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Abstract

Type 2 Diabetes is a progressive disease with an insidious onset, including decreased first-phase insulin secretion and mild forms of hyperinsulinemia caused by heterogeneous factors such as genetic make-up, obesity and lifestyle. Decreased insulin secretion may already be a first sign of beta-cell damage, which is expected to develop into insulin resistance later and to result in progressive beta-cell deterioration and death. The disease has become highly prevalent in Western countries and is rapidly reaching epidemic proportions in the developing world. While the molecular mechanisms involved in the etiology of this disease remain relatively poorly understood, the United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) has clearly demonstrated in several investigations that the adoption of insulin therapy in early phases of the disease is capable of attenuating or even preventing massive beta-cell loss and thus the severe metabolic syndrome and its late complications. This report aims to highlight the common psychological obstacles healthcare professionals are faced with when they are attempting early introduction of insulin therapy. Many patients typically underrate early signs and repress the fact that the disease is developing while they are vainly attempting to keep to lifestyle changes like New Year’s resolutions. However, there is also reluctance on the part of the healthcare professionals. At the same time, patients’ reservations about needles and fear of hypoglycemia can be removed simply by educating patients about the relatively low risk of hypoglycemia. Coupled with enhanced healthcare services, this strategy can overcome psychological insulin resistance and contribute to the maintenance of good metabolic control.
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Insulin resistance is both pathological and psychological

Type 2 diabetes is a progressive disease. Worldwide the diabetes epidemic is progressing, in individuals diabetes progresses and for doctors diabetes treatment needs to be progressive. The implications of the progression of diabetes for public and personal health and for professional care have not been fully appreciated. This case report explores some of these implications.

“Okay the diet hasn’t worked, I know I have a touch of sugar diabetes, but how long do I have to keep taking these tablets?”

This is a familiar reaction to many physicians caring for patients who have recently been diagnosed with diabetes. People are used to coming to the doctor, getting diagnosed, taking the treatment and being “fixed”. Patients try to avoid being labeled as sick and acknowledging a “weakness” like diabetes by taking tablets. Patients and physicians may also think they have
“failed” in controlling their diabetes. Patients assume that they could have tried harder (one always can) and physicians may feel that they have not been able to meet their needs and express these feelings by blaming them for their “failure”.

Type 2 diabetes is the classic example of a chronic lifestyle-related, progressive disease where there are plenty of opportunities for failure, blame and guilt [1]. Lung disease related to smoking and physical and social problems related to ethanol overuse are others. Insulin therapy has proven advantages compared with solely oral hypoglycemic agents that are aimed at improving insulin resistance and dyslipidemia, but the intention to initiate insulin therapy faces barriers that include patients’ fear of disease progression and needle anxiety [2].

Pathological insulin resistance

“Insulin capacity X + 1, insulin resistance X.”
Result: normoglycemia, quality of life, longevity.

“Insulin capacity X, insulin resistance X + 1.”
Result: hyperglycemia, discomfort, early mortality.

(After Mr. Micawber. David Copperfield, Charles Dickens.)

We are all born with a certain amount of insulin resistance and a certain capacity to secrete insulin. As we age, our insulin resistance increases and our capacity to secrete insulin decreases [3] (Figure 1). While we are young we have the capacity to make enough insulin to balance the insulin resistance. Later, however, even high insulin levels cannot overcome insulin resistance and blood glucose rises. In addition, hyperglycemia causes oxidative stress in pancreatic beta-cells and reduces insulin secretion [4].

Insulin resistance and capacity reflect the combination of genes, intrauterine environment, age, fatness, lifestyle and medication, which is collectively known as syndrome X [5]. Unfortunately the most powerful factors controlling blood glucose cannot be controlled – genes, intrauterine environment and age. Changing fatness and lifestyle can decrease insulin resistance and increase insulin capacity but with the passage of time insulin resistance may exceed insulin capacity and blood glucose rises again. At that time a medication is needed to compensate for increasing insulin resistance and decreasing insulin capacity. Later on more medications are needed, including insulin.

Progressive disease, progressive treatment – a case report

The following is a typical case illustrating the progression of diabetes and the need for treatment to progress as well.

1997:

May: Mrs. MG aged 58, BMI 28.6 kg/m² (1.52 m; 66.1 kg; waist 86 cm) presents with tiredness especially after meals, recent nocturia (2-3 times), uncomfortable thrush. Her sister, aged 60, has had type 2 diabetes for 7 years. Mrs. MG’s random BGL was 13.2 mmol/l; fasting BGL 8.3 mmol/l.

June-December: She was reviewed at the Diabetes Center of The Queen Elizabeth Hospital and enrolled in a group education program. Considerable changes in food choices and preparation were carried out. From that time on she walked every day for 30-50 minutes with her sister. She lost 3.6 kg in weight and her waist circumference decreased by 3 cm. The BG profile before meals was 4-7 mmol/l; HbA1c 6.8%.

1998:

April: Regained weight but it was still 2.4 kg less than at diagnosis. HbA1c was at 7.4%. She expressed the wish to keep trying lifestyle change.

June: HbA1c was at 8.1%. She agreed to start metformin. Metformin dose increased to 850 mg tds.

August: HbA1c was at 6.6%. Her weight was stable.
1999:

February: Her weight was at 65.9 kg; HbA1c at 7.1%. She was disappointed that she was unable to maintain walking program because of pain from heel spur.

July: Her weight increased to 67.4 kg, the HbA1c was at 7.8%.

2000:

January: Her HbA1c was at 8.6%. She agreed to start glibenclamide 2.5 mg mane.

November: Her HbA1c improved to 6.9%. Glibenclamide has been raised to 5 mg bd; metformin was maintained at 850 mg tds.

2001:

January – December: A gradual increase in weight to 76 kg (BMI 32.9 kg/m²) has been recorded. Subsequently, glibenclamide was enhanced to 10 mg bd. Her HbA1c increased from 6.9 to 7.4%. She expressed a New Year’s resolution to “Eat less, walk more”.

2002:

March: She agreed reluctantly to start night-time isophane insulin and continue oral hypoglycemics.

2003 - 2005:

Tailoring of glibenclamide, but maintenance of metformin at 850 mg tds. A second insulin dose was added in 2004. Now the insulin dose was: 60 units mane, 30 units nocte. Her weight was 78.2 kg, HbA1c ranged between 6.5% and 8.1% (mean 7.1%).

All diabetes professionals will recognize Mrs. MG. Many of the people they see could tell similar stories.

The progression of type 2 diabetes was shown in the United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS; Figure 2) [6]. Results suggest that insulin-treated type 2 diabetic patients are in the near-euglycemic state longer than those treated by oral hypoglycemic agents. In both the conventional and intensive treatment group. Between 1977 and 1991, 4075 newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes patients were recruited. Approximately 60% of those with HbA1c lower than 7% needed the application of insulin therapy after a follow-up of 9 years. The fraction of the patients who maintained target glycemic levels decreased continuously over the follow-up time and the majority of patients need multiple therapies to attain these glycemic target levels in the longer term [7].
diabetes" to the "early rapid-acting insulin analogue treatment" of these patients [8].

This finding seems extraordinary. There are plenty of patients who have had type 2 diabetes for more than 6 years but nothing like 50% are on insulin. The difference between the findings of the UKPDS and everyday experience is explained by another observation – most of the diabetic patients do not have HbA1c values below 7% [9]. In fact the median HbA1c worldwide is closer to 8%, i.e. in 50% HbA1c exceeds 8% (Diabetes Care targets for HbA1c). Mrs. MG may not have been perfectly adherent to treatment recommendations but, even had she been the "perfect patient", her diabetes would have progressed. The point is that her treatment should have progressed earlier.

Psychological insulin resistance

The "failure" to achieve the recommended targets (HbA1c < 7%) is also explained by defects in insulin resistance and insulin capacity. Physicians and patients are resistant to the idea of starting insulin and the healthcare systems often lack the capacity to start and monitor insulin therapy satisfactorily.

"Just one more try, doctor - I know my blood glucose has been high over Christmas but one of my New Year’s resolutions is to lose the 2 kg I gained over the festive season and get back to my regular walks. My wife wants to start walking too and we’ll keep each other motivated."

"Thank goodness" you think, "I really didn’t want to start insulin right now. The waiting room is packed, I really need to get away soon and I could do without writing scripts, referrals and all the rest of the paraphernalia. However, I must dig out those notes I made so I know which insulin to use and what dose to start with next time it comes up". [10]

Patients and physicians are both showing insulin resistance so common in type 2 diabetes. In this case, however, they are showing the psychological insulin resistance that stops or delays people starting insulin. Neither the patient nor the doctor wants to take the next step. The problems of starting insulin are immediate and obvious to both (Figure 4) [1, 11]. The problems of not starting are more remote and less obvious – a progressive increase in the risk of diabetes-related complications. The Diabetes Awareness Wishes and Needs (DAWN) study confirmed this psychological insulin resistance [1, 12]. Over 40% of the patients were concerned about starting insulin, only 12% believed insulin therapy would help and 40% believed that starting insulin implied that they had not followed treatment recommendations properly. Many healthcare providers preferred to delay insulin therapy until it was "absolutely essential".

From psychological resistance to acceptance

Both patients and doctors have concerns based on reality (Figure 4) [1, 11]. Some of these concerns can be easily resolved: a demonstration with a “dry” injection, a successful patient and an explanation of the real risk of hypoglycemia (approximately 1/20th that of type 1 diabetes, which can be derived from the UKPDS and DCCT hypoglycemia risk experience) [13], and a weight gain of probably 2-3 kilograms if a simple plan of “eat less…walk more” is adopted [14]. Some other concerns are less easy to resolve: some employers do discriminate (even though this is illegal) and access to some jobs is more difficult (airline pilot, involvement in the active armed forces). Overall, insulin does not limit opportunity much.

For the doctors the message is “Just do it!”. Nothing is so persuasive as seeing that starting insulin is not hard, not risky and does work. It is clear that starting with 10 units of intermediate insulin (isophane) at bedtime, using a pen injector and continuing oral hypoglycemic agents is simple. Increasing the night-time dose will control fasting blood glucose and only a minority will need a second morning shot of intermediate insulin to control blood glucose before the evening meal. Most importantly, patients and doctors will feel better.
and usually wonder why they did not start insulin therapy much earlier.

“Insulin is good” [15]: If physicians feel this way they will not think that they and their patients have failed, no-one will blame anyone and good feelings will replace guilt. Pathological insulin resistance and the progressive nature of diabetes should be matched by psychological insulin acceptance and progressive treatment.

“I feel great. More energy and no more daytime naps. If I had known how easy it was, I would have started years ago” [16].

“But I thought you had to use a basal bolus schedule with four shots a day. I had no idea how to start, what insulins to use and what doses” [17].
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