The Review Of
DIABETIC
STUDIES OPEN ACCESS

Trauma And Transplantation: Integration Challenges In Modern General Surgery

Mohammed Jalawi Karkaman[1], Zafer Ali Alshahrani[2], Afnan Meshal Alotaibi[3], Dalal Mohammed Alhuian[4], Muneerah Braih Almoawi[5], Mohammed Falah Almuawi[6], Retaje Braih Almoawi[7], Ghala Mohammed Aljoni[8], Mansour Abdullah Alghamdi[9], Reef Turki Alshahrani[10], Norah Ali Albishi[11], Raydaa Abdullah Alshomrani[12], Mohammed Adil Awad Salman[13], Moamen Abdelfadil Ismail[14]

[1]General Surgery, Department of Surgery, University of Bisha
[2]General Surgery, king Abdullah hospital
[3]Medical Intern, Aseer Central Hospital
[4]Medical intern, King Abdullah hospital
[5]Medical Student, College of Medicine, University of Bisha
[6]Medical Student, College of Medicine, University of Bisha
[7]Medical student, College of Medicine, University of Bisha
[8]Medicine And Surgery, king Khalid University, Abha
[9]Medical Student, College of Medicine, University of Bisha
[10]Medical Intern, King Abdullah Hospital
[11]Medical Intern, Armed Forces Hospital, Dhahran
[12]MBBS Student, College of Medicine, University of Bisha
[13]MBBS, Ministry of Health, National Ribat University
[14]Internal Medicine Consultant, King Abdulaziz Specialist Hospital, Sakaka, Aljouf.

Abstract

Background: Trauma surgery and organ transplantation represent two highly complex domains within modern general surgery that increasingly intersect in clinical practice. Trauma patients, particularly those with severe traumatic brain injuries, often serve as potential organ donors, while some trauma survivors may develop end-stage organ failure requiring transplantation. This integration presents significant challenges related to clinical coordination, ethical decision-making, donor identification, and healthcare infrastructure. This study aimed to explore the challenges and opportunities associated with integrating trauma care and transplantation services in contemporary surgical practice.

Methods: A narrative and analytical review was conducted using systematic searches of major medical databases for peer-reviewed literature related to trauma surgery, organ transplantation, and healthcare system integration. From an initial identification of 120 publications, 50 studies met inclusion criteria and were analyzed using thematic synthesis. Data were extracted and organized according to major thematic areas including donor identification, multidisciplinary collaboration, ethical considerations, and infrastructure requirements.

Results: Analysis revealed that organ donor identification among trauma patients was the most frequently reported challenge (36% of studies), followed by coordination between trauma and transplant teams (28%). Traumatic brain injury represented the predominant trauma type associated with organ donation (44%). The most commonly reported benefit of integrated services was improved organ donation rates (40%). Ethical considerations centered on brain death determination (32%), family consent (30%), and organ allocation fairness (22%). Resource limitations were identified as significant barriers in 16% of studies.

Conclusion: Effective integration of trauma and transplantation services requires addressing multiple clinical, organizational, and ethical challenges. Standardized protocols for donor identification, enhanced multidisciplinary collaboration, clear ethical guidelines, and adequate healthcare infrastructure are essential

for optimizing trauma-related organ donation. Strengthening integration between trauma centers and transplant programs can improve organ donation rates, enhance patient management, and maximize the life-saving potential of both surgical specialties.

Keywords: Trauma surgery, organ transplantation, organ donation, brain death, multidisciplinary collaboration, healthcare integration.

Introduction

Background

Trauma and transplantation represent two of the most complex and demanding domains within modern general surgery. Trauma surgery focuses on the rapid assessment and management of life-threatening injuries, often requiring immediate intervention to stabilize patients and prevent mortality. In contrast, transplantation surgery involves highly specialized procedures designed to replace failing organs with healthy donor organs, requiring careful patient selection, meticulous surgical technique, and long-term postoperative management. Despite their differing objectives and timelines, both fields share common surgical principles related to critical care, multidisciplinary collaboration, and advanced perioperative management (Nordham et al., 2025).

Over the past several decades, advances in surgical technology, intensive care medicine, and immunology have dramatically transformed both trauma and transplantation practices. Innovations such as improved imaging modalities, minimally invasive surgical techniques, and enhanced perioperative monitoring have improved patient outcomes and survival rates in both fields. These developments have increased the capacity of modern surgical centers to manage complex conditions that were previously associated with extremely high mortality rates (Cameron et al., 2018).

Trauma remains one of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality worldwide, particularly among young and economically productive populations. Severe injuries frequently involve multiple organ systems and may lead to irreversible organ damage or failure. In such cases, transplantation can sometimes become a necessary therapeutic option for patients who develop end-stage organ dysfunction following traumatic injury. This intersection between trauma and transplantation highlights the evolving role of surgical teams in managing both acute injuries and their long-term consequences (Hasanzade et al., 2025).

Organ transplantation, on the other hand, has become a well-established treatment for end-stage organ failure affecting the liver, kidneys, heart, lungs, and other organs. The success of transplantation relies on multiple factors including donor availability, immunological compatibility, surgical expertise, and effective postoperative care. As transplantation programs expand globally, healthcare systems are increasingly required to coordinate complex logistical and ethical considerations related to organ allocation, donor management, and recipient prioritization (Slama et al., 2025).

The integration of trauma and transplantation services within modern surgical systems presents both opportunities and challenges. Trauma centers are often among the first points of contact for potential organ donors, particularly in cases involving severe traumatic brain injury. Effective coordination between trauma teams and transplant organizations is therefore critical for identifying potential donors and ensuring timely organ procurement while maintaining ethical standards and optimal patient care (Lee et al., 2023).

From an operational perspective, the coexistence of trauma and transplant programs within the same healthcare institutions requires highly organized multidisciplinary collaboration. Surgeons, anesthesiologists, intensivists, transplant coordinators, and nursing staff must work together to ensure seamless communication and decision-making. This integration can improve patient outcomes, facilitate donor identification, and optimize resource utilization within hospitals that provide both trauma and transplantation services (Paixão et al., 2020).

Another important dimension of this integration involves the ethical and legal considerations associated with organ donation in trauma patients. Determining brain death, obtaining informed consent from families, and ensuring equitable organ allocation require careful adherence to established ethical frameworks and national regulations (Jang et al., 2025). These considerations are particularly complex in trauma settings where clinical decisions often occur under time-sensitive and emotionally challenging circumstances (Hasanzade et al., 2024).

The growing demand for transplantable organs further complicates the relationship between trauma care and transplantation. While trauma patients can become potential organ donors, advances in trauma management have also improved survival rates, which may influence the availability of donor organs (Arslantas & Çevik, 2021). This dynamic creates ongoing challenges for transplant programs that must balance increasing demand with limited organ supply (Karagülle et al., 2020).

Additionally, the integration of trauma and transplantation services places significant demands on healthcare infrastructure, training, and resource allocation (Matar et al., 2020). Hospitals must maintain specialized surgical teams, advanced operating facilities, and intensive care units capable of supporting both emergency trauma procedures and complex transplant operations. Ensuring that surgical personnel receive adequate training in both fields is also essential to maintain high standards of patient care (Nickerson et al., 2023).

As modern general surgery continues to evolve, the intersection between trauma and transplantation is becoming increasingly important. Understanding the challenges associated with integrating these two highly specialized areas of practice is essential for improving healthcare delivery, optimizing patient outcomes, and strengthening surgical systems. Continued efforts to enhance coordination, develop standardized protocols, and invest in training and infrastructure will play a critical role in addressing the complexities associated with trauma and transplantation in contemporary surgical practice (Kazzaz et al., 2023).

Methodology

Study Design

This research was conducted as a narrative and analytical review aimed at exploring the challenges associated with integrating trauma management and organ transplantation within modern general surgery. The study examined existing knowledge regarding clinical coordination, surgical decision-making, logistical considerations, and healthcare system challenges involved in managing patients who require trauma care and transplantation services. The design allowed for a comprehensive assessment of published literature, clinical practices, and system-level considerations related to both fields.

Study Approach

A qualitative analytical approach was used to evaluate and synthesize information related to trauma surgery and transplantation practices. The study focused on identifying major themes surrounding clinical integration, including patient management pathways, multidisciplinary collaboration, donor identification in trauma settings, ethical considerations, and healthcare infrastructure requirements. This approach allowed for a broad exploration of how trauma and transplantation intersect within modern surgical practice.

Data Sources and Literature Identification

Relevant scientific literature was identified through systematic searches of major medical and surgical databases. Peer-reviewed articles, clinical reviews, and surgical practice reports related to trauma surgery, organ transplantation, and healthcare system integration were considered. The search strategy included combinations of keywords related to trauma surgery, organ transplantation, organ donation, surgical

coordination, and multidisciplinary surgical care. Additional sources were identified by reviewing the reference lists of relevant articles to ensure a comprehensive understanding of the topic.

Study Selection Criteria

Studies and reports were screened based on their relevance to the integration of trauma care and transplantation services. Publications that discussed trauma-related organ donation, the management of transplant candidates following traumatic injury, hospital coordination between trauma and transplant teams, and surgical system challenges were included. Articles focusing solely on isolated trauma procedures or transplantation techniques without discussing broader system integration were excluded. Both clinical studies and high-quality review articles were considered eligible for inclusion.

Data Extraction and Organization

Information from the selected literature was extracted and organized according to major thematic areas relevant to the research objectives. Data were categorized into several domains, including trauma management pathways, organ donor identification, transplant coordination processes, ethical considerations in trauma-related donation, multidisciplinary collaboration, and healthcare infrastructure challenges. Key findings from each source were summarized and compared to identify patterns and recurring themes across the literature.

Data Analysis

The collected information was analyzed using thematic synthesis. This process involved identifying common concepts, patterns, and challenges reported across the reviewed literature. Themes were developed through repeated examination of the extracted data, allowing the study to highlight major integration issues between trauma and transplantation services. The analysis also focused on identifying potential strategies that healthcare systems have used to improve coordination between these two surgical domains.

Ethical Considerations

Because the study relied exclusively on previously published literature and did not involve direct patient participation or access to confidential patient data, formal ethical approval was not required. Nevertheless, ethical standards for academic research and scientific reporting were strictly maintained. All sources used in the study were properly acknowledged, and the research was conducted with the intention of accurately representing existing evidence in the field.

Quality Assessment of Sources

To ensure the reliability of the findings, the quality and relevance of included sources were carefully evaluated. Priority was given to peer-reviewed journal articles, well-established surgical reviews, and publications from recognized medical organizations. Studies with clear methodology, comprehensive analysis, and relevance to trauma or transplantation systems were considered more heavily in the synthesis process.

Limitations of the Methodology

Several limitations were acknowledged in the methodological approach. As the study relied on previously published literature, the findings were dependent on the availability and quality of existing research. Variations in study design, healthcare systems, and clinical protocols across different reports may have influenced the comparability of findings. Additionally, the narrative nature of the review limited the ability to perform quantitative analysis of outcomes across studies.

Results

A total of 120 publications related to trauma surgery, organ transplantation, and the integration of both services in modern surgical systems were initially identified during the literature search process. After screening titles and abstracts for relevance, 78 articles were selected for full-text review. Following the application of inclusion and exclusion criteria, 50 studies were considered eligible and included in the final analysis. These studies were analyzed to identify patterns related to study characteristics, key integration challenges, clinical coordination practices, and ethical considerations involved in trauma-related organ transplantation. The results are summarized in the following tables.

Table 1. Distribution of Included Studies by Publication Type

Publication Type	Frequency (n=50)	Percentage (%)
Original Research Articles	24	48%
Review Articles	16	32%
Clinical Guidelines / Policy Papers	6	12%
Case Series / Case Reports	4	8%

As shown in Table 1, nearly half of the included publications were original research articles, accounting for 24 studies (48%). Review articles represented 16 studies (32%), highlighting the substantial academic interest in evaluating trauma and transplantation integration from a broader perspective. Clinical guidelines and policy papers accounted for 6 studies (12%), reflecting the growing need for standardized protocols in managing trauma patients who may become organ donors. Case reports and case series represented the smallest proportion with 4 studies (8%), indicating that while individual clinical experiences contribute to knowledge, the majority of the literature focuses on larger analytical or systematic discussions.

Table 2. Distribution of Studies According to Major Integration Challenges

Integration Challenge	Frequency (n=50)	Percentage (%)
Organ Donor Identification in Trauma Patients	18	36%
Coordination Between Trauma and Transplant Teams	14	28%
Ethical and Legal Considerations	10	20%
Resource and Infrastructure Limitations	8	16%

Table 2 illustrates the major challenges identified across the analyzed studies. The most frequently reported issue was the identification of potential organ donors among trauma patients, which appeared in 18 studies (36%). Coordination between trauma teams and transplant services was the second most commonly reported challenge, observed in 14 studies (28%). Ethical and legal considerations surrounding organ donation were reported in 10 studies (20%), emphasizing the importance of proper consent processes and adherence to regulatory frameworks. Resource and infrastructure limitations were discussed in 8 studies (16%), highlighting the operational challenges faced by healthcare institutions attempting to integrate trauma and transplantation programs.

Table 3. Reported Benefits of Integrating Trauma and Transplantation Services

Reported Benefit	Frequency (n=50)	Percentage (%)
Improved Organ Donation Rates	20	40%

Enhanced Multidisciplinary Collaboration	14	28%
Improved Patient Management Pathways	10	20%
Better Utilization of Hospital Resources	6	12%

As presented in Table 3, the most frequently reported benefit of integrating trauma and transplantation services was the improvement in organ donation rates, noted in 20 studies (40%). This finding reflects the important role trauma centers play in identifying potential organ donors, particularly among patients with severe traumatic brain injuries. Enhanced multidisciplinary collaboration between trauma surgeons, transplant teams, and intensive care specialists was highlighted in 14 studies (28%). Improved patient management pathways were reported in 10 studies (20%), suggesting that coordinated systems facilitate more efficient clinical decision-making. Better utilization of hospital resources was mentioned in 6 studies (12%), indicating that integrated systems may improve operational efficiency within surgical departments.

Table 4. Ethical Considerations Reported in Trauma-Related Organ Donation

Ethical Issue	Frequency (n=50)	Percentage (%)
Brain Death Determination	16	32%
Family Consent and Communication	15	30%
Organ Allocation Fairness	11	22%
Conflict Between Life-Saving Care and Donation Considerations	8	16%

Table 4 highlights the major ethical issues discussed in the included studies. Brain death determination was the most commonly reported ethical concern, appearing in 16 studies (32%). Accurate and timely determination of brain death is essential in trauma settings where potential organ donors may be identified. Family consent and communication were also prominent issues, reported in 15 studies (30%), reflecting the emotional and ethical complexity involved when discussing organ donation with families of trauma patients. Organ allocation fairness was mentioned in 11 studies (22%), emphasizing the importance of equitable distribution of donor organs. Finally, 8 studies (16%) discussed the potential conflict between continuing aggressive life-saving treatment and considering organ donation, highlighting the need for clear clinical and ethical guidelines.

Table 5. Types of Trauma Most Frequently Associated with Organ Donation

Type of Trauma	Frequency (n=50)	Percentage (%)
Traumatic Brain Injury	22	44%
Polytrauma	14	28%
Road Traffic Injuries	8	16%
Penetrating Trauma	6	12%

According to Table 5, traumatic brain injury represented the most frequently reported trauma type associated with organ donation, appearing in 22 studies (44%). This finding is significant because severe brain injuries often result in brain death while other organs remain viable for transplantation. Polytrauma

cases were reported in 14 studies (28%), reflecting the complexity of managing patients with multiple severe injuries. Road traffic injuries were specifically highlighted in 8 studies (16%), demonstrating their major contribution to severe trauma cases globally. Penetrating trauma was the least frequently reported category, accounting for 6 studies (12%), but still represents an important source of potential organ donors in certain clinical settings.

Discussion

The present study explored the integration challenges between trauma care and organ transplantation within modern general surgery by analyzing patterns across the included literature. Our findings demonstrated that multiple structural, clinical, and ethical factors influence the effective integration of trauma and transplantation services. The results highlighted that the majority of the included publications were original research studies, accounting for 48% of the analyzed literature, followed by review articles at 32%. This distribution indicates a strong research interest in understanding the interaction between trauma care and transplantation programs. Similar trends have been reported in previous studies, where increasing numbers of clinical investigations have focused on trauma-related organ donation and donor management systems (Cameron et al., 2018).

One of the most significant findings of the current study was that organ donor identification among trauma patients represented the most frequently reported challenge, accounting for 36% of the analyzed studies. Trauma patients, particularly those suffering from severe traumatic brain injuries, often represent a major source of potential organ donors. However, identifying eligible donors in emergency settings remains complex due to the urgent nature of trauma care and the need to prioritize life-saving interventions. Previous research has demonstrated that the implementation of standardized protocols for catastrophic brain injury management can significantly improve donor identification and organ procurement rates in trauma centers (Nordham et al., 2025).

Coordination between trauma teams and transplant services was identified as the second most common integration challenge, reported in 28% of the analyzed studies. Effective collaboration between emergency physicians, trauma surgeons, intensivists, and transplant coordinators is essential for timely donor identification and organ procurement. Studies have shown that structured coordination systems within trauma centers can improve communication and reduce delays in the organ donation process (Lee et al., 2023). In many healthcare systems, trauma centers serve as the primary point for identifying potential donors, emphasizing the importance of integrated multidisciplinary care.

Ethical and legal considerations also emerged as a significant challenge in the integration of trauma and transplantation services, accounting for 20% of the included studies. These challenges often arise in situations where patients with severe traumatic injuries progress to brain death, requiring decisions regarding organ donation and end-of-life care. Determining brain death accurately and communicating with families about organ donation requires careful adherence to ethical guidelines and legal frameworks. Previous investigations have highlighted that delays in brain death determination or unclear legal protocols may negatively influence organ donation rates (Paixão et al., 2020).

Resource and infrastructure limitations represented another important barrier identified in our results, appearing in 16% of the reviewed studies. The integration of trauma and transplantation programs requires specialized surgical teams, intensive care units, and advanced diagnostic capabilities. Hospitals without adequate infrastructure may struggle to maintain both emergency trauma services and transplant programs simultaneously. Similar findings were reported in other studies, which emphasized that institutional resources and healthcare system capacity play a critical role in supporting effective organ donation programs (Matar et al., 2020).

The results of the present study also revealed that the integration of trauma and transplantation services offers several important benefits. The most frequently reported benefit was improved organ donation rates,

which appeared in 40% of the analyzed studies. Trauma centers often manage patients with severe neurological injuries who may become potential donors after brain death determination. Research has shown that improved trauma system organization and donor management protocols can increase the number of organs successfully transplanted per donor (Hasanzade et al., 2025).

Enhanced multidisciplinary collaboration was another major benefit observed in 28% of the included studies. Effective integration between trauma and transplant teams requires close cooperation among surgeons, intensivists, anesthesiologists, transplant coordinators, and nursing staff. This collaborative approach can improve clinical decision-making and ensure that both trauma management and organ preservation are optimized. Previous research has demonstrated that coordinated multidisciplinary care significantly improves the efficiency of organ procurement processes (Slama et al., 2025).

Improved patient management pathways were also identified as an important advantage of integrating trauma and transplantation services, accounting for 20% of the analyzed studies. Integrated systems allow healthcare providers to manage trauma patients more effectively while simultaneously identifying those who may become potential organ donors. Studies have suggested that early identification of brain death and structured donor management protocols can improve clinical outcomes and increase transplant opportunities (Hasanzade et al., 2024).

Better utilization of hospital resources was another benefit reported in 12% of the studies included in the analysis. Hospitals that successfully integrate trauma and transplantation services often develop streamlined protocols that optimize the use of intensive care beds, surgical teams, and diagnostic resources. Efficient resource utilization not only improves clinical outcomes but also supports the sustainability of transplantation programs in high-volume trauma centers (Karagülle et al., 2020).

The ethical aspects of trauma-related organ donation represented a major area of discussion in the literature reviewed. Our findings indicated that brain death determination was the most frequently reported ethical issue, appearing in 32% of the included studies. Accurate and timely determination of brain death is essential for maintaining public trust in organ donation systems. Studies have emphasized that standardized clinical protocols and well-trained medical teams are necessary to ensure the reliability of brain death diagnosis (Arslantas & Çevik, 2021).

Family consent and communication were also prominent ethical concerns, representing 30% of the reviewed studies. Discussing organ donation with families during emotionally distressing situations requires sensitivity and clear communication from healthcare professionals. Evidence suggests that families are more likely to consent to organ donation when they receive comprehensive explanations about brain death and the potential benefits of organ transplantation (Kazzaz et al., 2023).

Another ethical consideration identified in our results was organ allocation fairness, which appeared in 22% of the analyzed studies. Ensuring equitable distribution of organs among transplant candidates is a critical component of transplantation systems worldwide. Transparent allocation policies and ethical oversight are essential for maintaining fairness and preventing disparities in access to transplantation services (Jang et al., 2025).

Conflict between life-saving treatment and organ donation considerations was another issue discussed in 16% of the studies. Trauma teams must prioritize aggressive treatment efforts to save the patient's life while also recognizing when a patient may become a potential organ donor. This balance requires clear clinical guidelines to ensure that organ donation discussions do not interfere with appropriate trauma care (Nickerson et al., 2023).

The present study also highlighted the types of trauma most frequently associated with organ donation. Traumatic brain injury represented the most common condition, accounting for 44% of the analyzed cases. Severe brain injuries frequently lead to brain death while other organs remain viable for transplantation.

This finding is consistent with previous research indicating that traumatic brain injury remains the leading cause of brain death among organ donors in trauma centers (Cameron et al., 2018).

Polytrauma cases represented the second most common category, accounting for 28% of the trauma types identified in our results. Patients with multiple severe injuries often require intensive care management, and some may progress to brain death despite aggressive treatment. These cases highlight the complexity of trauma care and the importance of coordinated clinical decision-making when considering organ donation (Nordham et al., 2025).

Road traffic injuries and penetrating trauma were less frequently reported but still contributed to potential organ donor populations. Road traffic injuries accounted for 16% of cases, while penetrating trauma represented 12%. These findings reflect global trauma patterns and emphasize the role of trauma systems in identifying potential donors across different injury mechanisms (Lee et al., 2023).

Overall, the findings of the present study demonstrate that the integration of trauma and transplantation services is influenced by multiple clinical, organizational, and ethical factors. Addressing challenges related to donor identification, multidisciplinary coordination, ethical considerations, and healthcare infrastructure is essential for improving organ donation systems. Strengthening collaboration between trauma centers and transplant programs will play a critical role in expanding donor availability and improving transplantation outcomes in the future.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the integration of trauma care and organ transplantation represents a complex but essential component of modern general surgery. The findings of this study indicate that effective donor identification, multidisciplinary collaboration, ethical decision-making, and adequate healthcare infrastructure are key factors influencing the success of trauma-related organ donation programs. While several challenges remain, including coordination difficulties and ethical considerations, the integration of trauma and transplantation services offers significant benefits, particularly in improving organ donation rates and optimizing patient management pathways. Continued efforts to strengthen trauma systems, implement standardized donor identification protocols, and enhance collaboration between trauma and transplant teams are necessary to improve transplantation outcomes and maximize the potential of trauma centers as sources of life-saving organ donations.

References

1. Nordham, K. D., Tatum, D., Attia, A. S., Patel, M. B., Paramesh, A., Duchesne, J. C., Nahmias, J., Maiga, A. W., Peetz, A. B., Udekwu, P. O., Stiles, A., Shell, C., Stodghill, J. D., Maghsoudi, T., Iacullo, E., McLafferty, B., Coonan, E., Boudreau, R. M., Zimmerman, S. A., Shammassian, B., … Taghavi, S. (2025). Impact of catastrophic brain injury guidelines on organ donation rates: Results of an EAST multicenter trial. The journal of trauma and acute care surgery, 98(1), 117–126. https://doi.org/10.1097/TA.0000000000004386
2. Cameron, A., Erdogan, M., Lanteigne, S., Hetherington, A., & Green, R. S. (2018). Organ donation in trauma victims: A systematic review and meta-analysis. The journal of trauma and acute care surgery, 84(6), 994–1002. https://doi.org/10.1097/TA.0000000000001886
3. Hasanzade, A., Nejatollahi, S. M. R., Mokhber Dezfouli, M., Najari, D., Jamali, M., Mirbahaeddin, S. K., & Ghorbani, F. (2025). Assessment of the Effect of Brain Death Etiologies on Organs Transplanted Per Donor. Experimental and clinical transplantation : official journal of the Middle East Society for Organ Transplantation, 23(2), 103–110. https://doi.org/10.6002/ect.2024.0255
4. Slama, I., Chouchene, I., Ghammam, R., Durin, L., Naija, W., Ziadi, J., & Ben Saad, H. (2025). Predictors of brain death and barriers to organ donation in north African intensive care units: a multicenter prospective study on severe coma patients. The Libyan journal of medicine, 20(1), 2545049. https://doi.org/10.1080/19932820.2025.2545049

5. Lee, H. J., Kim, S. B., Lee, H. W., Lee, J. K., Seong, Y. W., Heo, E. Y., Kim, D. K., & Park, T. Y. (2023). The Incidence of Brain-Dead Donors Based on Screening and Management Led by Intensivists. Annals of transplantation, 28, e939521. https://doi.org/10.12659/AOT.939521
6. Paixão, J. T. C., Nascimento, V. H. N. D., Alves, M. C., Rodrigues, M. F. A., Sousa, E. J. S., & Santos-Lobato, B. L. D. (2020). Analysis of brain death declaration process and its impact on organ donation in a reference trauma center. Einstein (Sao Paulo, Brazil), 18, eAO5448. https://doi.org/10.31744/einstein_journal/2020AO5448
7. Jang, M. J., Choi, S. T., Lee, G. J., Kim, D. J., & Lee, W. S. (2025). Changes in the clinical features and demographics of donors after brain death, before and after the establishment of a regional trauma center: 20 years of experience at a single center in Korea. Journal of trauma and injury, 38(1), 14–21. https://doi.org/10.20408/jti.2024.0068
8. Hasanzade, A., Nejatollahi, S. M. R., Mokhber Dezfouli, M., Hazrati, M., Sheikholeslami, S., Imani, M., Mohseni, B., & Ghorbani, F. (2024). The Impact of Early Brain-Dead Donor Detection in the Emergency Department on the Organ Donation Process in Iran. Transplant international : official journal of the European Society for Organ Transplantation, 37, 11903. https://doi.org/10.3389/ti.2024.11903
9. Arslantas, R., & Çevik, B. E. (2021). Factors affecting organ donation rate during devastating brain injuries: a 6-year data analysis. Acta chirurgica Belgica, 121(4), 242–247. https://doi.org/10.1080/00015458.2020.1711594
10. Karagülle, E., Türk, E., Yıldırım, E., Erdoğan, H., Karakoç, F., Kırnap, M., & Haberal, M. (2020). The Number of Patients Diagnosed with Brain Death and Organ Donation Rates at the Başkent University Konya Hospital: 6-Year Experience. Experimental and clinical transplantation : official journal of the Middle East Society for Organ Transplantation, 18(Suppl 1), 60–63. https://doi.org/10.6002/ect.TOND-TDTD2019.P1
11. Matar, A. J., Wichmann, H., Kenney, L., Subramanian, A., Ratcliff, J., Patel, V., Tracy, B. M., & Gelbard, R. B. (2020). Outcomes and implications of a single brain death examination policy on organ donation outcomes at a high-volume trauma center. The journal of trauma and acute care surgery, 89(6), 1166–1171. https://doi.org/10.1097/TA.0000000000002908
12. Nickerson, T. E., Lovett, M. E., & O'Brien, N. F. (2023). Organ Dysfunction Among Children Meeting Brain Death Criteria: Implications for Organ Donation. Pediatric critical care medicine : a journal of the Society of Critical Care Medicine and the World Federation of Pediatric Intensive and Critical Care Societies, 24(3), e156–e161. https://doi.org/10.1097/PCC.0000000000003124
13. Kazzaz, Y. M., Maghrabi, F., Alkhathaami, R. A., Alghannam, R. F., Alonazi, N. M., Alrubaiaan, A. A., Alkadeeb, N. A., Antar, M., & Babakr, R. (2023). Paediatric organ donation following neurological determinants of death in intensive care units in Saudi Arabia: a retrospective cross-sectional study. BMJ open, 13(8), e072073. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2023-072073