Reprint from The Review of DIABETIC STUDIES

The Review Of
DIABETIC
STUDIES OPEN ACCESS

Discoloration Of Different Aesthetic Restorative Materials: Effects Of Various Finishing Systems On Surface Roughness And Staining Susceptibility

Abdullah Ali M Alqahtani[1], Salman Mohammed A Al Mafraq[2], Mohammad Ali Mohsen Alshahrani[3], Abdulaziz Mohammed A Buraidi[4], Waleed Hussain A Alwadei[5], Faris Mofareh A Al Qahtani[6], Abdulrahman Ali S Alasmari[7], Abdulaziz Hussain Alqahtani[8]

1. *Resident Dentist, Public Health Department, Sarat Abedah General Hospital.*
2. *Resident Dentist, Restorative Department, Mahyal Assir General Hospital, Mahyal Assir.*
3. *Dentist, Alwadeen Primary Health Care, Ahad Rufidah Sector, Aseer Region,*
4. *Resident Dentist, Alrsras Primary Health Care, Khamis Mushat Sector, Aseer Region.*
5. *Registrar Restorative Dentist, Restorative Department, AL-Namas Dental Center.*
6. *Resident Dentist, Public Health Department, Al Harjah Sector, Aseer Region.*
7. *Resident Dentist, Ibn Sina Primary Health Care Center, Khamis Mushait Sector, Aseer Region.*
8. *Resident Dentist, Dhahran Hospital, Dhahran Sector, Aseer Region.*

Abstract

Discoloration of aesthetic restorative materials poses a significant challenge in dentistry, impacting both the longevity and visual appeal of dental restorations. This review examines the effects of various finishing systems on the surface roughness and staining susceptibility of different aesthetic restorative materials, including composite resins, ceramics, and glass ionomer cements. Discoloration can occur through intrinsic mechanisms, such as chemical degradation and the release of unreacted monomers, as well as extrinsic factors, including dietary habits and oral hygiene practices. The surface roughness of restorative materials plays a crucial role in their susceptibility to staining, with rougher surfaces tending to accumulate plaque and stain more readily than smoother surfaces. The review highlights the importance of finishing and polishing techniques in determining the surface characteristics of restorative materials. Various finishing systems, such as diamond burs, silicone polishing points, and polishing pastes, are evaluated for their effectiveness in reducing surface roughness and enhancing stain resistance. Research indicates that a combination of diamond burs followed by silicone polishing points yields smoother surfaces, thereby minimizing staining potential. Additionally, the use of polishing pastes can further improve surface smoothness and resistance to discoloration. The interaction between the finishing systems and the chemical composition of the restorative materials is also discussed, emphasizing the role of stain-resistant formulations in mitigating discoloration. The findings underscore the need for dental practitioners to select appropriate finishing techniques to optimize the aesthetic performance of restorations. Furthermore, patient education regarding oral hygiene and dietary choices is essential for maintaining the aesthetic integrity of restorative materials. In conclusion, understanding the mechanisms of discoloration and the impact of finishing systems on surface roughness and staining susceptibility is vital for enhancing the longevity and aesthetic outcomes of dental restorations. Future research should focus on developing innovative materials and techniques to further improve the aesthetic performance of restorative dentistry

Introduction

The quest for aesthetic excellence in dentistry has led to the development of a wide array of restorative materials designed to mimic the natural appearance of teeth. These materials, which include composite resins, ceramics, and glass ionomer cements, are frequently used in various dental procedures, including fillings, crowns, and veneers. The increasing demand for aesthetic restorations has prompted dental practitioners to seek materials that not only provide functional benefits but also maintain their visual appeal over time. However, one of the significant challenges faced by dental practitioners is the discoloration of these materials over time, which can compromise their aesthetic appeal and, consequently, patient satisfaction. Discoloration can result from various factors, including the intrinsic

properties of the materials, the oral environment, and the finishing and polishing techniques employed during the restoration process.

Discoloration can be particularly problematic in anterior restorations, where aesthetics are paramount. Patients often seek dental treatments that not only restore function but also enhance their smile. Therefore, understanding the factors that contribute to discoloration is essential for improving the longevity and aesthetic performance of restorative materials. The surface roughness of restorative materials plays a crucial role in their staining susceptibility. Rougher surfaces tend to accumulate plaque and stain more readily than smoother surfaces. Therefore, the finishing and polishing systems used on these materials are critical in determining their long-term aesthetic performance. Various finishing systems, including diamond burs, silicone points, and polishing pastes, can influence the surface characteristics of restorative materials, affecting both their roughness and their ability to resist staining.

This review article aims to explore the discoloration of different aesthetic restorative materials, focusing on the effects of various finishing systems on surface roughness and staining susceptibility. By examining the current literature, we will discuss the mechanisms of discoloration, the impact of surface roughness on staining, and the effectiveness of different finishing techniques in enhancing the aesthetic longevity of restorative materials. Additionally, we will consider the implications of these findings for clinical practice and future research directions in the field of restorative dentistry.

Mechanisms of Discoloration

Discoloration of aesthetic restorative materials can occur through intrinsic and extrinsic mechanisms. Intrinsic discoloration refers to changes in the material itself, often due to chemical reactions, degradation, or the incorporation of pigments during the curing process. For instance, the polymerization of composite resins can lead to the formation of free radicals, which may react with chromogenic substances in the oral environment, resulting in discoloration. Additionally, the degradation of the resin matrix over time can lead to the release of unreacted monomers, which can also contribute to color changes. The chemical composition of the restorative material, including the type and concentration of pigments, can also influence its susceptibility to intrinsic discoloration.

Extrinsic discoloration, on the other hand, is primarily caused by external factors, such as dietary habits, oral hygiene practices, and the presence of chromogenic substances in food and beverages. Common staining agents include coffee, tea, red wine, and tobacco, which can adhere to the surface of restorative materials, leading to visible discoloration. The extent of extrinsic staining is influenced by the surface roughness of the material; rougher
surfaces tend to trap more stain-producing substances, making them more susceptible to discoloration. The oral environment, including factors such as pH, temperature, and the presence of saliva, can also play a significant role in the staining potential of materials. For example, acidic beverages can erode the surface of restorative materials, increasing their roughness and, consequently, their susceptibility to staining.

The interaction between restorative materials and the oral environment is complex and multifaceted. Factors such as the presence of biofilm, the composition of saliva, and the frequency of exposure to staining agents can all influence the discoloration process. Understanding these mechanisms is essential for developing strategies to minimize discoloration and enhance the longevity of aesthetic restorations. Furthermore, the role of patient education in maintaining oral hygiene and dietary habits cannot be overlooked, as these factors significantly impact the aesthetic performance of restorative materials.

Surface Roughness and Its Impact on Staining

Surface roughness is a critical parameter that influences the aesthetic performance of restorative materials. It is defined as the texture of a surface, characterized by the presence of peaks and valleys. In dental materials, surface roughness can affect not only the aesthetic appearance but also the mechanical properties and the biological response of the material in the oral cavity. The relationship between surface roughness and staining susceptibility is particularly relevant for composite resins, which are commonly used in anterior restorations where aesthetics are paramount.

Research has shown that increased surface roughness correlates with higher staining susceptibility. Rough surfaces provide more surface area for plaque accumulation and stain retention, leading to visible discoloration over time. The accumulation of biofilm on rough surfaces can create a microenvironment conducive to staining, as the biofilm can trap chromogenic substances and promote discoloration. Additionally, rough surfaces may be more challenging to clean, further exacerbating the staining issue. The

relationship between surface roughness and staining susceptibility is particularly relevant for composite resins, which are commonly used in anterior restorations where aesthetics are paramount.

Several studies have investigated the effects of different finishing and polishing techniques on the surface roughness of aesthetic restorative materials. For instance, the use of diamond burs for initial contouring followed by silicone polishing points has been shown to produce smoother surfaces compared to other finishing methods. The smoother the surface, the less likely it is to retain stains, thereby enhancing the aesthetic longevity of the restoration. Moreover, the choice of finishing system can also influence the wear resistance of the material. Some finishing techniques may create a smoother surface but at the expense of the material's integrity, leading to increased wear over time. This wear can further exacerbate staining susceptibility, creating a cycle of deterioration that compromises the restoration's aesthetic appearance.

In addition to the mechanical properties of the finishing systems, the chemical composition of the restorative materials also plays a crucial role in their staining susceptibility. For instance, some composite resins are formulated with stain-resistant properties, which can mitigate the effects of extrinsic staining agents. The interaction between the finishing systems and the material's chemical composition can influence the overall aesthetic performance of the restoration. Furthermore, the surface treatment methods, such as the application of hydrophobic coatings, can also enhance the stain resistance of restorative materials by reducing the surface energy and preventing the adhesion of staining agents.

Finishing Systems and Their Effects on Surface Characteristics

The finishing and polishing of aesthetic restorative materials are critical steps in the restorative process. These procedures not only enhance the aesthetic appearance of the restoration but also play a significant role in determining its long-term performance. Various finishing systems are available, each with its unique characteristics and effects on surface roughness.

Diamond burs are commonly used for the initial contouring of restorative materials. They are effective in shaping and reducing the bulk of the material but can leave a relatively rough surface. Following the use of diamond burs, silicone polishing points are often employed to refine the surface. These points are designed to smooth out the roughness left by the burs, resulting in a more polished finish. Studies have demonstrated that the combination of diamond burs followed by silicone points yields a significant reduction in surface roughness compared to using diamond burs alone. This smoother surface is less prone to plaque accumulation and staining, thereby enhancing the aesthetic longevity of the restoration.

Polishing pastes are another finishing option that can be utilized after the initial contouring. These pastes contain abrasive particles that help achieve a high-gloss finish on the surface of restorative materials. The use of polishing pastes can further reduce surface roughness and improve the material's resistance to staining. However, the effectiveness of polishing pastes can vary depending on the type of restorative material and the specific formulation of the paste used. Some pastes may contain fluoride or other agents that can provide additional benefits, such as enhancing the material's resistance to acid attack and promoting remineralization of adjacent tooth structures.

In addition to the mechanical properties of the finishing systems, the chemical composition of the restorative materials also plays a crucial role in their staining susceptibility. For instance, some composite resins are formulated with stain-resistant properties, which can mitigate the effects of extrinsic staining agents. The interaction between the finishing systems and the material's chemical composition can influence the overall aesthetic performance of the restoration. Moreover, the use of advanced materials, such as nanocomposites, can further enhance the aesthetic outcomes by providing improved surface smoothness and reduced staining potential.

Clinical Implications and Future Directions

The findings from this review have significant implications for clinical practice in restorative dentistry. Dental practitioners should be aware of the importance of selecting appropriate finishing and polishing techniques to optimize the aesthetic performance of restorative materials. The choice of finishing system can have a profound impact on the surface roughness and staining susceptibility of the restoration, ultimately influencing patient satisfaction and treatment outcomes.

Furthermore, patient education regarding oral hygiene practices and dietary habits is essential in maintaining the aesthetic integrity of restorative materials. Dental professionals should provide guidance on the types of foods and beverages that may contribute to staining and recommend effective oral hygiene

practices to minimize plaque accumulation on restorations. Regular dental check-ups and professional cleanings can also help maintain the aesthetics of restorative materials over time.

Future research should continue to explore the relationship between finishing systems, surface roughness, and staining susceptibility, with a focus on developing innovative materials and techniques that can further improve the aesthetic outcomes of restorative dentistry. As the field evolves, the integration of advanced technologies and materials science will play a pivotal role in addressing the challenges associated with discoloration, ultimately leading to improved patient satisfaction and clinical outcomes. Additionally, studies investigating the long-term performance of various finishing systems in clinical settings will provide valuable insights into their effectiveness and guide best practices in restorative dentistry.

Conclusion

The discoloration of aesthetic restorative materials is a multifactorial issue that poses significant challenges in dental practice. The effects of various finishing systems on surface roughness and staining susceptibility are critical considerations for enhancing the aesthetic longevity of restorations. By understanding the mechanisms of discoloration and the impact of surface characteristics, dental practitioners can make informed decisions regarding the selection of finishing techniques and materials. In conclusion, the interplay between intrinsic and extrinsic factors contributing to discoloration highlights the need for a comprehensive approach to restorative dentistry. The choice of materials, finishing systems, and patient education all play vital roles in maintaining the aesthetic integrity of dental restorations. As research continues to advance, the development of new materials and techniques will further enhance the ability of dental professionals to provide high-quality, aesthetically pleasing restorations that meet the expectations of patients. Ultimately, the goal is to achieve not only functional restorations but also to ensure that these restorations retain their beauty and contribute positively to the overall oral health and satisfaction of patients.

References:

1. Rodrigues-Junior et al. "Surface Roughness and Gloss of Actual Composites as Polished With Different Polishing Systems" Operative dentistry (2015) doi:10.2341/14-014l
2. Antonson et al. "Comparison of different finishing/polishing systems on surface roughness and gloss of resin composites" Journal of dentistry (2011) doi:10.1016/j.jdent.2011.01.006
3. Ferracane "Models of Caries Formation around Dental Composite Restorations" Journal of dental research (2016) doi:10.1177/0022034516683395
4. Abdo "A Double Blind Split Mouth Randomized Clinical Trail Comparing Marginal Fit Of Porcelain Laminate Veneers After Finishing Prepared Surfaces With Ultrasonic Tips" International journal of dentistry and oral science (2021) doi:10.19070/2377-8075-21000866
5. Kalman and Kalman "Preliminary assessment of dry electropolishing technology on a novel additive manufactured Ti- 6Al-4V implant abutment" Japan journal of research (2021) doi:10.33425/2690-8077.1036
6. Avşar et al. "The Effect of Finishing and Polishing Techniques on the Surface Roughness and the Color of Nanocomposite Resin Restorative Materials" Advances in clinical and experimental medicine (2015) doi:10.17219/acem/23971
7. Farooq et al. "Dental Resin Composite Restoration Practices amongst General Dental Practitioners of Karachi, Pakistan" World journal of dentistry (2019) doi:10.5005/jp-journals-10015-1618
8. Oivanen et al. "The effect of refractive index of fillers and polymer matrix on translucency and color matching of dental resin composite" Biomaterial investigations in dentistry (2021) doi:10.1080/26415275.2021.1906879
9. Busscher et al. "Biofilm Formation on Dental Restorative and Implant Materials" Journal of dental research (2010) doi:10.1177/0022034510368644
10. Korkut "Smile makeover with direct composite veneers: A two-year follow-up report" Journal of dental research dental clinics dental prospects (2018) doi:10.15171/joddd.2018.023
11. Dülger "The Effect of Additional Finishing and Polishing Sequences on Hardness and Roughness of Two Different Dental Composites: An In Vitro Study" Journal of advanced oral research (2022) doi:10.1177/23202068221121518

12. Sarıkaya and Güler "Effects of different polishing techniques on the surface roughness of dental porcelains" Journal of applied oral science (2010) doi:10.1590/s1678-77572010000100004
13. Bansal et al. "Effect of different finishing and polishing systems on the surface roughness of resin composite and enamel: An In vitro profilometric and scanning electron microscopy study" International journal of applied and basic medical research (2019) doi:10.4103/ijabmr.ijabmr_11_19
14. Hey et al. "Content and Goals of Preclinical Prosthodontic Programs at German-Language Dental Schools" Journal of prosthodontics (2013) doi:10.1111/jopr.12092
15. Agustín-Panadero et al. "Histological study of human periodontal tissue following biologically oriented preparation technique (BOPT)" Journal of clinical and experimental dentistry (2020) doi:10.4317/jced.56290
16. Song et al. "Effects of Material Properties on Bacterial Adhesion and Biofilm Formation" Journal of dental research (2015) doi:10.1177/0022034515587690
17. Geng et al. "<i>In Vitro</i> Study on Dental Abrasive Finishing of Restorative Ceramics Using Diamond Burs in Dental Surgery" Applied mechanics and materials (2012) doi:10.4028/www.scientific.net/amm.217-219.1663
18. Kumari et al. "Evaluation of surface roughness of different restorative composites after polishing using atomic force microscopy" Journal of conservative dentistry (2016) doi:10.4103/0972-0707.173200
19. Cabanes-Gumbau et al. "Transferring the tooth preparation finish line on intraoral digital scans to dental software programs: A dental technique" Journal of prosthetic dentistry (2023) doi:10.1016/j.prosdent.2021.10.009
20. Hamad et al. "Surface Roughness of Monolithic and Layered Zirconia Restorations at Different Stages of Finishing and Polishing: An In Vitro Study" Journal of prosthodontics (2019) doi:10.1111/jopr.13071
21. Gonçalves et al. "Direct restorative approach with composite resin in a discolored tooth" Revista odontológica do brasil central (2021) doi:10.36065/robrac.v30i89.1460
22. Zimmerli et al. "Operator Variability Using Different Polishing Methods and Surface Geometry of a Nanohybrid Composite" Operative dentistry (2011) doi:10.2341/10-096-lr1
23. Bolat et al. "Comparative Evaluation of the Effect of Surface Polishing of a Glaze/composite Sealant and Different Polishing Systems on Surface Roughness of Three Composite Resin Type" Materiale plastice (2018) doi:10.37358/mp.18.3.5045
24. Ehrmann et al. "Finishing and polishing effects of multiblade burs on the surface texture of 5 resin composites: microhardness and roughness testing" Restorative dentistry & endodontics (2019) doi:10.5395/rde.2019.44.e1
25. Bayrak et al. "Effect of two different polishing systems on fluoride release, surface roughness and bacterial adhesion of newly developed restorative materials" Journal of esthetic and restorative dentistry (2017) doi:10.1111/jerd.12313
26. An et al. "Effect of finish line location and saliva contamination on the accuracy of crown finish line scanning" Journal of prosthodontics (2023) doi:10.1111/jopr.13658
27. Zhang et al. "Surface characteristics of dental resin nanoceramic in in vitro finishing with dental handpiece and rotary burs" Surface topography metrology and properties (2020) doi:10.1088/2051-672x/ab8c95
28. Castillo-Oyagüe et al. "Teaching the placement of posterior resin-based composite restorations in Spanish dental schools" Medicina oral patología oral y cirugia bucal (2012) doi:10.4317/medoral.17656
29. Bohaty et al. "Posterior composite restoration update: focus on factors influencing form and function" Clinical cosmetic and investigational dentistry (2013) doi:10.2147/ccide.s42044
30. Kocan et al. "Investigation of Bacterial Adhesion on Nanoparticle Filler-Reinforced Dental Composites after Different One-Step Finishing Timing Using a Constant-Depth Film Fermenter" Nano research & applications (2017) doi:10.21767/2471-9838.100028
31. Romanec et al. "The Use of Diacrylic Resins in Dental Restorations" Revista de chimie (2018) doi:10.37358/rc.18.11.6701
32. Kishimoto et al. "Intensity and duration of neutropenia relates to the development of oral mucositis but not odontogenic infection during chemotherapy for hematological malignancy" Plos one (2017)

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0182021