uncertainty and complexity are natural characteristics of clinical reasoning, especially in the context of interprofessional healthcare teams that work in the real-world context (Berger et al., 2023). This vagueness is also aggravated in high risk places like anesthesia and perioperative services where speedy decision making is essential and any mistake can result in complications of life threatening nature. Facts of resource-limited environments prove that the post-anesthesia care unit complications occur at a high rate, which is why the close attention to the risk assessment, monitoring, and prompt interventions is essential (Abebe et al., 2022). On the same note, perioperative nurses and anesthesiologists commonly have to encounter psychosocial and organizational challenges that affect their capacity to make decisions and the perception of risk, highlighting the importance of supportive working conditions and the provision of risk management models (Arakelian, 2023).

Clinical decision-making in nursing practice goes beyond technical competence to encompass patient advocacy, ethical responsibility and holistic care. The COVID-19 pandemic has demonstrated that the level of uncertainty of clinical nurses is as high as it is in decades, especially in the first stages of an outbreak when the amount of evidence and the effort to adapt to new protocols have an enormous impact on decision-making and perceived risk (Copel et al., 2022). Additional evidence on integrative review of post-anesthesia nursing is the realization that uncertainty is not a personal cognitive problem but a systemic feature of complex health care systems, where adaptive ways of thinking are needed and organizational resources can help reduce clinical risk (Cunha et al., 2022). Besides, psychosocial programs that enhance staff well-being like humor-based programs have shown positive outcomes on reducing stress, enjoying work, and meaningful engagement, which indirectly affects safer decision-making and less exposure to risk (Bartzik et al., 2021).

Another important area of collocation in clinical decision-making and risk management is family medicine, especially because of its emphasis on continuity of care, management of chronic illness, and care in the community. Physicians dealing with families have to balance between the needs of the individual patients and the larger social and environmental determinants of health. It has been found that the use of social risk and community-based data to enhance clinical assessment has become increasingly relevant in improving risk stratification and care planning (Cottrell et al., 2020; Chen et al., 2020). Electronic health records (EHRs) have emerged as the key means of facilitating evidence-based decision-making, allowing better documentation, coordination, and tracking of outcomes, and creating new challenges concerning the data quality, interoperability, and workload of clinicians (Enahoro et al., 2024).

The development of health informatics, big data analytics, and artificial intelligence has also changed clinical decision-making and risk management in healthcare environments even more. More and more, predictive analytics and machine learning are applied to recognize high-risk patients, predict the progression of the disease, and to provide individual care pathways (Delen, 2020; Batko & Slezak, 2022). Risk stratification models based on data have proven useful in chronic disease management to predict repeated hospital readmissions and optimal use of resources (Ben-Assuli and Padman, 2020). Nevertheless, the increased use of sophisticated algorithms has led to the creation of an interest in transparency, bias, and credibility, and systematic reviews of explainable and ethical artificial intelligence in health-related decision-making (Albahri et al., 2023).

Precision medicine has become a revolutionary paradigm that directly affects clinical decision-making by personalizing interventions, depending on a specific genetic, molecular, and clinical profile. The innovation of integrative multi-omics data analysis facilitates clinicians in transitioning to predictive and more personalized treatment plans, enhancing risk evaluation and treatment success (Ahmed, 2020; Elemento, 2020). Although the advantages of precision medicine are significant, it also escalates new sources of complexity as clinicians need to process a great deal of data and bring them into a meaningful clinical judgment (Akhoon, 2021). These issues accentuate the necessity of multidisciplinary cooperation and life long learning to make sure that technological advancements promote, but do not impede, safe clinical practice.

Population-level decision-making and risk management of public health are important in the context of health crises, disease prevention, and health equity. The COVID-19 pandemic demonstrated the relevance of strategic choice in vaccine allocation and risk communication especially in a diverse and resource-variable setting like Africa and the United States (Arowoogun et al., 2024). As a component of the connectedness in clinical and population-based decision-making, it has been asserted that public health informatics is a critical facilitator in terms of harnessing technology to enhance surveillance, planning, and health outcomes (Ajegbile et al., 2024b). Furthermore, psychological and social processes such as self-doubt and group identification have an impact on team-based decisions and adherence to the public health interventions (Choi and Hogg, 2020).

Although there is increasing literature that covers the issue of clinical decision-making and risk management, there are still gaps in the knowledge about how the process of clinical decision-making and risk management overlap in various healthcare fields and contexts. Each of the four nursing, family medicine, anesthesia, and public health has its own set of challenges in making decisions, and they all have similar issues of uncertainty, integration of data, ethical responsibility, and risks on a system-level. Qualitative and descriptive methods of research remain crucial to summarizing the lived experiences of medical practitioners and explaining context-specific factors that influence decision making practices (Doyle et al., 2020).

In this regard, the present research will examine clinical decision-making and risk management in the context of nursing, family medicine, anesthesia and public health care, focusing particularly on how uncertainty, technological integration, organizational context, and new healthcare paradigms affect clinical decisions and risks management. This study will help fill the gap of a more integrated understanding of risk navigation by healthcare professionals and make informed decisions in the increasingly complex healthcare setting by synthesizing existing knowledge of clinical practice, health informatics, precision medicine and public health.

Aim of the Work

This piece of work is intended to offer a detailed analysis of the clinical decision-making and risk management practices in nursing, family medicine, anesthesia, and the field of public health. This paper

aims to discuss how clinicians in these fields can make informed clinical choices under conditions of uncertainty, time, and levels of risk and balance clinical evidence, professional experience, professional ethics, and patient-specific issues. Moreover, the goal of the work is to examine how well or how difficult clinical reasoning and risk assessment processes are facilitated or hindered by emerging technologies (electronic health records, health informatics systems, predictive analytics, and precision medicine approaches). This study is also going to identify common challenges and best practices that influence patient safety, quality of care and health outcomes at individual and population levels by exploring individual, organizational and systemic factors on decision-making. In the end, the paper aims to play a role in a better insight into the integrated and interdisciplinary approach to clinical decision-making and risk management, which can be used in policymaking, training professionals, and further research on complex healthcare settings.

Methodology

The research methodology is descriptive-analytical in approach to investigate clinical decision-making and risk management in nursing, family medicine, anesthesia, and public health. The given approach can be deemed as suitable because it will enable a thorough investigation of the current theoretical frameworks, empirical data, and practical experience in decision-making in uncertain and risky healthcare settings. The descriptive part is based on describing the major ideas, models, and practices of the clinical decision-making and risk management in the chosen healthcare fields, whereas the analytical part critically discusses the similarities, differences, issues, and new tendencies in these settings.

The study will use mostly secondary data in terms of peer-reviewed journal articles, systematic reviews, observational studies, and authoritative academic books published in the last five years (2020-24). The sources were chosen to represent the recent evidence to capture the modern advancements in the area of health informatics, precision medicine, artificial intelligence, electronic health records, and the management of risks in the health of the population. The literature that was reviewed has incorporated the various viewpoints such as clinical practice, health technology, organizational behavior and the psychosocial issues that are a part of the process of decision-making in healthcare professionals.

The structured literature review plan was utilized to find the studies that are relevant to the research topic of clinical decision-making, risk assessment, uncertainty management, and patient safety in nursing, family medicine, anesthesia, and in the context of public health. Thematic synthesis was performed whereby using identified and systematically compared key themes and patterns such as uncertainty, data integration, technological support, interprofessional collaboration, and organization constraints were identified and analyzed across disciplines. This thematic design allowed the study to focus on issues related to the discipline and issues that are common across the studies in terms of decision-making and risk management practices.

The study also uses the knowledge of qualitative and descriptive research design reported in the reviewed literature to make the analysis more rigorous and credible since it offers a contextual and experiential knowledge of clinical reasoning and professional judgment. Ethical concerns were also considered by having properly cited all the sources and following the principles of academic integrity. All in all, this methodology allows a more comprehensive and unified analysis of clinical decision-making and risk management and provides a good basis to interpret, discuss, and come to the evidence-based conclusions.

Discussion

Making Clinical Decisions in the Face of Uncertainty in Disciplines of Healthcare.

The results of the reviewed literature provide the reason to conclude that ambiguity is a crucial and unavoidable part of clinical decision-making in any environment, such as nursing, family medicine, anesthesia, or even public health. Healthcare practitioners are often required to make judgments with far-reaching and, possibly, potentially life-threatening consequences when overwhelmed with insufficient information, lack of clarity in the clinical presentations, and constantly evolving recommendations. Within the framework of Interprofessional healthcare teams, in which the selection of the decisions is not necessarily based on purely biomedical evidence, but the appearance of uncertainty is also a factor, both observational and qualitative studies have indicated that nurses and Interprofessional healthcare teams are especially susceptible to uncertainty (Berger et al., 2023; Shabestari et al., 2024). The uncertainty can be further aggravated in the contexts of perioperative and anesthesia, as the conditions of patients are critical because they may deteriorate quickly. It is particularly applicable to low-resource settings, which still remain the ones in which post-anesthesia complications remain common (Abebe et al., 2022; Cunha et al., 2022).

The COVID-19 pandemic was a vivid illustration of how uncertainty may upset the generally accepted decision-making structures. As Copel et al. (2022), Lam et al. (2020), and Turgut et al. (2022) found, nurses and emergency medical workers noted that they experienced a lot of ambiguity when it comes to disease transmission, treatment regimens, and personal protection. This uncertainty directly affected their clinical decision-making and their risk tolerance. Similarly, doctors use adaptive strategies to deal with medical uncertainty. Such strategies are looking at experience, peer consultation, as well as probabilistic reasoning. These measures show that it is necessary to be tolerant instead of eradicate uncertainty in clinical practice (Han, 2021; Han et al., 2021; Gheihman et al., 2020). These findings are important in that, in order to make effective decisions under uncertainty, it is not just a technical skill, but also a psychological steadfastness, reflective practice, and organizational support systems that will be required.

The Nursing and Anesthesia Practice Risk Management.

Risk management is a critical part of the process of clinical decision making in nursing and anesthesia, two professions in which patient safety is closely related to the prompt analysis, observation, and response of medical workers. The perioperative and post-anesthesia care environments show that complications are often linked to systemic issues, including insufficient staffing, failure to monitor, and the lack of access to advanced technologies, especially in the low-resource settings (Abebe et al., 2022; Tsai et al., 2020). This is particularly the case, where lack of resources occurs. One should also mention that perioperative nurses and anesthesiologists are faced with significant psychosocial and organizational barriers. These difficulties are the burden of the workload and emotional burnout, the role ambiguity, all of which can impact the perception of alertness and risk (Arakelian, 2023).

Moreover, the conducted research indicates that the structure of clinical reasoning, including the nursing process and the clinical reasoning cycle, is significant in enhancing the process of risk identification and management especially in a context that is deemed to be complicated as well as forensic (Maguire et al., 2022). It has also been emphasized that competency-based training of nurse anesthetists is crucial towards ensuring safety levels and preventing clinical errors in risky situations (Sanclemente-Dalmau et al., 2022). Some of these studies have revealed that these are effective approaches. Alongside this, it has been established that traits of individuality that include personality aspects and core self-assessment influence confidence in nurses in decision-making and the decisions made by them to take risks. This emphasizes the interplay of individual and organization aspects that define safe practice (Farcic et al., 2020).

The Big Data, Predictive Analytics, and Role of Health Informatics.

The use of data-driven technologies and health informatics has radically transformed the clinical decision-making process and risk control of all healthcare systems. Besides enabling a complete documentation process, continuity of care, and real-time availability of patient information, electronic health records

(EHRs) also promote improved clinical outcomes and risk management (Enahoro et al., 2024; Mahmoudi et al., 2020). Chen et al. 2020 and Cottrell et al. 2020 state that the efficiency of decision support system based on electronic health records (EHR) depends on the quality of the data, ease of use of the system, and the ability of the physicians to understand complex information, including socioeconomic determinants of health.

It has also been determined that big data analytics and predictive models have a considerable portion of potential in risk stratification, early identification of patient deterioration, and reduction of hospital readmissions (Ben-Assuli and Padman, 2020; Mann et al., 2021; Delen, 2020). These technologies support proactive risk management and not reactive risk management by the identification of those individuals at high risk and by enabling the targeted interventions. The applications of data science in the public health domain can enhance the surveillance of a disease, predict outbreaks and allocate resources at a population level in terms of the methods of controlling the infectious disease and vaccine distribution (Ajegbile et al., 2024b; Ogugua et al., 2024; Arowoogun et al., 2024). This is more so with regard to resources distribution. Nevertheless, concerns still remain about the potential to increase health inequities through the use of algorithms, and the risk of data bias and inequitable access (Paulus and Kent, 2020; Oyeniran et al., 2022).

Accurate Medicine and Individualized Risk Assessment.

Precision medicine comes as a paradigm shift in clinical decision-making because it allows to conduct individualized risk assessment, as well as specific therapeutic interventions. Such a change would be a huge progress. Due to the development of genomics, the integration of multi-omics, and machine learning, physicians have gained the opportunity to forecast the vulnerability of an individual to illnesses, treatment reactions, and long-term projections (Ahmed, 2020; Hassan et al., 2022; Quazi, 2022). It has already proven to be highly promising in the treatment of chronic conditions, the risk stratification of cancer, and metabolic diseases including type 2 diabetes with the help of this personalized approach (Pal Choudhury et al., 2020; Williams et al., 2022; Hull et al., 2020).

Precision medicine brings the introduction of new levels of complexity and ethical issues despite the amount of value it might offer. It is the duty of clinicians to process the high volume and intricate data at the same time align the evidence-based recommendations with the patient preferences and worries related to equity (Akhoon, 2021; Elemento, 2020; Lemmen et al., 2021). Besides, the introduction of artificial intelligence into precision medicine requires openness and explainability and accountability to ensure trust and minimize the chances of bias in clinical decision-making (Albahri et al., 2023; Karimian et al., 2022). These issues demonstrate the necessity of the interdisciplinary collaboration and continuous professional learning to be able to implement technology innovation in the relevant clinical practice.

Ethical, Psychosocial and Organizational Aspects of Decision-Making.

Of particular concern, the ethical considerations become deeply embedded within the context of clinical decision-making and risk management in the field of nursing and public health. Self-care is increasingly being recognized by nurses to be an ethical obligation to the delivery of patient safety and effectiveness in their duty. That is because burnout and moral distress may impair judgment and induce more chances of exposure to risks (Linton and Koonmen, 2020). Examples of psychosocial interventions, such as the use of humor-based programs, have been demonstrated to have a positive effect on the reduction of stress, engagement at work, and decision-making capabilities (Bartzik et al., 2021).

Schot et al. 2020 and Wei et al. 2020 claim that interprofessional collaboration became one of the key factors that contribute to making high-quality decisions. This partnership allows the healthcare professionals to disseminate their knowledge, to be able to manage ambiguity jointly, and decrease the cognitive load experienced by healthcare professionals individually. The research conducted by Choi and

Hogg in 2020 also points out another factor that can affect decision-making behavior i.e., group dynamics and social identity processes. This holds particularly well in case of uncertainty, as the mutual norms and shared responsibility may or may not contribute to efficiency in risk management. (Laprise, 2023; Olorunyomi et al., 2024).

Implication on Sustainability and Public health decision-making.

Population health level decision-making and risk management is not only based on the treatment of individual patients, but population-wide approach, health equity, and system resiliency. According to Arowoogun et al. (2024), the COVID-19 vaccine distribution process demonstrated the significance of the evidence-based planning, information integration, and situational adaptation to the needs and opportunities of the local population. It has been confirmed that the application of predictive analytics and public health informatics cannot be neglected as the instrument to forecast the possible health hazards and create the preventative measure as effective as possible (Ogugua et al., 2024; Okpechi et al., 2021).

Additionally, the sustainability of the healthcare system is reliant on the alignment of clinical decision-making with the long-term societal goals, and they may be equitable, efficient, and environmentally responsible. According to Pickler (2022) and Zagalo (2022), knowledge creation and consumption remains a challenge to this day. This is because there will never be a match between the research findings and clinical practice in any field of study. In order to cover such gaps, a significant part of the methodological procedures such as qualitative and descriptive research designs, etc., is to be taken into account. These approaches need to capture the complexity of the real-world decision-making process and are employed to inform the flexible and context-sensitive solutions (Doyle et al., 2020; Golzar et al., 2022).

Issues and Ethical Concerns

Diverse ethical, professional, and systemic inquiries always connect to the quality and safety of healthcare delivery depending on the clinical and/or risk management in the nursing field, family medicine, anesthesia, and social health. This is one of the most important issues as the healthcare specialists are expected to make a decision even though there is no information available, or the situation in a clinical dimension is changing dynamically, or the evidence is missing. This uncertainties introduces the ethical problems tied to patient safety, accountability, and informed consent in settings that are highly risky and time-sensitive such as anesthesia or emergency care.

The growth of digital technologies, health informatics, and artificial intelligence in clinical practice has raised a confounded ethical concern. Although the systems of data-driven decision-support systems can enhance the accuracy of risk prediction and clinical efficiency, they raise the issues of data privacy, confidentiality, and potential bias or non-transparent algorithms. The overuse of automated systems also has an unwanted effect of reducing professional autonomy and critical clinical decision-making and placing the burden on the non-experienced healthcare provider resulting in confusion in the areas of accountability leading to adverse outcomes.

The ethical issue is also worsened in terms of the field of precision medicine and personalized healthcare. The use of genetic, genomic, and advanced clinical data offers us the opportunity of the tailored treatment, though it introduces the problem of equity, access, and justice. Disparities in access to highly-constructive diagnostic and treatment technologies have the potential to widen preexisting disparities in health, particularly between resource-abundant and resource-starved settings. In addition, personal health data ownership and management is sensitive information that has ethical concerns of consent, long-term use of data, and patient loyalty.

At the work level, stresses on workload, burnout and organizational support greatly influence ethical decision making and risk management especially on the nurses and the perioperative personnel. The loss of situational awareness, the increased risk of errors, and moral distress might be experienced because of the chronic stress and the bad staffing conditions. The concern on ethical practice should not be restricted to personal responsibilities, but also institutional responsibility to enable safe working environment, the well-being of the profession and leadership that is supportive. Despite the fact that interprofessional collaboration is required to reach a comprehensive decision, it has ethical problems with regard to role boundaries, power relations, and shared responsibility.

The ethical concerns in the case could be addressed in the conditions of the level of the public health due to the need to balance the rights of the individual with the safety and control of the risk on the level of the population. Such decisions that are related to the allocation of resources, prioritization of services, and risk communication should be based on principles of transparency, equity, and social responsibility. The failure to address such ethical areas will threaten the trust of the population and the effectiveness of the actions used in the sphere of the population health.

Conclusion

Safe, effective and ethical delivery of healthcare in nursing, family medicine, anesthesia and within the public health environment are critical and interconnected processes on which clinical decision-making and risk management take place. As demonstrated in this paper, the challenges related to the uncertainty, technological complexity and organizational pressure of modern healthcare environments impact the decision-making process and demand that healthcare workers integrate clinical experience and moral judgement and situational awareness into their practices.

The findings portray the importance of sound clinical reasoning models, interdisciplinary and evidence-based practices in risk management and patient safety. Even though some of the recent technological innovations, such as electronic health records, predictive analytics, and artificial intelligence, provide a substantial contribution to clinical and population-wide decision-making, its effectiveness depends on whether it is used responsibly, man-supervised, and morality-controlled. Technology should not replace professional judgment, but must supplement it.

The shift to precision medicine and personalized care is followed by the fact that ethical concerns regarding equity, access, and data security are crucial to ensure that the change that has occurred in the healthcare domain will benefit many more populations instead of growing the existing disparities. The need to support the organizational support, professional well-being and ethical leadership is proposed as the required aspects of sustainable decision-making practice, particularly in the environments of high-stress clinical practices.

In conclusion, to enhance clinical decision-making and risk management, an ethics-based solution that is more integrated is required to merge innovation and human-centered care. The priorities of a future healthcare strategy should be uncertainty management training, ethical use of technology, and system level resilience to provide a positive contribution to the healthcare professionals and improve the health outcomes of patients and populations in increasingly complex healthcare systems.

References

- Abebe, B., Kifle, N., Gunta, M., Tantu, T., Wondwosen, M., & Zewdu, D. (2022). Incidence and factors associated with post-anesthesia care unit complications in resource-limited settings: An observational study. Health Science Reports, 5(3), e649.

- Ahmed, Z. (2020). Practicing precision medicine with intelligently integrative clinical and multi-omics data analysis. Human Genomics, 14(1), 35.
- Ajegbile, M. D., Olaboye, J. A., Maha, C. C., Igwama, G. T., & Abdul, S. (2024b). Health informatics and public health: Leveraging technology for improved health outcomes. Health, 20(8), 229–235.
- Akhoon, N. (2021). Precision medicine: A new paradigm in therapeutics. International Journal of Preventive Medicine, 12(1), 12.
- Albahri, A. S., Duhaim, A. M., Fadhel, M. A., Alnoor, A., Baqer, N. S., Alzubaidi, L., … Salhi, A. (2023). A systematic review of trustworthy and explainable artificial intelligence in healthcare: Assessment of quality, bias risk, and data fusion. Information Fusion, 96, 156–191.
- Arakelian, E. (2023). Challenges in psychosocial and organisational work environments faced by perioperative nurses, anaesthesiologists and nurse assistants.
- Arowoogun, J. O., Ogugua, J. O., Odilibe, I. P., Onwumere, C., Anyanwu, E. C., & Akomolafe, O. (2024). COVID-19 vaccine distribution: A review of strategies in Africa and the USA. World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 21(1), 2729–2739.
- Bartzik, M., Bentrup, A., Hill, S., Bley, M., von Hirschhausen, E., Krause, G., Ahaus, P., Dahl-Dichmann, A., & Peifer, C. (2021). Care for joy: Evaluation of a humor intervention and its effects on stress, flow experience, work enjoyment, and meaningfulness of work. Frontiers in Public Health, 9, 667821.
- Batko, K., & Ślęzak, A. (2022). The use of big data analytics in healthcare. Journal of Big Data, 9(1), 3.
- Ben-Assuli, O., & Padman, R. (2020). Trajectories of repeated readmissions of chronic disease patients: Risk stratification, profiling, and prediction. MIS Quarterly, 44(1).
- Berger, S., Krug, K., & Goetz, K. (2023). Encountering uncertainty and complexity in decision-making: An observational study of clinical reasoning among medical and interprofessional groups of health care students. Journal of Interprofessional Care, 37(2), 262–271.
- Chen, M., Tan, X., & Padman, R. (2020). Social determinants of health in electronic health records and their impact on analysis and risk prediction: A systematic review. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association, 27(11), 1764–1773.
- Choi, E. U., & Hogg, M. A. (2020). Self-uncertainty and group identification: A meta-analysis. Group Processes & Intergroup Relations, 23(4), 483–501.
- Copel, L. C., Lengetti, E., McKeever, A., Pariseault, C. A., & Smeltzer, S. C. (2022). An uncertain time: Clinical nurses' first impressions during the COVID-19 pandemic. Research in Nursing & Health, 45(5), 537–548.
- Cottrell, E. K., Hendricks, M., Dambrun, K., Cowburn, S., Pantell, M., Gold, R., & Gottlieb, L. M. (2020). Comparison of community-level and patient-level social risk data in a network of community health centers. JAMA Network Open, 3(10), e2016852.
- Cunha, L. D. M., Pestana-Santos, M., Lomba, L., & Reis Santos, M. (2022). Uncertainty in post-anaesthesia nursing clinical reasoning: An integrative review in the light of the model of uncertainty in complex health care settings. Journal of Perioperative Nursing, 35(2), e32–e40.
- Delen, D. (2020). Predictive analytics: Data mining, machine learning and data science for practitioners. FT Press.
- Doyle, L., McCabe, C., Keogh, B., Brady, A., & McCann, M. (2020). An overview of the qualitative descriptive design within nursing research. Journal of Research in Nursing, 25(5), 443–455.
- Elemento, O. (2020). The future of precision medicine: Towards a more predictive personalized medicine. Emerging Topics in Life Sciences, 4(2), 175–177.
- Enahoro, Q. E., Ogugua, J. O., Anyanwu, E. C., Akomolafe, O., Odilibe, I. P., & Daraojimba, A. I. (2024). The impact of electronic health records on healthcare delivery and patient outcomes: A review. World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 21(2), 451–460.

- Farčić, N., Barać, I., Plužarić, J., Ilakovac, V., Pačarić, S., Gvozdanović, Z., & Lovrić, R. (2020). Personality traits of core self-evaluation as predictors of clinical decision-making in the nursing profession. PLoS ONE, 15(5), e0233435.
- Galetsi, P., Katsaliaki, K., & Kumar, S. (2020). Big data analytics in health sector: Theoretical framework, techniques and prospects. International Journal of Information Management, 50, 206–216.
- Gheihman, G., Johnson, M., & Simpkin, A. L. (2020). Twelve tips for thriving in the face of clinical uncertainty. Medical Teacher, 42(5), 493–499.
- Golzar, J., Noor, S., & Tajik, O. (2022). Convenience sampling. International Journal of Education and Language Studies, 1(2), 72–77.
- Han, P. K. (2021). Uncertainty in medicine: A framework for tolerance. Oxford University Press.
- Han, P. K., Strout, T. D., Gutheil, C., Germann, C., King, B., Ofstad, E., Gulbrandsen, P., & Trowbridge, R. (2021). How physicians manage medical uncertainty: A qualitative study and conceptual taxonomy. Medical Decision Making, 41(3), 275–291.
- Hassan, M., Awan, F. M., Naz, A., de Andrés-Galiana, E. J., Alvarez, O., Cernea, A., … Kloczkowski, A. (2022). Innovations in genomics and big data analytics for personalized medicine and health care: A review. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 23(9), 4645.
- Hull, M. A., Rees, C. J., Sharp, L., & Koo, S. (2020). A risk-stratified approach to colorectal cancer prevention and diagnosis. Nature Reviews Gastroenterology & Hepatology, 17(12), 773–780.
- Jonas, D. E., Crotty, K., Yun, J. D., Middleton, J. C., Feltner, C., Taylor-Phillips, S., … Balio, C. P. (2021). Screening for prediabetes and type 2 diabetes: Updated evidence report and systematic review for the US Preventive Services Task Force. JAMA, 326(8), 744–760.
- Karimian, G., Petelos, E., & Evers, S. M. (2022). The ethical issues of the application of artificial intelligence in healthcare: A systematic scoping review. AI and Ethics, 2(4), 539–551.
- Lam, S. K., Kwong, E. W., Hung, M. S., & Chien, W. T. (2020). Investigating the strategies adopted by emergency nurses to address uncertainty and change in the event of emerging infectious diseases: A grounded theory study. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 17(7), 2490.
- Laprise, C. (2023). It's time to take a sustainable approach to health care in the face of the challenges of the 21st century. One Health, 16, 100510.
- Lemmen, C., Simic, D., & Stock, S. (2021). A vision of future healthcare: Potential opportunities and risks of systems medicine from a citizen and patient perspective. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 18(18), 9879.
- Linton, M., & Koonmen, J. (2020). Self-care as an ethical obligation for nurses. Nursing Ethics, 27(8), 1694–1702.
- Maguire, T., Garvey, L., Ryan, J., Willetts, G., & Olasoji, M. (2022). Exploration of the utility of the nursing process and the clinical reasoning cycle as a framework for forensic mental health nurses: A qualitative study. International Journal of Mental Health Nursing, 31(2), 358–368.
- Mahmoudi, E., Kamdar, N., Kim, N., Gonzales, G., Singh, K., & Waljee, A. K. (2020). Use of electronic medical records in development and validation of risk prediction models of hospital readmission: Systematic review. BMJ, 369.
- Mann, K. D., Good, N. M., Fatehi, F., Khanna, S., Campbell, V., Conway, R., … Cook, D. (2021). Predicting patient deterioration: A review of tools in the digital hospital setting. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 23(9), e28209.
- Melnyk, B. M., & Fineout-Overholt, E. (2022). Evidence-based practice in nursing & healthcare: A guide to best practice. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
- Ogugua, J. O., Onwumere, C., Arowoogun, J. O., Anyanwu, E. C., Odilibe, I. P., & Akomolafe, O. (2024). Data science in public health: A review of predictive analytics for disease control in the USA and Africa. World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 21(1), 2753–2769.

- Okpechi, I. G., Bello, A. K., Luyckx, V. A., Wearne, N., Swanepoel, C. R., & Jha, V. (2021). Building optimal and sustainable kidney care in low resource settings: The role of healthcare systems. Nephrology, 26(12), 948–960.
- Olorunyomi, T. D., Sanyaolu, T. O., Adeleke, A. G., & Okeke, I. C. (2024). Integrating FinOps in healthcare for optimized financial efficiency and enhanced care.
- Oyeniran, C., Adewusi, A. O., Adeleke, A. G., Akwawa, L. A., & Azubuko, C. F. (2022). Ethical AI: Addressing bias in machine learning models and software applications. Computer Science & IT Research Journal, 3(3), 115–126.
- Pal Choudhury, P., Wilcox, A. N., Brook, M. N., Zhang, Y., Ahearn, T., Orr, N., … Gail, M. H. (2020). Comparative validation of breast cancer risk prediction models and projections for future risk stratification. JNCI: Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 112(3), 278–285.
- Paulus, J. K., & Kent, D. M. (2020). Predictably unequal: Understanding and addressing concerns that algorithmic clinical prediction may increase health disparities. NPJ Digital Medicine, 3(1), 99.
- Pickler, R. H. (2022). Knowledge neglect. Nursing Research, 71, 419–420.
- Quazi, S. (2022). Artificial intelligence and machine learning in precision and genomic medicine. Medical Oncology, 39(8), 120.
- Ray, A., & Chaudhuri, A. K. (2021). Smart healthcare disease diagnosis and patient management: Innovation, improvement and skill development. Machine Learning with Applications, 3, 100011.
- Sanclemente-Dalmau, M., Galbany-Estragués, P., Palomar-Aumatell, X., & Rubinat-Arnaldo, E. (2022). Defining competencies for nurse anaesthetists: A Delphi study. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 78(11), 3696–3709.
- Schot, E., Tummers, L., & Noordegraaf, M. (2020). Working on working together: A systematic review on how healthcare professionals contribute to interprofessional collaboration. Journal of Interprofessional Care, 34(3), 332–342.
- Shabestari, M. M., Tabrizi, F. J., Roshangar, F., Ghahramanian, A., Zamanzadeh, V., Sarbakhsh, P., & Agom, D. A. (2024). Nurses' perception of uncertainty in clinical decision-making: A qualitative study. Heliyon, 10(16).
- Tsai, M. H., Kimatian, S. J., Duguay, J. R., Tanniru, M. R., Sarraf, E., & Hudson, M. E. (2020). Rethinking operating room management: Why clinical directors should embrace complexity. Anesthesia & Analgesia, 131(3), 984–988.
- Turgut, Y., Güdül Öz, H., Akgün, M., Boz, İ., & Yangın, H. (2022). Qualitative exploration of nurses' experiences of the COVID-19 pandemic using the reconceptualized uncertainty in illness theory. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 78(7), 2111–2122.
- Wei, H., Corbett, R. W., Ray, J., & Wei, T. L. (2020). A culture of caring: The essence of healthcare interprofessional collaboration. Journal of Interprofessional Care, 34(3), 324–331.
- Williams, D. M., Jones, H., & Stephens, J. W. (2022). Personalized type 2 diabetes management: An update on recent advances and recommendations. Diabetes, Metabolic Syndrome and Obesity: Targets and Therapy, 281–295.
- Zagalo, N. (2021). Problemas da produção de conhecimento: The frontiers of knowledge. Journal of Digital Media & Interaction, 4(10), 113–116.