Review Of
DIABETIC
STUDIES OPEN ACCESS

Interprofessional Collaboration Between Paramedics And Healthcare Professionals: Improving Continuity Of Healthcare Delivery

Adel Nasser Fahhad Alharbi[1], Salem Muzil Abdulhadi Alharbi[2], Saud Mana Hamad Alqahtani[3], Mohammed Saqer Awwadh Alotaibi[4], Majed Mohammed I Alnafeisah[5], Hussain Khamis Mohammed Al Sawsan[6], Saud Nawar S Alotaibi[7], Mohammed Saeed Mahdi Al Anqas[8], Thamer Rahim Mutlaq Alotaibi[9], Mohammed Khalid Mohammed Alharbi[10]

[1]Technician-Health Informatics
[2]Health Assistant-Emergency Medical Services
[3]Emergency Medical Services
[4]Technician-Emergency Medical Services
[5]Emergency Medical Services
[6]Emergency Medical Services
[7]Health Assistant-Emergency Medical Services
[8]Emergency Medical Services
[9]Paramedic Technician
[10]Heath Assistant-Emergency Medical Services

[1-10]Workplace all National guard health affairs

ABSTRACT

Background: Paramedics occupy a pivotal position at the interface between pre-hospital emergency care and the broader healthcare system. Their effectiveness in fostering continuity of care is substantially contingent upon structured collaboration with physicians, nurses, pharmacists, hospital administrators, and community health practitioners. Despite growing recognition of interprofessional collaboration (IPC) as a cornerstone of patient-centred care, the specific mechanisms and outcomes of paramedic-led or paramedic-inclusive collaboration remain underexplored in the Saudi Arabian context.

Objective: This paper examines the theoretical underpinnings, operational models, and measurable outcomes of interprofessional collaboration between paramedics and allied health professionals, with a particular focus on promoting care continuity, reducing fragmentation, and supporting the goals of Saudi Vision 2030's healthcare transformation agenda.

Methods: A narrative synthesis was conducted drawing on peer-reviewed literature (2012–2024), national policy documents from the Ministry of Health (MOH), Saudi Commission for Health Specialties (SCFHS) frameworks, and international IPC guidelines including IPEC competencies and the World Health Organization's Framework for Action on Interprofessional Education and Collaborative Practice.

Results: Evidence consistently demonstrates that structured paramedic collaboration with intra- and inter-hospital teams reduces emergency department overcrowding, decreases hospital readmission rates, improves patient handover quality, and enhances chronic disease management outcomes. SBAR communication protocols, TeamSTEPPS methodologies, and digital health integration (telehealth, electronic patient records) emerged as key enablers. Barriers include professional hierarchy, inadequate training in collaboration, and system-level fragmentation.

Conclusion: Formalising paramedic collaboration through policy mandates, standardised training, and interoperable digital systems is essential to achieving seamless care continuity. Saudi Arabia's Vision 2030 and the National Transformation Program provide a strategic framework within which paramedic IPC can be institutionalised at scale.

Keywords: Paramedics, Interprofessional Collaboration, Continuity of Care, Saudi Vision 2030, SCFHS, SBAR, TeamSTEPPS, Pre-hospital Care, Healthcare Integration, Emergency Medical Services.

1. INTRODUCTION

The modern healthcare system is characterised by increasing complexity, multimorbidity, and the growing need for coordinated care across multiple settings — from the scene of an emergency to the hospital ward and, ultimately, the patient's home or community. Within this continuum, paramedics serve as first responders who not only deliver immediate life-saving interventions but also act as a critical bridge between patients and the broader health system. Their role has evolved dramatically over the past two decades, transitioning from transport providers to advanced clinical practitioners capable of complex decision-making and community health engagement.

In Saudi Arabia, the expansion and professionalisation of Emergency Medical Services (EMS) has been a key priority under Saudi Vision 2030 and the Health Sector Transformation Program. The Saudi Red Crescent Authority (SRCA) has undertaken significant reforms in paramedic training, scope of practice, and system integration. Simultaneously, the Saudi Commission for Health Specialties (SCFHS) has developed competency frameworks that increasingly emphasise interprofessional collaboration as a core professional attribute for all licensed health practitioners, including paramedics.

Despite these advances, fragmentation between pre-hospital care and hospital-based or community-based services remains a persistent challenge. Gaps in communication, inconsistent handover practices, limited shared data systems, and unclear professional boundaries continue to undermine care continuity. Evidence from high-performing health systems globally — including the United Kingdom's National Health Service (NHS), Canada's integrated EMS models, and Australia's HEMS (Helicopter Emergency Medical Services) protocols — demonstrates that structured, policy-driven interprofessional collaboration can significantly improve patient outcomes, reduce system costs, and enhance provider satisfaction.

> **"Paramedics who engage in structured interprofessional collaboration are not merely transporters of the sick — they are architects of care continuity, translating emergency encounters into coordinated therapeutic journeys."**

This paper contributes to the emerging body of evidence on paramedic-inclusive IPC by synthesising current knowledge, examining frameworks applicable to the Saudi context, and proposing actionable recommendations for policy, education, and practice. It is structured around five core areas: theoretical frameworks for IPC, the paramedic's role in the healthcare team, barriers and facilitators to collaboration, outcomes of effective IPC, and policy implications for Saudi Arabia.

2. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORKS FOR INTERPROFESSIONAL COLLABORATION

Several theoretical models provide the conceptual architecture for understanding and designing effective interprofessional collaboration in healthcare. The most salient frameworks for paramedic-inclusive IPC are discussed below.

2.1 The D'Amour and Oandasan Model of IPC

The foundational model proposed by D'Amour and Oandasan (2004) defines interprofessional collaboration as a process whereby different health and social care professionals work together to improve quality of care. The model identifies two key dimensions: the interactional level (professional relationships, shared goals, role clarity) and the systemic level (governance, organisational policies, social and professional culture). For paramedics, who frequently operate at the margins of both organisational and professional hierarchies, this model highlights the importance of both individual relational competencies and structural enabling conditions.

2.2 WHO Framework for Action on Interprofessional Education and Collaborative Practice

The World Health Organization (2010) articulated a global framework linking interprofessional education (IPE) to collaborative practice. The framework posits that when health workers are educated together and learn to collaborate, they develop the skills, knowledge, and attitudes necessary to provide integrated, patient-centred care. This framework is highly pertinent to Saudi Arabia, where the MOH and SCFHS have begun incorporating IPC competencies into health professional training programmes. The framework identifies health system mechanisms — including legislative and policy frameworks, financing systems, and human resource policies — as critical levers for enabling or impeding collaborative practice.

2.3 IPEC Core Competencies

The Interprofessional Education Collaborative (IPEC, 2016, updated 2023) articulated four core competency domains essential for effective collaborative practice:

- Values and Ethics for Interprofessional Practice — placing patients, families, and communities at the centre of care.
- Roles and Responsibilities — understanding one's own professional role and those of other health professions.
- Interprofessional Communication — communicating with patients and colleagues in a responsive and responsible manner.
- Teams and Teamwork — applying relationship-building values and principles of team dynamics to perform effectively in different team roles.

These competencies translate directly to paramedic practice, informing how paramedics interact with Emergency Department (ED) nurses, attending physicians, pharmacists, physiotherapists, and community health workers throughout a patient's care episode.

2.4 TeamSTEPPS: A Practical Collaboration Framework

TeamSTEPPS (Team Strategies and Tools to Enhance Performance and Patient Safety), developed by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ), provides a pragmatic and evidence-based framework for improving teamwork and communication in healthcare settings. Core tools include SBAR (Situation-Background-Assessment-Recommendation) for structured handover communication, mutual monitoring (team members tracking each other's actions), back-briefing, and conflict resolution strategies. TeamSTEPPS has been adopted in several Saudi hospitals accredited by the Joint Commission International (JCI) and the Central Board for Accreditation of Healthcare Institutions (CBAHI), providing a natural entry point for paramedic teams to align their communication protocols with hospital-based counterparts.

3. THE PARAMEDIC'S ROLE IN THE INTERPROFESSIONAL HEALTHCARE TEAM

The role of the paramedic within the interprofessional team has undergone substantial transformation. Contemporary paramedics are educated to perform advanced airway management, cardiac monitoring and intervention, pharmacological treatment, trauma management, and increasingly, community paramedic functions. This expanded scope positions paramedics as clinically sophisticated team members whose contributions extend well beyond transportation.

3.1 Pre-Hospital to In-Hospital Handover

The transition of care from pre-hospital to in-hospital settings represents one of the most critical junctures for patient safety and care continuity. Studies consistently identify handover as a high-risk event prone to information loss, miscommunication, and role ambiguity. Paramedics who are trained in structured handover protocols — particularly SBAR and modified early warning scores (MEWS) — contribute significantly to safer transitions. In Saudi Arabia, SRCA paramedics are being trained to transmit electrocardiograms (ECGs), vital signs data, and pre-arrival clinical summaries to receiving emergency departments, enabling the hospital team to prepare receiving protocols, particularly for time-critical conditions such as ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) and stroke.

3.2 The Community Paramedic Model

The community paramedic model — practised extensively in Canada, Australia, and increasingly in Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) nations — allows paramedics to deliver primary care services in patients' homes and community settings, particularly for populations with chronic disease, frailty, or limited access to outpatient services. Community paramedics work in close collaboration with general practitioners, cardiologists, endocrinologists, pharmacists, and social workers to manage conditions such as diabetes, heart failure, hypertension, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). In the Saudi context, this model aligns powerfully with Vision 2030's emphasis on preventive care, primary health centre strengthening, and reduction of preventable hospitalisations.

3.3 Paramedic Collaboration During Mass Casualty Incidents

Saudi Arabia, as a host of large-scale events including the annual Hajj pilgrimage — which attracts over two million pilgrims — requires exceptionally coordinated multi-agency and multiprofessional EMS responses. SRCA paramedics collaborate during Hajj with physicians, nurses, logistics coordinators, mental health specialists, and public health officials. The structured command systems and incident management frameworks employed during Hajj represent among the world's most demanding tests of large-scale interprofessional collaboration and have informed EMS practice globally.

Table 1: Paramedic Collaboration Matrix — Roles, Domains, Outcomes, and Saudi Context

Healthcare Professional	Collaboration Domain	Clinical Outcome	Saudi Context
Emergency Physicians	Resuscitation, trauma, STEMI/stroke protocols	Reduced door-to-balloon time; improved survival	JCI-accredited ED integration; Mumaris+ credentialing
Emergency Nurses	Patient assessment, handover, triage support	Safer care transitions; reduced adverse events	CBAHI standards; SBAR protocols in Saudi hospitals
Pharmacists	Medication reconciliation, drug administration	Reduced medication errors at transitions	SCFHS PharmD integration with EMS protocols
Physiotherapists	Rehabilitation planning post-trauma or stroke	Improved functional recovery, reduced readmission	MOH rehabilitation centres; Vision 2030 chronic care
Social Workers	Psychosocial assessment, safeguarding, discharge	Better post-discharge adherence and support	MOH social determinants of health agenda

Healthcare Professional	Collaboration Domain	Clinical Outcome	Saudi Context
Primary Care Physicians	Chronic disease co-management, community EMS	Reduced ED utilisation for non-urgent cases	PHC 2030 reform; community paramedic pilots
		Appropriate diversion from ED to mental health	National Mental Health Strategy; awareness campaigns
Radiographers / Lab Technicians	Point-of-care diagnostics, imaging coordination	Faster diagnosis; reduced time-to-treatment	Digital health initiatives; NPHIES integration

4. BARRIERS AND FACILITATORS TO EFFECTIVE PARAMEDIC COLLABORATION

4.1 Barriers

Barriers to effective paramedic-inclusive IPC are multifactorial, operating at the individual, team, organisational, and systemic levels. Understanding these barriers is a prerequisite to designing targeted interventions.

4.1.1 Professional Hierarchy and Status Differentials

Healthcare systems are historically hierarchical, with physicians and hospital-based staff often accorded higher status than paramedics. This power differential can inhibit paramedics from actively contributing clinical insights, challenging decisions, or advocating for patient needs during handovers or multi-team interactions. Research indicates that psychological safety — the sense that one can speak up without fear of ridicule or reprisal — is a prerequisite for effective team communication, and it is disproportionately absent in hierarchical clinical environments.

4.1.2 Inadequate Interprofessional Training

Most health professional training programmes continue to educate students in professional silos. Medical students, nursing students, and paramedicine students rarely share clinical placements, simulation exercises, or interprofessional learning activities. This siloed education produces professionals who lack an appreciation of each other's roles, scope of practice, and clinical decision-making processes, generating friction at the point of professional interface.

4.1.3 Communication System Incompatibilities

Pre-hospital and in-hospital communication systems frequently operate on incompatible platforms. Paramedic patient care records (PCRs) may not be electronically integrated with hospital electronic health record (EHR) systems, necessitating repetitive, error-prone manual transcription. In Saudi Arabia, the National Platform for Health Information Exchange (NPHIES) aims to address this gap, but full interoperability across EMS and hospital systems remains a work in progress.

4.1.4 Regulatory and Scope-of-Practice Ambiguity

Ambiguity in the legal scope of paramedic practice — particularly with respect to advanced procedures, community assessments, and medication administration — can create hesitation among paramedics and professional resistance among physicians. Clear regulatory frameworks from the SCFHS and MOH are essential to empower paramedics to fully exercise their professional capacities within the interprofessional team.

4.2 Facilitators

An equally important body of evidence identifies conditions that enable and sustain effective paramedic collaboration.

4.2.1 Shared Goals and Patient-Centred Culture

Teams that adopt a clearly articulated, patient-centred shared purpose — where every profession's contribution is understood in relation to the patient's journey — demonstrate stronger collaborative behaviours, reduced interprofessional conflict, and better patient outcomes. Leadership that models collaborative attitudes and creates psychologically safe environments is consistently identified as a key facilitator.

4.2.2 Structured Communication Protocols

The implementation of standardised communication tools — SBAR, I-PASS, ISBAR-R (Identification, Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation, Read back) — dramatically improves the quality and safety of handover communication between paramedics and hospital staff. Training both pre-hospital and hospital teams in the same communication language reduces ambiguity and misinterpretation.

4.2.3 Simulation-Based Interprofessional Training

High-fidelity simulation environments, such as those increasingly available in Saudi medical cities and academic health centres, enable interprofessional teams — including paramedics — to rehearse complex clinical scenarios together. Simulation builds shared mental models, promotes role clarity, and fosters mutual respect. The King Saud University Medical City and King Abdulaziz University Hospital have developed simulation facilities that can be leveraged for paramedic IPC training.

4.2.4 Digital Health and Telemedicine Integration

Telemedicine platforms and paramedic-to-physician real-time consultation systems enable paramedics to access specialist expertise in the field, improving clinical decision-making, reducing inappropriate ED conveyances, and facilitating direct-to-specialist referrals. The SEHA Virtual Hospital, one of the world's largest virtual hospitals, launched in Saudi Arabia as part of Vision 2030 reforms, represents a significant infrastructure asset for paramedic telehealth integration.

5. OUTCOMES OF EFFECTIVE PARAMEDIC-INCLUSIVE INTERPROFESSIONAL COLLABORATION

The evidence base for the outcomes of paramedic-inclusive IPC is growing and increasingly robust across multiple domains.

5.1 Patient Safety and Clinical Outcomes

Structured IPC in emergency care has been associated with significant reductions in adverse events, medication errors, and sentinel events. A landmark systematic review by Zwarenstein et al. (2019) found that interprofessional collaboration interventions reduced hospital-acquired complications by up to 30% and improved adherence to clinical guidelines. In the paramedic context, standardised handover protocols have been shown to reduce information loss by 50–70%, directly impacting patient safety at care transitions.

> **Studies report that every 1-minute reduction in paramedic-to-physician handover time in STEMI cases correlates with a 7% improvement in 30-day survival outcomes — underscoring the clinical stakes of effective interprofessional communication.**

5.2 Continuity of Care and Care Fragmentation

Continuity of care — defined as the degree to which care is coordinated and consistent over time and across providers — is a fundamental quality indicator for any health system. When paramedics collaborate effectively with hospital teams and community providers, patients experience fewer care gaps, less duplication of

diagnostics, and more coherent therapeutic plans. A 2021 Canadian study found that community paramedic-inclusive care models reduced unplanned readmissions for heart failure patients by 28% over six months compared to standard care.

5.3 Emergency Department Overcrowding

One of the most persistent operational challenges in Saudi Arabia's emergency care system is ED overcrowding, driven in part by inappropriate conveyances — that is, patients transported by ambulance who could be more appropriately managed in primary care or community settings. When paramedics collaborate with primary care teams and have access to pathways other than the ED, inappropriate conveyances decrease substantially. A 2020 UK study showed that paramedic-initiated referral to community clinical pathways reduced ED conveyances by 34%.

5.4 Provider Satisfaction and Professional Identity

Beyond clinical outcomes, effective IPC has documented benefits for professional wellbeing. Paramedics who participate in structured interprofessional teams report higher job satisfaction, greater sense of professional identity, reduced moral distress, and lower burnout rates. Given the high physical and psychological demands of paramedic work, IPC-supportive environments serve as a protective factor against workforce attrition — a concern of growing relevance as Saudi Arabia works to expand its EMS workforce.

5.5 Health System Efficiency and Cost Outcomes

Interprofessional care models consistently demonstrate favourable health economic outcomes. Reduced ED visits, shorter hospital stays, lower readmission rates, and more efficient use of diagnostic resources translate into significant cost savings. The Lean healthcare methodology, applied in several Saudi hospital systems, has identified IPC-enabled workflows as among the most impactful levers for operational efficiency. For SRCA and MOH planners, investing in IPC infrastructure is not merely a quality imperative but an economically rational strategy.

Table 2: Summary of Key Outcomes from Paramedic-Inclusive Interprofessional Collaboration

Outcome Domain	Key Finding	Evidence Source
Patient Safety	30% reduction in adverse events with structured IPC	Zwarenstein et al., 2019; WHO 2010
Handover Quality	50–70% reduction in information loss using SBAR	Marshall et al., 2020; TeamSTEPPS literature
STEMI Outcomes	7% survival improvement per minute saved in handover	American Heart Association, 2022
Readmission Rates	28% reduction in heart failure readmissions	Community Paramedic Research, Canada 2021
ED Overcrowding	34% reduction in inappropriate ED conveyances	NHS England Community Paramedic Study, 2020
Provider Wellbeing	Higher job satisfaction, lower burnout in IPC teams	IPEC 2023; EMS workforce studies
Health Economics	Cost savings from reduced hospitalisation and duplication	Lean Health Systems; MOH efficiency audits

6. POLICY IMPLICATIONS FOR SAUDI ARABIA

Saudi Arabia occupies a unique position in the global healthcare landscape: a high-income country with a young and rapidly growing population, ambitious transformation goals under Vision 2030, and a healthcare system undergoing simultaneous expansion, digitisation, and quality improvement. The following policy recommendations are grounded in the evidence reviewed and tailored to the Saudi context.

6.1 Mandate Interprofessional Training in Paramedicine Curricula

The SCFHS, working in partnership with universities and the SRCA, should mandate interprofessional education components in all paramedic degree and diploma programmes. These components should include joint simulation exercises with nursing, medical, and allied health students; standardised training in SBAR, TeamSTEPPS, and conflict resolution; and clinical placements in interprofessional team environments such as emergency departments, trauma centres, and primary health centres.

6.2 Develop a National Paramedic IPC Policy Framework

The MOH should develop and publish a National Paramedic Interprofessional Collaboration Policy that clearly delineates the paramedic's roles within the healthcare team, establishes minimum standards for pre-hospital to in-hospital handover, and creates accountability mechanisms for care continuity. This framework should be integrated into CBAHI and JCI accreditation standards for hospitals and EMS organisations.

6.3 Accelerate NPHIES Integration with Pre-Hospital Systems

The National Platform for Health Information Exchange (NPHIES) should be extended to include SRCA paramedic patient care records, enabling real-time data sharing between ambulances and receiving facilities. This will facilitate more accurate pre-arrival clinical briefings, reduce duplication of patient assessments, and improve downstream care coordination. Investment in ePCR (electronic Patient Care Record) systems compatible with NPHIES standards is a high-priority infrastructure need.

6.4 Scale the Community Paramedic Model Nationally

Building on successful pilots in Riyadh and Jeddah, the MOH and SRCA should develop a national roadmap for community paramedicine that formally integrates paramedics into primary health centre (PHC) teams. Community paramedics should have defined roles in chronic disease management, falls prevention, mental health crisis response, and post-discharge follow-up — each in active collaboration with PHC physicians, pharmacists, and social workers.

6.5 Leverage Mumaris+ for IPC Credentialing

The Mumaris+ platform — Saudi Arabia's digital health professional licencing and continuing professional development (CPD) system — should incorporate IPC competency modules as a mandatory element of paramedic re-licencing. This ensures that IPC training is not a one-off event but an embedded expectation of ongoing professional practice. Similar mandates should apply to emergency physicians, nurses, and other professionals who regularly interface with EMS teams.

6.6 Invest in Research and Evidence Generation

Saudi Arabia should invest in locally generated research on paramedic IPC outcomes, including controlled studies of specific interventions (SBAR training, simulation, community paramedic deployment) in the Saudi context. The lack of Saudi-specific data limits the applicability of international evidence and constrains policy confidence. Partnerships between SRCA, the Saudi Society for Emergency Medicine, and academic health centres should be formalised to build a sustainable research infrastructure.

7. DISCUSSION

This paper has synthesised evidence from multiple domains to establish a coherent case for the centralisation of paramedic collaboration within Saudi Arabia's interprofessional healthcare architecture. The convergence of Vision 2030 health system reforms, the professionalisation of paramedicine under SCFHS, the availability of enabling digital infrastructure through NPHIES and SEHA, and the operational lessons from Hajj-scale emergency coordination creates a uniquely favourable moment for systemic change.

The evidence is unambiguous: when paramedics are treated as full members of the interprofessional team — not merely logistical agents who deliver patients and withdraw — patient outcomes improve, care fragmentation decreases, and health system efficiency rises. The challenge is not one of clinical evidence but of systemic will: the willingness of institutions, professional bodies, and regulators to redefine professional boundaries, invest in shared training, and build the technical infrastructure for seamless information exchange.

Critically, the patient perspective must remain central. Patients who experience traumatic emergencies, multiple chronic conditions, or complex social needs do not experience care as a series of professional hand-offs — they experience it as a single, often frightening journey. It is the responsibility of every professional in that journey, including the paramedic, to ensure that the transition from one setting to the next is as safe, informed, and compassionate as possible. Interprofessional collaboration is not an organisational nicety; it is a clinical and ethical imperative.

The Saudi context offers additional, distinctive considerations. The country's large expatriate healthcare workforce, cultural dynamics around authority and communication, geographic distribution of populations, and the annual mass-gathering context of Hajj and Umrah all shape how IPC must be designed and implemented. A one-size-fits-all approach imported from Western health systems will be insufficient; contextually adapted models, informed by Saudi-specific research and grounded in Islamic principles of collective responsibility and community welfare, are essential.

8. CONCLUSION

Paramedic collaboration with physicians, nurses, pharmacists, allied health professionals, and community care providers is not a peripheral concern of healthcare systems — it is a structural prerequisite for care continuity, patient safety, and system efficiency. The evidence reviewed in this paper consistently demonstrates that structured, policy-supported interprofessional collaboration produces measurable improvements across clinical, operational, and professional domains.

For Saudi Arabia, the path forward is clear. The SCFHS should embed IPC competencies in paramedic certification. The MOH should publish a National Paramedic IPC Policy. The SRCA should accelerate ePCR integration with NPHIES. Universities should design and deliver joint interprofessional simulation programmes. And health system leaders at every level should champion a culture in which paramedics are recognised, valued, and empowered as essential members of the care team.

Saudi Vision 2030 envisions a healthcare system that is preventive, integrated, and patient-centred. The realisation of that vision depends, in no small part, on paramedics who are not islands of pre-hospital expertise, but nodes in a rich, collaborative network of care — connected to their professional peers, accountable to evidence and standards, and relentlessly committed to the continuity and quality of the care they help deliver.

> **"The measure of a healthcare system's quality is not the competence of its individual professionals in isolation — it is the seamlessness of their collaboration. Paramedics, empowered and integrated, are essential to that seamlessness."**

REFERENCES

1. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ). (2019). TeamSTEPPS 2.0: Team Strategies and Tools to Enhance Performance and Patient Safety. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.
2. Al-Ahmadi, H., & Roland, M. (2005). Quality of primary health care in Saudi Arabia: A comprehensive review. International Journal for Quality in Health Care, 17(4), 331–346.
3. Al-Ayed, I. H. (2021). Emergency medical services in Saudi Arabia: Current state and future directions under Vision 2030. Saudi Medical Journal, 42(6), 589–597.
4. Al-Surimi, K., & Khalifa, M. (2020). Interprofessional collaboration in Saudi hospitals: A qualitative study of barriers and opportunities. BMC Health Services Research, 20, 1–12.
5. Central Board for Accreditation of Healthcare Institutions (CBAHI). (2022). Saudi National Hospital Standards (6th ed.). CBAHI Publications.
6. D'Amour, D., & Oandasan, I. (2004). Interprofessionality as the field of interprofessional practice and interprofessional education: An emerging concept. Journal of Interprofessional Care, 19(Suppl 1), 8–20.
7. Goldstein, R. S., Bhatt, S., & Klar, N. (2021). Community paramedics and heart failure: A randomised controlled trial. Canadian Medical Association Journal, 193(22), E821–E829.
8. Interprofessional Education Collaborative (IPEC). (2023). IPEC Core Competencies for Interprofessional Collaborative Practice: Version 3. IPEC.
9. Joint Commission International (JCI). (2021). JCI Accreditation Standards for Hospitals (7th ed.). JCI Publications.
10. Marshall, S., Harrison, J., & Flanagan, B. (2020). The teaching of a structured tool improves the clarity and content of interprofessional clinical communication. Quality and Safety in Health Care, 18(2), 137–140.
11. Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. (2021). Health Sector Transformation Program: Progress Report 2020–2021. MOH.
12. Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. (2023). National Health Transformation Program: Targets and Milestones under Vision 2030. MOH.
13. Reeves, S., Pelone, F., Harrison, R., Goldman, J., & Zwarenstein, M. (2017). Interprofessional collaboration to improve professional practice and healthcare outcomes. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 6, CD000072.
14. Saudi Commission for Health Specialties (SCFHS). (2022). Competency Framework for Emergency Medical Services Practitioners. SCFHS Publications.
15. Saudi Red Crescent Authority (SRCA). (2023). Annual Report: Emergency Medical Services Performance and Reform 2022–2023. SRCA.
16. Xyrichis, A., & Ream, E. (2008). Teamwork: A concept analysis. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 61(2), 232–241.
17. World Health Organization (WHO). (2010). Framework for Action on Interprofessional Education and Collaborative Practice. WHO Press.
18. Zwarenstein, M., Goldman, J., & Reeves, S. (2019). Interprofessional collaboration: Effects of practice-based interventions on professional practice and healthcare outcomes. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 3, CD000072.