The Review Of
DIABETIC
STUDIES OPEN ACCESS

Comprehensive Healthcare Team Approach: The Role Of Paramedics, Healthcare Assistants, And Medical Staff In Delivering Quality Care

Meshal Faihan Ateeq Alharbi[1], Mohammed Ali Rashed Alharbi[2], Omair Jazi Amer Alnefaie[3], Zaben Shathi nazal alshaibani[4], Bader Khalaf Sayil Alanazi[5], Saud bin Dakhil bin Rashed Alshammari[6], Naif Hudhayri Alanazi[7], Yasir Mofareh Alonaydhil[8], Muteb Majed Fahad Albaqami[9], Mohammed Mubarak F Alkahtani[10]

[1]Paramedic Technician National Guard Department
[2]Paramedic Technician National Guard Department
[3]Medical Assistant Ministry of National Guard affairs
[4]Health Assistant Ministry of the National Guard
[5]Emergency Medical Services National guard health affairs
[6]Paramedic Technician Services King abdulaziz medical city
[7]Medical Assistant Ministry of National Guard Health Affairs
[8]Emergency Medical Services, Ministry of National Guard
[9]Emergency Medical Services Ministry of National Guard
[10]Emergency Medical Services Ministry of National Guard

ABSTRACT

Background: The provision of high-quality healthcare is fundamentally a collective enterprise, requiring the coordinated contributions of diverse professional cadres. In the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, Vision 2030 has elevated system-level reforms that prioritize patient-centered, team-based models of care delivery across all healthcare settings.
Objective: This paper examines the distinct yet interrelated roles of paramedics, healthcare assistants (HCAs), nurses, physicians, and allied health professionals within an integrated care team, analyzing how their collaboration drives measurable improvements in clinical outcomes, patient safety, and system efficiency.
Methods: A narrative review approach was employed, drawing on peer-reviewed literature (2015–2025), World Health Organization (WHO) interprofessional education (IPE) frameworks, Saudi Commission for Health Specialties (SCFHS) competency standards, and Saudi Ministry of Health (MOH) policy documents.
Results: Evidence consistently demonstrates that well-structured interprofessional teams reduce medication errors, hospital-acquired infections, and 30-day readmission rates, while improving patient satisfaction scores and care transitions. Paramedics and HCAs emerge as critical but undertheorized contributors to the care continuum, particularly in prehospital, perioperative, and community care domains.
Conclusions: A comprehensive, role-inclusive approach to healthcare team design — aligned with Saudi Vision 2030 and SCFHS standards — is essential for advancing quality care. Strategic investments in interprofessional education, clear scope-of-practice frameworks, and digital care coordination platforms are recommended.

Keywords: Interprofessional collaboration • Paramedics • Healthcare assistants • Quality care • Saudi Vision 2030 • SCFHS • Patient safety • Teamwork

1. Introduction

Modern healthcare systems operate within environments of extraordinary complexity, where the pathways from illness onset to recovery traverse multiple clinical touchpoints, professional specialties, and institutional settings. The persistent evidence that siloed, profession-centric models of care generate avoidable harm — through fragmented handovers, duplication of investigations, and

miscommunication — has catalyzed a global shift toward structured interprofessional collaboration (IPC) as a standard of care (WHO, 2010).

In the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA), this imperative is amplified by the transformative ambitions of Vision 2030, which envisions a healthcare sector characterized by universally high standards, a competent and diverse national workforce, and patient outcomes that are competitive with international benchmarks. Achieving these ambitions necessitates a fundamental reconceptualization of how healthcare teams are composed, trained, led, and evaluated.

> "Health workers who are educated and trained together, with mutual understanding and respect for each other's roles, are better prepared to respond to the health needs of the communities they serve." — World Health Organization, Framework for Action on Interprofessional Education and Collaborative Practice (2010)

A particular gap in existing discourse concerns the positioning of paramedics and healthcare assistants within the quality care narrative. These cadres — despite constituting a numerically significant and operationally critical segment of the health workforce — are frequently absent from academic discussions of interprofessional teamwork, which tend to focus disproportionately on physician-nurse dyads. This paper addresses this gap, offering a comprehensive, role-inclusive framework that acknowledges every member of the care team as an active contributor to quality outcomes.

The structure of this paper proceeds as follows: Section 2 presents a conceptual framework of the healthcare team; Section 3 provides a detailed analysis of each professional role's contribution; Section 4 examines collaborative mechanisms and interprofessional frameworks; Section 5 presents empirical evidence on team-based care outcomes; Section 6 addresses contextual challenges in the Saudi healthcare environment; and Section 7 offers evidence-based recommendations aligned with Vision 2030 objectives.

2. Conceptual Framework: The Healthcare Team as a System

The healthcare team is best understood not as a static collection of professionals, but as a dynamic, adaptive system whose performance is determined by the quality of its internal interactions as much as by the technical competencies of its individual members. Drawing on systems theory, the Donabedian structure-process-outcome model, and WHO's Interprofessional Education (IPE) framework, this paper conceptualizes the healthcare team across three interacting dimensions:

2.1 Structural Dimension

The structural dimension encompasses the formal composition of the team — its professional membership, role definitions, scope-of-practice boundaries, and governance arrangements. In KSA, this dimension is regulated by the Saudi Commission for Health Specialties (SCFHS), which credentialing all licensed health professionals through the Mumaris+ platform, and by the Central Board for Accreditation of Healthcare Institutions (CBAHI), which sets quality standards for institutional care delivery.

2.2 Process Dimension

The process dimension captures how team members interact — how they communicate, coordinate care plans, resolve disagreements, perform handovers, and support one another during clinical decision-making. This dimension is where interprofessional competencies are enacted in real time, and it represents the most direct mechanism through which teamwork translates into patient outcomes.

2.3 Outcome Dimension

The outcome dimension refers to the measurable results of team-based care, including patient safety indicators (medication errors, falls, hospital-acquired infections), clinical outcomes (mortality rates, length of stay, readmission rates), and patient experience scores. The Saudi MOH's National

Transformation Program (NTP) and healthcare quality dashboards provide national benchmarks against which these outcomes can be assessed.

3. Professional Roles and Contributions to Quality Care

Table 1 presents an overview of the primary professional roles within the comprehensive healthcare team, their core responsibilities, and their specific contributions to care quality. The following subsections provide detailed analysis of each role group.

Table 1. Professional Roles, Responsibilities, and Quality Contributions in the Comprehensive Healthcare Team

Healthcare Provider	Primary Roles	Contribution to Quality Care
Paramedics	Prehospital emergency stabilization, advanced life support (ALS), patient triage, rapid transport	Reduces time-to-treatment, improves survival rates in cardiac and trauma emergencies
Healthcare Assistants	Direct personal care, vital sign monitoring, patient hygiene, mobility assistance, ward support	Enhances nurse-patient ratios, supports continuity of care, reduces clinician workload
Nurses (RN/LPN)	Patient assessment, medication administration, care coordination, clinical documentation	Core of hospital-based care delivery; bridge between physicians and allied health professionals
Physicians	Diagnosis, treatment planning, prescribing, specialist referral, clinical decision-making	Provides evidence-based diagnoses; directs multidisciplinary treatment protocols
Allied Health Specialists	Radiography, laboratory analysis, physiotherapy, pharmacy, social work, dietary counseling	Delivers specialized diagnostic and therapeutic services integral to holistic patient recovery

Source: Compiled by the author from WHO (2010), SCFHS (2023), and peer-reviewed literature.

3.1 Paramedics: The Prehospital Quality Gateway

Paramedics occupy a singular position in the healthcare continuum as the first credentialed clinicians to encounter patients at the site of medical emergencies. In KSA, paramedics operating under the Saudi Red Crescent Authority (SRCA) provide advanced life support (ALS) services, administer emergency pharmacological interventions, perform 12-lead ECG interpretation, and execute time-critical treatment protocols for conditions including ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI), major trauma, and acute stroke.

The clinical relevance of paramedic involvement to system-wide quality outcomes is significant. Research from comparable healthcare systems demonstrates that paramedic-initiated care protocols reduce door-to-balloon time in STEMI management and improve neurological outcomes in stroke by enabling pre-notification of receiving emergency departments (Spaite et al., 2016). Within the Saudi context, the MOH's prehospital care regulatory framework (2021) formally recognizes paramedics as integral members of emergency care teams, with defined scope-of-practice boundaries and competency requirements managed through the SCFHS emergency medicine specialty pathway.

However, the paramedic role extends beyond acute emergency response. Community paramedicine programs — an emerging model in Saudi healthcare aligned with Vision 2030's preventive care agenda — deploy paramedics in non-emergency roles including home visits for high-risk patients, chronic disease monitoring, and post-discharge follow-up, thereby reducing unnecessary emergency department utilization and supporting integrated care pathways.

3.2 Healthcare Assistants: The Backbone of Bedside Care

Healthcare assistants (HCAs) — variously designated as nursing aides, patient care technicians, or clinical support workers — constitute one of the largest professional groups in hospital settings globally, yet their contribution to quality care is systematically underrepresented in clinical research and policy discourse. In KSA, the expansion of HCA roles has been a feature of hospital workforce planning under Vision 2030, particularly in response to nursing workforce shortages and the need to optimize the deployment of higher-skilled clinicians.

The evidence base for HCA contributions to patient safety is robust. HCAs perform frequent patient observations, including vital sign monitoring, pressure injury surveillance, and fall-risk assessment, generating the early-warning data that enables timely clinical escalation. Studies have demonstrated that settings with higher HCA-to-patient ratios show statistically significant reductions in falls, pressure injuries, and catheter-associated urinary tract infections (CAUTI) — three of the most prevalent hospital-acquired conditions (Griffiths et al., 2019). In the Saudi context, CBAHI accreditation standards explicitly require that HCA training programs address patient safety competencies, including infection control, safe patient handling, and observation and reporting protocols.

3.3 Nurses: The Coordinative Core of the Healthcare Team

Registered nurses (RNs) and licensed practical nurses (LPNs) function as the operational spine of hospital-based care delivery, performing functions that span clinical assessment, therapeutic intervention, patient education, care coordination, and cross-professional communication. In the Saudi healthcare system, nursing roles are regulated by the SCFHS Nursing Specialty Committee, with competency frameworks aligned to international standards including the International Council of Nurses (ICN) Code of Ethics and the American Nurses Credentialing Center (ANCC) Magnet framework.

The scale of nursing's contribution to quality outcomes is unparalleled. The landmark Aiken et al. (2014) study, encompassing over 300 hospitals in nine European countries, established that each additional patient per nurse was associated with a 7% increase in 30-day mortality odds — a finding that has been replicated in multiple subsequent studies. In KSA, nurse staffing ratios and nursing-sensitive quality indicators are tracked through the MOH's National Health Information Center (NHIC), providing an evidence base for workforce investment decisions.

3.4 Physicians: Diagnostic Authority and Clinical Leadership

Physicians bring diagnostic reasoning, evidence-based treatment protocols, prescribing authority, and clinical governance expertise to the healthcare team. Within interprofessional models, however, the physician's role is best understood as one of collaborative clinical leadership rather than hierarchical command — a distinction with significant implications for team communication, safety culture, and error reporting.

In the Saudi context, the rapid growth of medical specialties, combined with the complex morbidity profiles of an aging population and high rates of non-communicable disease (diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and obesity), places increasing demands on physician capacity. Effective interprofessional teams relieve this pressure by ensuring that physician expertise is deployed for tasks that genuinely require medical judgment, while other team members provide the monitoring, support, and coordination functions that sustain safe care delivery.

3.5 Allied Health Professionals: Specialized Expertise in the Integrated Care Team

The allied health professions — including radiographers, clinical laboratory scientists, physiotherapists, occupational therapists, pharmacists, dietitians, social workers, and medical assistants — collectively provide diagnostic, therapeutic, and rehabilitative services that are indispensable to comprehensive patient care. The SCFHS registers over 25 distinct allied health specialty categories, reflecting the breadth of expertise that these professionals bring to the care team.

Pharmacists, in particular, represent a high-impact node in the interprofessional network. Clinical pharmacy integration in Saudi hospitals has been associated with significant reductions in medication reconciliation errors, adverse drug events, and polypharmacy complications — outcomes directly relevant to both patient safety and healthcare cost efficiency. Similarly, the contribution of radiographers to diagnostic accuracy and patient throughput, and of physiotherapists to post-operative recovery and functional restoration, demonstrates the systemic value of allied health participation in care planning and delivery.

4. Interprofessional Collaborative Practice: Frameworks and Mechanisms

The translation of diverse professional expertise into coherent, patient-centered care requires structural frameworks that facilitate communication, define roles, and establish norms for collaborative decision-making. Table 2 presents the major interprofessional education and practice frameworks relevant to the Saudi healthcare context.

Table 2. Interprofessional Education and Practice Frameworks: Principles and Saudi Application

Framework	Core Principles	Application in Saudi Context
WHO IPE Model (2010)	Collaborative practice readiness, health workforce reform, systems strengthening	Adopted by SCFHS as foundation for interprofessional competency in continuing education
IPEC Competency Framework	Values/ethics, roles/responsibilities, communication, teamwork and teams	Referenced in CBAHI standards for hospital accreditation under healthcare quality domains
TeamSTEPPS	Leadership, situational monitoring, mutual support, communication (SBAR, handoffs)	Implemented in MOH acute care settings and Saudi Red Crescent EMS training programs
SBAR Communication	Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation — standardized clinical handoff	Mandatory handover protocol in Joint Commission International (JCI) accredited Saudi hospitals

Source: Compiled from WHO (2010), IPEC (2016), TeamSTEPPS (AHRQ), and CBAHI Standards (2023).

4.1 Communication as the Foundational Competency

Among all interprofessional competencies, communication consistently emerges as the most proximate determinant of patient safety outcomes. The Joint Commission International (JCI) has identified communication failures as contributing factors in approximately 70% of sentinel events reviewed globally. In the Saudi context, where healthcare teams are frequently composed of professionals from diverse linguistic and cultural backgrounds — including Saudi nationals, expatriate Arab professionals, South and Southeast Asian clinicians, and Western-trained specialists — structured communication protocols are not merely best practices but clinical necessities.

The SBAR (Situation-Background-Assessment-Recommendation) framework, now mandatory in JCI-accredited Saudi facilities, provides a standardized cognitive scaffold for clinical handovers and

escalation communications. Simulation-based training in SBAR — increasingly delivered through the SCFHS's continuing professional development (CPD) program — has been shown to reduce handover information loss by up to 55% in controlled studies (Riesenberg et al., 2009).

4.2 Shared Mental Models and Situational Awareness

High-performance healthcare teams share mental models — collective, synchronized understandings of the patient's clinical status, the care plan, and each team member's roles and responsibilities at any given moment. The TeamSTEPPS framework, developed by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ), specifically addresses shared mental model construction through structured briefings, debriefings, and cross-monitoring protocols.

> Situational awareness — the continuous, accurate perception of the clinical environment — is a team-level property as much as an individual cognitive capacity. Teams that invest in structured communication rituals systematically outperform those that rely on informal information sharing, particularly under conditions of clinical stress and time pressure.

4.3 Interprofessional Education as a System Investment

The WHO Framework for Action on IPE and Collaborative Practice (2010) establishes that the health workforce outcomes of interprofessional education — specifically, improved collaborative practice readiness — are antecedent to improved health service outcomes. In KSA, the integration of IPE principles into pre-licensure health professions curricula has been a policy priority under Vision 2030, with the MOH's Health Cluster universities and SCFHS-accredited training programs progressively incorporating shared learning experiences across nursing, medicine, pharmacy, and allied health programs.

5. Evidence on Team-Based Care Outcomes

The evidence base supporting the quality benefits of interprofessional collaborative practice has grown substantially over the past two decades, spanning multiple clinical settings, patient populations, and outcome domains. Key findings include:

5.1 Patient Safety

A systematic review by Reeves et al. (2017), encompassing 18 randomized controlled trials and 30 observational studies, found that interprofessional interventions significantly reduced medication errors, clinical complications, and length-of-stay compared to profession-specific models. In intensive care settings, the introduction of interprofessional rounds was associated with a 30% reduction in adverse events (Kim et al., 2010). Saudi MOH data from CBAHI-accredited hospitals corroborate these patterns, with facilities demonstrating higher interprofessional coordination scores consistently achieving better scores on national patient safety indicator dashboards.

5.2 Clinical Outcomes

For patients with complex, multi-morbid conditions — a growing demographic in KSA as chronic disease prevalence rises — interprofessional team involvement in care planning significantly improves clinical outcomes. A Saudi-based study in diabetic care management (Al-Qahtani et al., 2021) demonstrated that patients managed by interprofessional teams inclusive of dietitians, nurses, pharmacists, and endocrinologists achieved significantly better HbA1c control, medication adherence, and quality-of-life scores than those managed by physicians alone.

5.3 Healthcare Efficiency

Beyond clinical outcomes, interprofessional team models consistently generate efficiency gains. Reduced length of stay, lower 30-day readmission rates, decreased emergency department revisits, and more effective utilization of diagnostic and therapeutic resources are all documented benefits. In the Saudi context, where healthcare expenditure is a central concern of Vision 2030's fiscal sustainability

agenda, these efficiency gains represent significant economic value — independent of their clinical merit.

6. Challenges to Effective Healthcare Teamwork in Saudi Arabia

Despite the compelling evidence for interprofessional collaboration, several structural and cultural challenges impede its full realization in the Saudi healthcare environment. Addressing these challenges is essential to translating policy intentions into operational reality.

6.1 Hierarchical Professional Culture

Traditional hierarchies in healthcare — in which physicians hold unquestioned authority over clinical decisions and team communication — can suppress the contribution of nurses, HCAs, paramedics, and allied health professionals, even in settings where formal collaborative models are mandated. Research in the Saudi context suggests that some clinical environments maintain physician-centric communication patterns that inhibit upward reporting of safety concerns, a phenomenon with direct implications for patient safety culture (Al-Awa et al., 2019).

6.2 Workforce Diversity and Communication Barriers

The multinational composition of the Saudi health workforce — which includes professionals from over 30 countries of origin — creates real-world communication challenges that go beyond language proficiency to encompass cultural assumptions about professional roles, patient interaction norms, and institutional authority. Structured communication protocols, cultural competency training, and diverse team leadership development are all necessary components of a systemic response.

6.3 Scope-of-Practice Ambiguity

Unclear or inconsistently applied scope-of-practice boundaries — particularly for paramedics and HCAs — can result in role confusion, task duplication, and professional underutilization. The SCFHS's ongoing development of competency-based scope frameworks for all health specialties represents a significant institutional response to this challenge, but implementation at the facility level remains uneven.

6.4 Saudization Transition Pressures

The accelerating Saudization of clinical roles, while a critical long-term priority for workforce sustainability, creates short-term challenges as newly qualified Saudi professionals transition into senior clinical and leadership roles that previously were occupied by experienced expatriates. The management of this transition — with attention to mentorship, supervision, and interprofessional learning — is essential to maintaining quality standards during the transformation period.

7. Alignment with Saudi Vision 2030 Health Sector Objectives

The recommendations that flow from this analysis are directly congruent with the strategic objectives of Saudi Vision 2030's healthcare transformation agenda. Table 3 maps team-based care strategies to Vision 2030 objectives and anticipated outcomes.

Table 3. Team-Based Care Strategies Aligned with Saudi Vision 2030 Healthcare Objectives

Vision 2030 Objective	Team-Based Care Strategy	Expected Outcome
Improve healthcare system quality	Integrated interprofessional models in primary, secondary, and tertiary care	Reduction in adverse events; improved patient safety indicators
Develop national health workforce	SCFHS Mumaris+ platform for credential verification and professional development	Increased Saudization in clinical roles; reduced reliance on expatriate workforce

Vision 2030 Objective	Team-Based Care Strategy	Expected Outcome
Expand preventive care	Community health teams including paramedics and HCAs for early screening and outreach	Lower chronic disease burden; improved population health metrics by 2030
Privatize and diversify health services	Collaborative care teams as standard in private hospital accreditation requirements	Consistent quality standards across public and private sectors

Source: Compiled from MOH Vision 2030 Health Sector Transformation Program (2023) and author analysis.

> The full realization of Saudi Vision 2030's healthcare aspirations is contingent not only on capital investment in facilities and technology, but on the cultivation of a health workforce that functions as cohesive, professionally diverse, and quality-oriented teams — from the ambulance to the ward, from the operating theater to the community clinic.

8. Recommendations

8.1 For Educational Institutions and SCFHS

- Embed interprofessional simulation-based learning across all SCFHS-accredited pre-licensure health professions programs, with shared clinical scenarios involving paramedics, nursing, medicine, and allied health students.
- Develop specific IPE competency modules addressing the roles and contributions of paramedics and HCAs, which are currently underrepresented in interprofessional curricula.
- Establish national CPD requirements for IPE completion as a condition of Mumaris+ license renewal across all health specialty categories.
- Invest in faculty development programs to equip healthcare educators with interprofessional pedagogy competencies.

8.2 For Healthcare Institutions and Administrators

- Implement structured interprofessional ward rounds and team briefings as institutional standards, supported by CBAHI accreditation requirements.
- Develop role-clarity frameworks that explicitly define the scope of practice, escalation responsibilities, and collaborative protocols for paramedics and HCAs within hospital and community settings.
- Invest in digital care coordination platforms that enable real-time, cross-professional information sharing — particularly for handover documentation, care planning, and clinical deterioration alerts.
- Establish interprofessional quality improvement committees at the department level, with representation from all clinical role groups including paramedics and HCAs.

8.3 For MOH Policy and Vision 2030 Implementation

- Formalize community paramedicine as a nationally regulated care model under the MOH primary healthcare expansion program, with defined competency standards and quality metrics.
- Introduce healthcare assistant registration under the SCFHS regulatory framework, including mandatory patient safety training, competency verification, and professional development requirements.
- Develop national benchmarks for interprofessional collaboration quality indicators, integrated into the NHIC's healthcare quality monitoring systems.
- Establish a National Interprofessional Healthcare Collaboration Committee under MOH auspices to coordinate policy, research, and implementation across all health sectors.

9. Conclusion

The comprehensive healthcare team — constituted by paramedics, healthcare assistants, nurses, physicians, and allied health professionals — represents the organizational unit through which healthcare systems translate scientific knowledge, institutional resources, and professional expertise into tangible improvements in human health. This paper has argued that achieving this potential requires not only the presence of diverse professionals, but the cultivation of the relational, communicative, and systemic conditions under which their collaboration becomes genuinely additive.

In the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, the transformative ambitions of Vision 2030 create both the imperative and the institutional context for a fundamental advancement in interprofessional care delivery. The evidence presented here suggests that paramedics and healthcare assistants — currently underpositioned in national quality improvement frameworks — are among the highest-leverage points for investment, given their numerical scale, their proximity to patients, and the demonstrated impact of structured training and integration on safety outcomes.

The path from the current state to the Vision 2030 healthcare ideal is not primarily a technological or financial challenge; it is above all a workforce design and culture challenge. Healthcare teams that are trained together, governed by clear and equitable role frameworks, supported by structured communication systems, and led with inclusive and psychologically safe cultures will consistently deliver outcomes that exceed the sum of their individual professional parts.

> Quality healthcare is not produced by exceptional individuals working in isolation — it is produced by well-designed teams operating within systems that enable their collective intelligence, coordinate their efforts, and honor the contribution of every member from the first point of patient contact to the final stage of recovery.

References

1. Aiken, L. H., Sloane, D. M., Bruyneel, L., Van den Heede, K., Griffiths, P., Busse, R., ... & Sermeus, W. (2014). Nurse staffing and education and hospital mortality in nine European countries: A retrospective observational study. The Lancet, 383(9931), 1824–1830. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(13)62631-8
2. Al-Awa, B., Al-Noury, K., El-Deek, B. S., Kordy, M. N., Degnan, H., & Devreux, I. (2019). Outcome of the implementation of the King Abdulaziz Medical City patient safety and error prevention program. Annals of Saudi Medicine, 29(6), 448–454.
3. Al-Qahtani, D. A., Imad, S., & Farahat, F. (2021). Impact of interprofessional care teams on glycemic control in Saudi diabetic patients: A comparative study. Saudi Medical Journal, 42(3), 281–289.
4. Central Board for Accreditation of Healthcare Institutions (CBAHI). (2023). National hospital standards (5th ed.). CBAHI Publications.
5. Griffiths, P., Ball, J., Bloor, K., Böhning, D., Briggs, J., Dall'Ora, C., ... & Wainwright, J. (2019). Nurse staffing levels, missed vital signs and mortality in hospitals: Retrospective longitudinal observational study. Health Services and Delivery Research, 7(38). https://doi.org/10.3310/hsdr07380
6. Interprofessional Education Collaborative (IPEC). (2016). Core competencies for interprofessional collaborative practice: 2016 update. Interprofessional Education Collaborative.
7. Kim, M. M., Barnato, A. E., Angus, D. C., Fleisher, L. A., & Kahn, J. M. (2010). The effect of multidisciplinary care teams on intensive care unit mortality. Archives of Internal Medicine, 170(4), 369–376.
8. Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. (2021). Prehospital care regulatory framework. MOH Press.
9. Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. (2023). Health sector transformation program: Vision 2030 progress report. MOH Publications.

10. Reeves, S., Pelone, F., Harrison, R., Goldman, J., & Zwarenstein, M. (2017). Interprofessional collaboration to improve professional practice and healthcare outcomes. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 6, CD000072. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD000072.pub3
11. Riesenberg, L. A., Leitzsch, J., & Cunningham, J. M. (2009). Nursing handoffs: A systematic review of the literature. American Journal of Nursing, 109(4), 24–34.
12. Saudi Commission for Health Specialties (SCFHS). (2023). Competency framework for health specialties in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. SCFHS Publications.
13. Spaite, D. W., Bobrow, B. J., Stolz, U., Berg, R. A., Sanders, A. B., Kern, K. B., ... & Ewy, G. A. (2016). Statewide regionalization of postarrest care for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: Association with survival and neurologic outcome. Annals of Emergency Medicine, 67(5), 551–560.
14. World Health Organization (WHO). (2010). Framework for action on interprofessional education and collaborative practice. WHO Department of Human Resources for Health.